



Hungarian University of Agriculture and Life Sciences

Doctoral School of Biological Sciences

Ph.D. Dissertation

Impact of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on polyphenol profiles of *Eclipta prostrata* L., and on defense system of tomato plants.

DOI: 10.54598/000820

By

Imane Haddidi

Gödöllő, Hungary

2021

Title: Impact of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on polyphenol profiles of *Eclipta prostrata* L., and on defense system of tomato plants.

Discipline: Biological Sciences

Name of Doctoral School: Doctoral School of Biological Sciences

Head: Prof. Dr. Zoltán Nagy
Professor, DSc.
Hungarian University of Agriculture and Life Sciences,
Faculty of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences,
Institute of Biological Sciences

Supervisor: Prof. Dr. Posta Katalin
Professor, DSc.
Hungarian University of Agriculture and Life Sciences,
Faculty of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences
Institute of Biological Sciences
Department of Genetics, Microbiology and Biotechnology

.....
Approval of Head of Doctoral School

.....
Approval of Supervisor

CONTENTS

1.	INTRODUCTION	1
2.	LITERATURE REVIEW	3
2.1.	Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF).....	3
2.1.1	The main structures of AMF and their functions.....	3
2.1.2	Taxonomy of AMF	4
2.1.3	Beneficial functions of AMF	6
2.1.4	Mycorrhiza-induced resistance (MIR).....	8
2.2.	Abiotic stress and impact of AM fungi in stress alleviation	9
2.2.1	Drought stress on plant	10
2.2.2	Salinity stress on plants.....	11
2.2.3	Extreme temperature stress on plants.....	13
□	Arbuscular mycorrhiza plant tolerance to abiotic stress	14
2.2.4	Mechanisms of AMF in alleviation drought stress in host plants.....	15
2.2.5	Mechanisms of AMF in alleviation of salt stress in host plants	17
2.2.6	Mechanisms of AMF in alleviation of extreme temperature stress in host plants.....	19
2.3.	Stress combination	20
2.4.	Eclipta prostrata	23
2.4.1	Chemical compounds of <i>E. p</i>	24
2.4.2	Pharmacological activities of compounds extracts from <i>E. p</i>	24
3.	MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	27
3.1.	Target plants.....	27
3.2.	Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi inocula	27
3.3.	Plant growth and experiment design.....	27
3.3.1	Impact of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and different proportion of sand/peat media on polyphenols content in <i>E. p</i>	27
3.3.2	Impact of AM inoculation and salinity stress on plant performance and polyphenol profiles of <i>E. p</i>	28

3.3.3	Mycorrhizal tomato plant tolerance to combined drought and heat stress	29
3.4.	Plant growth assessment and study on AMF colonization	30
3.4.1	Assessment of mycorrhizal colonization	30
3.4.2	Growth rate and plant biomass.....	30
3.4.3	Measurement of leaf area.....	30
3.4.4	Chlorophyll fluorescence determination.....	30
3.5.	In vitro and instrumental assessment of plant-physiological respond	31
3.5.1	Proline content determination	31
3.5.2	Total phenolic content determination	31
3.5.3	Determination of hydrogen peroxide accumulation.....	31
3.5.4	Determination of malondialdehyde (MDA) content.....	32
3.5.5	Determination of defense enzyme activities	32
3.6.	HPLC determination of polyphenols	33
3.7.	Statistical analysis	34
4.	RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS.....	35
4.1.	Characterize the influence of AM inoculation and different proportion of sand/peat substrate on polyphenols content of <i>Eclipta prostrata</i>	35
4.1.1	Mycorrhizal inoculation rate.....	35
4.1.2	Proline concentration	35
4.1.3	Total phenolic concentration.....	36
4.1.4	HPLC analysis of polyphenols profile from the leaves of <i>E. p</i>	37
4.1.5	Discussion in the influence of AMF and growth substrate on polyphenol profiles of <i>E. p</i>	
	41	
4.2.	The interactive effects of salinity stress and AM inoculation on physio-biochemical parameters and polyphenol profiles of <i>Eclipta prostrata</i>	46
4.2.1	Root colonization and growth parameters	46
4.2.2	Chlorophyll fluorescence	47
4.2.3	Proline concentration	48
4.2.4	Antioxidant enzymatic activities.....	49

4.2.5	Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi altered individual phenolic compounds of <i>E. prostrata</i> under non-saline and saline conditions	51
4.2.6	Principal component analysis (PCA) of individual polyphenols	57
4.2.7	Discussion in the effect of AMF on growth, physico-chemical parameters, and polyphenols alteration in <i>E. p</i> under salt stress	59
4.3.	Mycorrhizal tomato plant tolerance to combined drought and heat stress	65
	Plant Growth and Mycorrhizal Colonization	65
4.3.1	Root colonization and plant growth	65
4.3.2	Accumulation of Hydrogen Peroxide and Lipid Peroxidation.....	68
4.3.3	Defense Enzyme Activities	70
4.3.4	Discussion in the response of different AMF to enhancing the defense enzymes of tomato plant under combine drought and heat stresses.....	74
4.4.	Novel scientific results.....	79
5.	CONCLUSIONS AND PERSPECTIVES.....	81
6.	SUMMARY	83
6.	ÖSSZEFOGLALÓ.....	86
7.	APPENDICES	88
7.1.	References	88
7.2.	SUPPLEMENTAL FIGURES AND TABLES	125
8.	LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	130
9.	ACKNOWLEDGMENT	131

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. The update classification of the phylum Glomeromycota after Oehl et al. (2011) and updated by Aguilera et al. (2015)	5
Table 2. Growth parameters of <i>E. p</i> inoculated with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi or not inoculated under non-stress, moderate, and high saline conditions four and eight weeks after inoculation	47
Table 3. Effects of arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) inoculation (M), stress application (S), and the interaction between (M) and (S) on the measured parameters. Fresh shoot biomass; H ₂ O ₂ , hydrogen peroxide; MDA, malondialdehyde, POD, peroxidase; CAT, catalase; PPO, polyphenol oxidase; GST, glutathione-S-transferase	65
Table 4. Fresh plant biomass and AM colonization rate in plants not inoculated or inoculated by <i>R. irregularis</i> , <i>F. mosseae</i> , or <i>F. coronatum</i> under no stress, drought+ heat stress, and drought+ heat shock after eight weeks of growth	67
Table 5. The sand: peat substrate properties	125
Table 6. Pearson correlation coefficients (r) among plant physiological parameters measured under drought and heat stress (D+H)	128
Table 7. Pearson correlation coefficients between all plant physiological parameters measured under drought + heat shock (D+HS) conditions	129

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. Schematic model of different response pathways under drought stress	11
Figure 2. Effect and tolerance mechanism of plant under salt stress	13
Figure 3. Schematic model of different response pathways under heat stress	14
Figure 4. Strategies of mycorrhizal plants to cope with water scarcity, that is, drought mitigation and drought tolerance. Multiple benefits/mechanisms could be simultaneously induced by arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in the host plant exposed to water deficit	17
Figure 5. Mechanisms of AM fungi to mediate plant tolerance to salt stress	19
Figure 6. Mechanisms of AM fungi to mediate plant tolerance to temperature stress	20
Figure 7. The stress matrix. Different combinations of potential environmental stresses that can affect crops in the field.	22
Figure 8. The complex response of plants to abiotic stresses	23
Figure 9. <i>Eclipta prostrata</i> (A); the flower of <i>E. p</i> (B); the fruits of <i>E. p</i> (C)	24
Figure 10. Mycorrhizal colonization rate (%) of <i>E. p</i> after 7 weeks of growth at different proportion of sand and peat as a growth substrate.....	35
Figure 11. Proline concentration in the leaves of <i>E. p</i> after seven weeks of growth at different proportion of sand and peat as the growth substrate.....	36
Figure 12. Total phenolic content (TP) in leaves of <i>E. p</i> after 7 weeks of growth at different proportion of sand and peat as the growth substrate.....	37
Figure 13. Change in the concentration of major polyphenols: demethyl wedelolactone (A), wedelolactone (B), luteolin (C), 4,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid (D), 4-O-caffeoylquinic acid (E) in leaves of <i>E. p</i> inoculated with arbuscular mycorrhiza or not inoculated at different proportion of sand and peat growing media.	38
Figure 14. Change in the concentration of major polyphenols: Protocatechuic acid (F), 5-O-caffeoylquinic acid (G), Quercetin-3-arabinoside (H), 3,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid (I) in leaves of <i>E. p</i> inoculated with arbuscular mycorrhiza or not inoculated at different proportion of sand and peat growing media.....	40
Figure 15. HPLC profile of polyphenols from leaves of <i>E. p</i> separated on C18 Protect-1, 250x4,6 mm eluted with gradient of Acetonitril in 1 % formic acid solution. Peak identifications: 1= Protocatechuic acid; 2= 5-O-caffeoylquinic acid; 3= Dimethylwedelolactone; 4= 4-O-caffeoylquinic acid; 5= 3,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid; 6= 4,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid; 7= Quercetin-3-arabinoside; 8= Luteolin; 9= Wedelolactone.....	41

Figure 16. Dendrogram of the different treatments with and without AMF obtained from the hierarchical cluster analysis. AMF+: mycorrhizal plants, AMF-: nonmycorrhizal plants; A: AMF+ 100/0 sand/peat % (v/v); B: AMF+ 80/20 sand/peat % (v/v); C: AMF+ 60/40 sand/peat % (v/v); D: AMF+ 40/60 sand/peat % (v/v); E: AMF+20/80 sand/peat % (v/v); F: AMF+ 0/100 sand/peat % (v/v); CA: 100/0 sand/peat % (v/v); CB: 80/20 sand/peat % (v/v); CC: 60/40 sand/peat % (v/v); CD:40/60 sand/peat % (v/v); CE: 20/80 sand/peat % (v/v); CF: 0/100 sand/peat % (v/v).44

Figure 17. Principal component analysis of polyphenolic data of different treatments. A scatter plot (PC1 versus PC2). (A), A zoomed in scatterplot on the cluster containing treatments (B). A= AMF+ 1 00/0 sand/peat % (v/v); B= AMF+ 80/20 sand/peat % (v/v); C= AMF+ 60/40 sand/peat % (v/v); D= AMF+ 40/60 sand/peat % (v/v); E= AMF+ 20/80 sand/peat % (v/v); F= AMF+ 0/1 00 sand/peat % (v/v); CA= 1 00/0 sand/peat % (v/v); CB= 80/20 sand/peat % (v/v); CC= 60/40 sand/peat % (v/v); CD= 40/60 sand/peat % (v/v); CE= 20/80 sand/peat % (v/v); CF= 0/1 00 sand/peat % (v/v).45

Figure 18. Arbuscular mycorrhizal colonization in roots of *E. p* in inoculated plants under non-stress, moderate (100 mM NaCl), and high saline (200 mM NaCl) conditions four and eight weeks after inoculation46

Figure 19. Maximal photochemical efficiency of photosystem II (Fv/Fm) in leaves of *E. p* inoculated with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi or not inoculated under non-stress, moderate (100 mM NaCl), and high saline (200 mM NaCl) conditions four and eight weeks after inoculation. AMF, arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi.48

Figure 20. Proline concentration in leaves of *E. p* inoculated with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi or not inoculated under non-stress, moderate (100 mM NaCl), and high saline (200 mM NaCl) conditions four and eight weeks after inoculation49

Figure 21. Peroxidase (POD) (A), superoxidase dismutase (SOD) (B), and catalase (CAT) (C) activity in leaves of *E. p* inoculated with arbuscular mycorrhiza or not inoculated under non-stress, moderate (100 mM NaCl), and high saline (200 mM NaCl) conditions four and eight weeks after inoculation. AMF, arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi50

Figure 22. HPLC profile of polyphenols from leaves of *E. p* separated on C18 Protect-1,250x4,6mm eluated with gradient of Acetonitril in 1 % formic acide solution four weeks (A) and eight weeks (B) after inoculation. Peak identifications of (A): 1= 5-O-caffeoylquinic acid; 2= 4-O-caffeoylquinic acid; 3= caffeic acid; 4= 3,4-O-dicaffeoylquinic acid; 5= 3,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid; 6= uteolin-glucoside; 7= luteolin-7-O-glucoside; 8= ferulic acid; 9= quercetin-3-

arabinoside; 10= demethyl wedelactone; 11= feruloylquinic acid; 12= 4,5-O-dicaffeoylquinic acid; 13= luteolin; 14= wedelolactone. Peak identifications of (B): 1= 5-O-caffeoylquinic acid; 2= 4-O-caffeoylquinic acid; 3= caffeic acid; 4= 3,4-O-dicaffeoylquinic acid; 5= 3,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid; 6= luteolin-glucoside; 7= luteolin-7-O-glucoside; 8= ferulic acid; 9= quercetin-3-arabinoside; 10= demethyl wedelactone; 11= 4,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid; 12= luteolin; 13= wedelolactone.....52

Figure 23. Contents of HPLC total polyphenols (A) and major polyphenols: wedelolactone (B), demethyl wedelolactone (C), ferulic acid (D), 3,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid (E), 4,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid (F), luteolin-7-O-glucoside (G), and quercetin-3-arabinoside (H) in leaves of *E. p* inoculated with arbuscular mycorrhiza or not inoculated under non-stress, moderate (100 mM NaCl), and high saline (200 mM NaCl) conditions four and eight weeks after inoculation.55

Figure 24. Contents of polyphenols: caffeic acid (A), 4-O-caffeoylquinic acid (B), 5-O-caffeoylquinic acid (C), 3,4-O-dicaffeoylquinic acid (D), feruloylquinic acid (E), luteolin (F), luteolin-glucoside (G) in leaves of *E. p* inoculated with arbuscular mycorrhiza or not inoculated under non-stress, moderate (100 mM NaCl), and high saline (200 mM NaCl) conditions four and eight weeks after inoculation56

Figure 25. Principal component analysis of individual polyphenols in leaves of *E. p* inoculated with arbuscular mycorrhiza or not inoculated under non-stress, saline conditions four weeks (A) and eight weeks (B) after inoculation. C0: control treatment (plants without mycorrhiza) under non-saline conditions, C₁₀₀: control treatment exposed to 100 mM NaCl, C₂₀₀: control treatment exposed to 200 mM NaCl, A0: mycorrhizal treatment under non-saline conditions, A₁₀₀: mycorrhizal treatment exposed to 100 mM NaCl, A₂₀₀: mycorrhizal treatment exposed to 200 mM NaCl.59

Figure 26. Hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) and malonaldehyde (MDA) accumulation in leaves (A,C) and roots (B,D) of non-inoculated plants (No arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) and plants inoculated by *R. irregularis* (AM1), *F. mosseae* (AM2), or *F. coronatum* (AM3) subjected to non-stress (NoS), drought + heat stress, and drought + heat shock.....69

Figure 27. The activity of peroxidase (POD) in leaves (A) and roots (B) of non-inoculated plants (No AM) and plants inoculated by *R. irregularis* (AM1), *F. mosseae* (AM2), or *F. coronatum* (AM3) subjected to non-stress (NoS), drought + heat stress (D + H), and drought + heat shock (D + HS)71

Figure 28. The activity of polyphenol oxidase (PPO) in leaves (A) and roots (B) of non-inoculated plants (No AM) and plants inoculated by <i>R. irregularis</i> (AM1), <i>F. mosseae</i> (AM2), or <i>F. coronatum</i> (AM3) subjected to non-stress (NoS), drought + heat stress (D + H), and drought + heat shock (D + HS).	72
Figure 29. The activity of catalase (CAT) in leaves (A) and roots (B) of non-inoculated plants (No AM) and plants inoculated by <i>R. irregularis</i> (AM1), <i>F. mosseae</i> (AM2), or <i>F. coronatum</i> (AM3) subjected to non-stress (NoS), drought + heat stress (D + H), and drought+ heat shock (D + HS)	73
Figure 30. The activity of glutathione S transferase (GST) in leaves (A) and roots (B) of non-inoculated plants (No AM) and plants inoculated by <i>R. irregularis</i> (AM1), <i>F. mosseae</i> (AM2), or <i>F. coronatum</i> (AM3) subjected to non-stress (NoS), drought + heat stress (D + H), and drought+ heat shock (D + HS).....	73
Figure 31. Bovine serum albumin standard curve for bradford assay	125
Figure 32. <i>E. p</i> plants with and without arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (Symbivit) after eight-weeks of growth in a growth chamber. Abbreviations: AM1 (Amf + 100/0 sand-peat % (v/v)), AM2 (Amf + 80/20 % (v/v) sand-peat), AM3 (Amf + 60/40 sand-peat % (v/v)), AM4 (Amf + 40/60 sand-peat % (v/v)), AM5 (Amf + 20/80 sand-peat % (v/v)), AM6 (Amf + 0/100 sand-peat % (v/v)). NONAM1 (100/0 sand-peat % (v/v)), NONAM2 (80/20 sand-peat % (v/v)), NONAM3 (60/40 sand-peat % (v/v)), NONAM4 (40/60 sand-peat % (v/v)), NONAM5 (20/80 sand-peat % (v/v)), NONAM6 (0/100 sand-peat % (v/v)). (Photo by Vo, 2016).....	125
Figure 33. Proline standard curve	126
Figure 34. The experimental design for combined drought and heat stress, and combined drought and heat shock to tomato plants. Each combined stress or no stress, there were 32 pots (plants): 8 non-AM plants, 8 plants inoculated with <i>Rhizophagus irregularis</i> , 8 plants inoculated with <i>Funneliformis mosseae</i> , and 8 plants inoculated with <i>Funneliformis coronatum</i>	127

ABBREVIATION

AM	Arbuscular mycorrhizal
AMF	Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi
APX	Ascorbate peroxidase
CAT	Catalase
D + H	Drought + heat stress
D + HS	Drought + heat shock
<i>E. prostrata</i>	<i>Eclipta prostrata</i>
<i>E. p</i>	<i>Eclipta prostrata</i>
FW	Fresh weight
GHS	Reduced glutathione
GR	Glutathione reductase
GST	Glutathione-S-transferase
MDA	Malondialdehyde
MIR	Mycorrhiza-induced resistance
NoS	Non-stress
POD	Peroxidase
PPO	Polyphenol Oxidase
PSI	Photosystem I
PSII	Photosystem II
RH	Relative humidity
ROS	Reactive oxygen species
SOD	Superoxide dismutase

1. INTRODUCTION

As sessile organisms, plants are not only confronted with single stress, but are exposed to a combination of various abiotic stresses that are interlinked and tend to occur at the same time in their natural or agronomic habitats (Wani et al., 2016; Zandalinas et al., 2018). Increased global mean temperature and changes in precipitation pattern, as a result of ongoing climate change, affect directly and indirectly the ecosystems, and represent the major limiting factors for agriculture production sustainability (Arunanondchai et al., 2018). The negative effects of global warming could be seen from great crop yield loss, and thus, poses an earnest problem regarding food security infrastructure around the world (Arunanondchai et al., 2018; Wani et al., 2016). Among stresses, drought, heat, and salt stresses are major abiotic stresses that potentially limit plant growth and development, which is often worse in the context of global warming (Lesk et al., 2016). Soil salinization has appeared as a major challenge worldwide, affecting natural resources and threatening food security. It is reported that around one billion hectares in more than 100 countries suffer salt problems, and salinization is rapidly increasing with an estimated annual addition of 0.3-1.5 million hectares of farmland (FAO, 2015).

In addition of salt stress, a very relevant abiotic stress given its agriculture implication is high temperature stress. Heat stress is becoming more prominent due to the global warming scenario, and their drastic effects on plant growth cause a severe menace to world food security (Hasegawa et al., 2018). For example, a study reported that 1 °C rise of temperature lead to the loss of more than 4.1% of global wheat yields (Liu et al., 2016). Another environmental issue which the world faces is drought stress. This phenomenon is a growing problem in agricultural ecosystems which jeopardizes plant growth and productivity (Duque and Setter, 2019; Rubin et al., 2017). According to the prediction of Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, global temperature will rise by 1.5 to 4.5°C until the end of the current century (Stocker et al., 2014), and this will enhance and amplify the severity, amplitude, and frequency of each stress spells mentioned above.

For that reason and under the current conditions, it is essential to develop a biotechnological method that focuses on enhancing plant tolerance and making agriculture more resilient, with the introduction and reuse of beneficial natural soil microbiota with economic and/or ecologic potential. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF), one of the most prevalent soil microbes, can colonize root of most terrestrial plant. These symbiotic fungi have shown to play considerable role in the fight

against various abiotic stresses such as drought, salinity, heavy metals, extreme temperature (low/high) , and play significant role in the plant growth, yields, nutrient uptake, and as well as known as bio-fertilizers (Begum et al., 2019b; Biró, 2005). Remarkably, arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) fungi could enhance host plant tolerance to salt stress by an array of physiological and biochemical mechanisms, including higher water-use efficiency, photosynthetic capacity, ionic homeostasis maintenance, osmoprotection, cell ultrastructure preservation, and strengthened antioxidant metabolism (Evelin et al., 2019). In addition, AM symbiosis ameliorates detrimental effects of the drought stress in *Nicotiana tabacum* by upregulating antioxidant metabolism and osmolyte accumulation (Begum et al., 2020). Furthermore, AMF appear to have a positive effect on *Zea mays* under high temperature stress, through improved photosynthetic efficacy and protected PSII from damage (Mathur et al., 2018a).

Overall, the general objective of this PhD dissertation was to investigate the roles of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in alleviation different abiotic stresses (drought, heat, and salinity) on two important models. The first one is a medicinal plant *E. p* which has been utilized as folk medicine in China, Japan, India, Vietnam, and other tropical regions for the cure of respiratory disorders, including cough and asthma, infectious hepatitis, cardiovascular ailments, and hemorrhagic diseases (Yu et al., 2020). The second one is tomato plant (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) that considered as the second most important vegetable crop all over the world after potato (Wakil et al., 2017).

In particular, the following specific objectives have been accomplished:

1. Characterize the influence of AM inoculation and different proportion of sand/peat substrate on polyphenols content changes of *Eclipta prostrata*.
2. Investigate the interactive effects of two different salt levels (moderate, and high salt conditions) and AM inoculation on physio-biochemical parameters and polyphenol profiles of *Eclipta prostrata*.
3. Describe the defense enzymes in tomato plants (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) under combined drought and heat, as well as drought and heat shock after mycorrhizal infection.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF)

Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi, are one of the widely distributed beneficial plant–microbe associations. This mutualistic relationship between plant root and fungi known since to the first appearance of land plants, which is older than 450 million years, and is considered a key step in the development of terrestrial plants (Redecker et al., 2000; Smith, 2009). Based on morphological characteristics of root tissues and host plant lineages, four major types of mycorrhizas: arbuscular mycorrhizas (AM), ectomycorrhizas (EcM), ericoid mycorrhiza (ErM), and orchid mycorrhizas (OrM) (Brundrett, 2017). Nowadays, AMF are found almost throughout the world in almost types of soil, more than 80 % of land plants including agricultural and horticultural crop species are able to form this type of interaction with fungi belongs to the phylum Glomeromycota (Andreo-Jimenez et al., 2015; Barea and Azcón-Aguilar, 1982; Smith, 2009; Song et al., 2019). The AMF proportion can be reach 36 % of the total soil biomass and 9-55 % of the soil microbe biomass (Olsson et al., 1999). However, the basis of this symbiotic union is on biotrophic nutrient exchange between the host plant and the fungal partner, while the plant provides up to 20 % of photosynthetic products (carbohydrate) to continue its life cycle, in turn the symbiont enables the plant to have access to the sparsely available nutrients (especially phosphorus and nitrogen) (Bao et al., 2019; Limpens and Geurts, 2018; Smith, 2009). Moreover, plants from the families *Amaranthaceae*, *Caryophyllaceae*, *Chenopodiaceae*, *Cyperaceae*, *Juncaceae*, *Urticaceae*, *Poaceae*, *Polypodiales*, *Proteaceae* and *Brassicaceae* are rarely or never formed symbiotic relationship with fungus (Brundrett, 2017; M et al., 2018; Miransari, 2012).

2.1.1 The main structures of AMF and their functions

Extraradical, intraradical hyphae, vesicles, spores and arbuscules has been identified as the principal structures of arbuscular mycorrhiza fungi. Extraradical hyphae are responsible for the uptake of nutrients and water from the rhizosphere and also able for producing a new spores (Souza, 2015). The intraradical hyphae produced during the beginning of the symbiotic phase with limited growth and establish the infection units in the roots of the host plant and its responsible for the transfer of water, nutrients and metabolites from the extraradical hyphae to the root cortex of the host plant (Ramos et al., 2008a, 2008b, 2008c). Using the hyphae, AMF are able to bind soil particles and even interconnect different plants (van der Heijden and Horton, 2009). In the root cortex cell, a tree

shaped structures are formed from intraradical hyphae termed arbuscules which are known as the main interface for nutrient exchanges (Gutjahr and Parniske, 2013). Another important structure are the vesicles, originated from intraradical hyphae in their terminal or intercalary position in the root cortex. These are organs that storage large amounts of lipids during the development of mycorrhizae, and also accountable for the maintenance and growth of the fungus after stoppage of root metabolic function (Souza, 2015). Spores can be formed inside or outside the roots (Ramos et al., 2008a, 2008b, 2008c), and their process formation occurs within 3-4 weeks after the mycorrhiza colonization begin (Berbara et al., 2020). Moreover, spores are considered as structures of survival, resistance and responsible for dispersal and establishment (Souza, 2015).

2.1.2 Taxonomy of AMF

At present, AMF belonging to the Phylum Glomeromycota were removed from the Phylum Zygomycota based on physical information (morphological) like: spore morphology, spore formation, mycorrhizal and mycelial structures, and also by genetic features (β -tubulin and rRNA sequences) (Oehl et al., 2011). This reclassification contains three classes: Glomeromycetes, Archaeosporomycetes, and Paraglomeromycetes, with 5 orders, 14 families with 29 genera (Oehl et al., 2011). A few diverging classification was offered by (Redecker et al., 2013). Later, an important update in Glomeromycota taxonomy and classification, 15 families and 38 genera and approximately 338 AMF species are actually enumerated (Błaszowski et al., 2015; Marinho et al., 2014; Sieverding et al., 2015). The update classification is shown in table (1)

Table 1. The update classification of the phylum Glomeromycota after Oehl et al. (2011) and updated by Aguilera et al. (2015)

Order	Class	Family	Genus		
<i>Glomerales</i>	<i>Glomeromycota</i>	<i>Glomeraceae</i>	<i>Glomus</i>		
			<i>Dominikia</i>		
			<i>Funneliformis</i>		
			<i>Kamienskia</i>		
			<i>Rhizoglomus</i>		
			<i>Sclerocystis</i>		
			<i>Septoglomus</i>		
			<i>Simiglomus</i>		
		<i>Enterophosporaceae</i>	<i>Claroideoglomus</i>		
			<i>Albahypha</i>		
			<i>Viscospora</i>		
			<i>Entrophospora</i>		
		<i>Diversisporales</i>	<i>diversisporales</i>	<i>Diversisporaceae</i>	<i>Diversispora</i>
					<i>Corymbiglomus</i>
<i>Otophora</i>					
<i>Redeckera</i>					
<i>Tricispora</i>					
<i>Saculosporaceae</i>	<i>Saculospora</i>				
<i>Pacisporaceae</i>	<i>Pacispora</i>				
<i>Acaulosporaceae</i>	<i>Acaulosporu</i>				

			<i>Kuklospora</i>
<i>Gigasporales</i>	<i>Gigasporales</i>	<i>Gigasporaceae</i>	<i>Gigaspora</i>
		<i>Scutellosporaceae</i>	<i>Scutellospora</i>
			<i>Bulbospora</i>
			<i>Orbispora</i>
		<i>Dentiscutataceae</i>	<i>Dentiscutata</i>
			<i>Fuscutata</i>
			<i>Quatunica</i>
		<i>Intraornatosporaceae</i>	<i>Intraornatospora</i>
			<i>Paradentiscutata</i>
		<i>Racocetraceae</i>	<i>Racocetra</i>
<i>Cetraspora</i>			
<i>Archaeosporales</i>	<i>Archaeosporomycetes</i>	<i>Ambisporaceae</i>	<i>Ambispora</i>
		<i>Archaeosporaceae</i>	<i>Archaeospora</i>
			<i>Intraspora</i>
			<i>Palaeospora</i>
<i>Geosiphonaceae</i>	<i>Geosiphon</i>		
<i>Paraglomerales</i>	<i>Paraglomeromycetes</i>	<i>Paraglomeraceae</i>	<i>Paraglomus</i>

2.1.3 Beneficial functions of AMF

Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) play a pivotal role in sustainable agriculture due to their positive effect on soil quality, plant growth, plant nutrition, nutrient cycling and nutrient losses (Begum et al., 2019b; Bender et al., 2015; Heijden et al., 2015; Parihar et al., 2019; Saia et al., 2020; Shao et al., 2018). They are essential for multiple functions in agroecosystem, like enhanced stress tolerance and resistance against biotic (pathogens, soil herbivory) and abiotic factors (salt, drought,

high or low soil pH, heavy metals, extreme temperature) (Diagne et al., 2020; Heijden et al., 2015; Smith, 2009).

Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi contribute to aid in plant nutrients uptake in normal and stressed conditions, especially the immobile one, such as enhancing plant N acquisition, potassium (K), sulphur (S), phosphorus (P) and micronutrients from the soil through increasing the root surface area by extending their fine hyphae and exploring a larger soil volume and thus improves plant access to nutrients than is possible compared to the non arbuscular mycorrhizal plants (Jansa et al., 2019; Smith and Smith, 2011; Song et al., 2020). Furthermore, the fungal hyphae diameters are quite smaller than those of fine root hairs (3–7 μm versus 5-20 μm), therefore, are able to penetrate small pores (Allen and Allen, 2011; Dodd et al., 2000). Moreover, mycorrhizal colonization was found to contribute to increase total plant water uptake by approximately 20 %, and thus showing the role of this interaction in the water status of host plant (Ruth et al., 2011). Consequently, AMF play a significant role in P modifications and availability in soils. Wang et al. (2013) demonstrated that AMF-mediated decrease in pH can promote the phytate mineralization which considered as an organic P. It has been also reported that siderophore activity is associated with four AMF species, indicating that siderophores production that specifically chelates iron may be partly the reason for increased iron uptake by AMF (Goltapeh et al., 2008). Furthermore, the decomposition process of soil organic matter can accelerate fungal hyphae (Paterson et al., 2016). Although the higher spreading of hyphae system into the soil can offer and provide the development of many and different kinds of beneficial organisms, (Xavier and Germida, 2002) indicated that *Rhizobium* nodulation in legume hosts could be improved through co-inoculation with AMF. It is also important to highlight that the extent to which the partner plant benefits depend with the AMF species utilized (Kim et al., 2017).

Besides growth stimulation; AMF are able to provide many other ecosystem services. AM fungi can have a direct impact on the ecosystem, as they enhance the soil structure and aggregation (Leifheit et al., 2014, 2015; Rillig and Mummey, 2006). Due to the higher mycelial networks into the surrounding soil that can reach up to 30 m of fungal hyphae per gram soil, AMF symbionts are able to binding the soil particles in consequence improve soil structure (Cavagnaro et al., 2006; Wilson et al., 2009).

Moreover, glomalin released by hyphae and spores, contains 30–40 % C and its related compounds that play a key role in the increase of soil aggregate stability and soil quality, which contribute to increases the water holding capacity, and increase nutrient sequestration to the micro and macro aggregates in the soil, therefore, avoid nutrient leaching, decrease soil erosion, improve soil fertility, and avoid the pollution of ground and surface water (Bedini et al., 2009; Pal and Pandey, 2017; Prasad et al., 2018; Zou, 2016).

In terms of environment, AMF enhances interception of N by roots, which is likely to be associated with root length densities, may also reduce the risk of nutrient loss via leaching and/or as N₂O emissions, thereby reduce the risk of greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from soils, thus suggesting that they could play a role in the mitigation of climate change (Cavagnaro et al., 2015; Storer et al., 2018). AM fungi could regulate N₂O emissions by improving plant N uptake and assimilation, therefore, a reduction of soluble N in the soil, and consequently, in a limitation of denitrification. AM fungi could change the physical conditions of soil, i.e., moisture, aggregation, and aeration, all of which influence indirectly the production and transport of GHG in soil (Bender et al., 2015).

2.1.4 Mycorrhiza-induced resistance (MIR)

Arbuscular mycorrhiza fungi (AMF) are one of the most beneficial soil microorganisms, that enhance plant growth and performance. AMF are able also to confer a faster and stronger systemic protection against below and above-ground attackers (such as soil-borne fungal and bacterial pathogens, nematodes, and pests) (Jung et al., 2012). This systemic protection are defined as mycorrhiza induced resistance (MIR), where priming of plant immunity and jasmonate signaling plays a plausible mechanism strategy operating in MIR (Martinez-Medina et al., 2016; Minton et al., 2016; Nair et al., 2015; Sanchez-Bel et al., 2016). The plant defense mechanisms are controlled through small molecules that act as signal transducers and regulate the expression of genes involved in defense (Jones and Dangl, 2006). Jasmonic acid (JA), salicylic acid (SA), abscisic acid (ABA), and ethylene (ET) has been considered as the prominent phytohormones in this regulatory mechanism (Pieterse et al., 2009).

Song et al. (2015), reported that tomato plants (*S. lycopersicum*) treated by *Funneliformis mosseae* against *Alternaria. solani* which causes tomato early blight disease by increases activities of β -1,3-glucanase, chitinase, phenylalanine ammonia-lyase (PAL) and lipoxygenase (LOX) in tomato leaves. Three genes encoding pathogenesis-related proteins, PR1, PR2, and PR3, as well as

defense-related genes LOX, AOC, and PAL in tomato leaves were found. Moreover, JA signaling pathway, Oxylipin-related responses and induction of secondary metabolites including higher levels of the vitamins folic acid and riboflavin, indolic derivatives and phenolic compounds such as ferulic acid and chlorogenic acid have also been reported in *Rhizoglyphus irregularis* enhanced resistance against necrotrophic foliar pathogen *Botrytis cinerea* in tomato (Sanchez-Bel et al., 2016). Recently, Sanmartín et al. (2020), demonstrated that the protective ability of *Rhizoglyphus irregularis* on tomato plant upon *Botrytis cinerea* infection seem to be associated with the primed accumulation of callose.

2.2. Abiotic stress and impact of AM fungi in stress alleviation

Abiotic stress is aggravated day by day due to the climate change abnormalities. The NASA report that the global surface temperature was 1.78 degrees Fahrenheit (0.99 °C) warmer in 2016 than in the middle of the 20th century (“SVS: Global Temperature Anomalies from 1880 to 2018” n.d.). Whereas IPCC revealed that in the late 21st century, the global mean surface temperature will rise by 1.5 to 4.5 °C (Change, 2014). Among abiotic stresses, drought, salinity, heavy metals, and heat directly or indirectly restrain plant growth and development or even decline the crops yield in many parts around the world (Mahalingam, 2015; Ramegowda and Senthil-Kumar, 2015). As stated by FAO World Soil Resources Reports, 2000 that the total land area affected by drought, cold and salinity is 65, 57, 6 %, respectively. In addition, it was reported that abiotic stress has a drastic effect and considered as a principal reason to lose more than 50 % of crop yields over the world (Alcázar et al., 2006). Heat and drought represent the most important stressors and, their combination were recognized to have more devastating effects on crop production compared to each stress imposed individually, which interrupts the metabolic pathways of plants and affect its growth and development (Zandalinas et al., 2018). These stresses are more frequently in the semi-arid and hot growing regions in Mexico, Argentina, North Africa, South Africa, Australia, and the Mediterranean countries, and in high latitude, semi-arid growing regions of eastern and central Asia, Kazakhstan, the USA, and Canada (Tricker et al., 2018). Practically, a global-scale analysis reported that water scarcity responsible for 20–40 % of yield reductions on maize and wheat (Daryanto et al., 2016). Meanwhile, the destructive effect of temperature on crop yield (maize) has been estimated at a 3.8 %, over the past decades (1980-2008) (Lobell et al., 2011). Furthermore, drought and heat stress were accountable for wheat yield loss by more than 40 and 60 %, respectively (Zampieri et al., 2017). Moreover, it is reported that moderate soil salinity was responsible in yield losses of 55 %,

28%, and 15 % in corn, wheat and cotton, respectively. In contrast, a high soil salinity provoke a reduction of 55 % yield loss in cotton (Satir and Berberoglu, 2016). It was also found that among saline condition the drought can result a further stress-effects on the natural halophytes (Füzy et al., 2008a).

Besides the obvious negative effect on plant quality and final yields in the agriculture sector, abiotic stress poses an earnest problem regarding food security, and it is likely to exacerbate with anticipated change in the climate (Dubey et al., 2015; Lesk et al., 2016; R. S. Yadav et al., 2017; Zhao et al., 2017). In consequence, additional food production is required that should be reached at least 70 % by 2050 to feed and support the human population which grow rapidly and predicted to reach 9.1 billion, and thus considered as a very stiff task facing the world (Panta et al., 2014).

2.2.1 Drought stress on plant

Drought stress is one of important and prevalent stress factors affecting plant growth and productivity worldwide, especially in arid and semiarid area (Rao et al., 2006). Water scarcity cause morphological, physiological, biochemical, and molecular changes in plants. It is known that drought stress featured by higher transpiration rate, reduced leaf water potential, turgor pressure and disturbance of stomatal opening that reduce and limit CO₂ diffusion to chloroplasts (Farooq et al., 2009; Nakhforoosh et al., 2016; Panda et al., 2016). A limitation in the total nutrient availability in soil that lead to decrease in nutrients uptake by roots was occur under drought stress (Bista et al., 2018). Drought stress has been also demonstrated to induce a decrease in a fresh and dry weight of shoot and root on *Oryza sativa* L (Saha et al., 2019). Furthermore, reduction and/ or inhibition of photosynthesis that effect photosystems I and II (PSI, PSII), decrease the fluidity of thylakoid membrane and affect RUBISCO activity. Besides these effects, water deficiency was reported to be responsible for changes in proteins, amino acids, lipids, and hormonal balance (Liu et al., 2016; Meng et al., 2016). Water deficit also induces the overproduction of reactive oxygen species which leads to lipid peroxidation, damage in membrane functions and alteration of proteins synthesis (Pandey et al., 2015; Singh et al., 2015).

Plants evolved a plethora of mechanisms to withstand and adapt to unfavorable environmental conditions, that ensure through change at morpho-physiological levels (leaf rolling, reduced leaf water potential, decrease in stomata conductance) (Bortolheiro et al., 2017; Puglielli et al., 2019). Moreover, the biochemical levels are characterized by increase in antioxidative enzyme, decrease

efficiency of Rubisco, accumulation of proline, polyamine, and trehalose (Ammar et al., 2015; Conesa et al., 2016; Rady et al., 2020). Even more, synthesis of specific proteins like Late Embryogenesis Abundant (LEA) and dehydrins, and enhanced ABA has also proved to enhance plant tolerance to drought (Ding et al., 2016; Kamarudin et al., 2019). All of this acclimation responses are controlled by a complex signaling network and multigenic process, which starts from a signal perception to downstream functional that intervened by ABA, ion transport and transcription factors (TFs) summarized in Fig 1.




Figure 1. Schematic model of different response pathways under drought stress: ABA-dependent pathway operates through PP2C-PYL/PYR/RCAR complex which positively regulates AREB/ABF-SnRK2 pathway. SnRK2s further activate/regulate other TFs downstream by phosphorylation (reviewed by Ramegowda et al., 2014; Joshi et al., 2016; Hasanuzzaman, 2020)

2.2.2 Salinity stress on plants

Nowadays salt stress is one of the major abiotic factors that threaten sustainable agriculture and food safety (Ahanger et al., 2017). Salt stress is recognized to induce three kind of stresses: osmotic, ionic, and oxidative stress (Fig. 2). Reduced the water potential in plants and turgor loss at the cellular level, causes disturbance in the balance of essential nutrient such as a decrease in the uptake of nitrate, phosphorus, calcium, and magnesium, in contrast, increase salt levels uptake (Na^+ and Cl^-), thus leading to ionic toxicity and osmotic stress and, in consequence repress plant growth and

development under salt conditions (Forni et al., 2017; M. Hasanuzzaman et al., 2013; Rady et al., 2019; Vahdati and Lotfi, 2013; van Zelm et al., 2020). Salt stress also reported to reduce the transpiration rate, the relative water content and, increases the membrane permeability of plants (Chakraborty et al., 2018; Hniličková et al., 2017). Furthermore, salinity stress is often responsible for decrease in stomata aperture and the inhibition of photosynthesis, enzyme activity, protein synthesis (Hanin et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2014). The excessive production of ROS was considered as important criteria that refer to salt stress, that cause membrane damage and these changes ultimately disturb whole physiological and biochemical processes in plants (Ahanger et al., 2018).

Therefore, plants perform diverse morphology and/or structure, and also in metabolic and physiological strategies in warding off these harsh environmental conditions. These mechanisms comprise ion homeostasis and compartmentalization of Na⁺ and Cl⁻ ions in the vacuole (Abd El-Mageed et al., 2020; Kumar et al., 2013). Accumulation of compatible solutes such as proline, glycine betaine and trehalose, was also considered as another mechanism to mediate salt tolerance in plants. These compatible solutes insure osmotic balance at the cellular level (Kumar et al., 2013). For example, higher osmotic adjustment was associated with higher levels of proline and soluble sugars that has been proved using salt-tolerant genotype relative to sensitive one under salt stress (Maswada et al., 2018). While production of ROS scavengers is necessary to prevent destructive ROS, that has been reported to be important strategy for plant salt adaptation under saline conditions (Parihar et al., 2015)




Figure 2. Effect and tolerance mechanism of plant under salt stress (Giri and Varma, 2019).

2.2.3 Extreme temperature stress on plants

High temperature is a serious phenomenon that is likely to aggravate with the anticipated change in the climate (Rogelj et al., 2018; Sorokin and Mondello, 2018). An increase in temperature above the thresholds affect all stages of plant growth and development, where the reproductive stage was more sensitive compare to the vegetative stage (Prasad et al., 2017). The effect of the increment of temperature cause adverse alterations that can occur on a molecular level up to a whole plant level. Heat stress is expected to cause reduction in plant growth and yields through prohibition of seed germination, increase of leaf senescence, and decrease of the overall dry weight of plants that were documented in various studies (Johkan et al., 2011; Kumar et al., 2011). Higher temperature has a greater effect on photosynthetic organelles of plants, and affects RuBisCo and RuBisCo activase enzymes of the Calvin cycle (Bindumadhava et al., 2018; HanumanthaRao et al., 2016; Hu et al., 2020). Heat stress exerts a negative influence on stomata density and nutrient uptake (Giri et al., 2017; Sharma et al., 2015; Zhao et al., 2017). Meanwhile, heat stress was often cause the overproduction and accumulation of harmful oxygen species (ROS) such as the superoxide anion, hydroxyl radical, hydrogen peroxide, and singlet oxygen (Pistelli et al., 2019; Telfer et al., 2018).

All these alterations provoke and owing to oxidative stress and determine plant growth and productivity.

Heat tolerant plant offer various adaptation features through avoidance (leaf rolling, transpiration cooling, closure of stomata and reduction of water loss), and tolerance mechanisms by production of compatible solutes (sugars, proline, glycine betaine), accumulation of secondary metabolites (carotenoids, flavonoids, isoprenoids), antioxidant defense enzymes, regulating heat shock proteins/chaperones (HSP60, HSP70, HSP90, HSP100, and the small HSP), RNA-binding proteins (RBPs), and phytohormones (such as abscisic acid, brassinosteroids, cytokinins, auxins) to endure heat stress and provides heat-stress tolerance, which controlled by signaling cascades and transcription (Fig. 3) (Ahammed and Yu, 2016; Alamri et al., 2019; Balfagón et al., 2018; Dawood and El-Awadi, 2018; Hasanuzzaman, 2020; Mirza Hasanuzzaman et al., 2013; Sharma et al., 2020).




Figure 3. Schematic model of different response pathways under heat stress: Heat stress induces Ca^{2+} influx, thereby triggering MAPK cascade leading to gene expression. Secondary signals like ROS, H_2O_2 , NO, and ABA are other modes leading to heat stress tolerance. (reviewed by Awasthi et al., 2015; Guo et al., 2016; Hasanuzzaman, 2020; Parankusam et al., 2017)

- **Arbuscular mycorrhiza plant tolerance to abiotic stress**

As we discussed above, plants created a plethora of mechanisms to cope with and adapt to the harsh environmental stresses. However, these mechanisms are not always enough and can be ineffective to

endure these stressors. In this regard, arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi knowns to affect multiple functions in agroecosystems, and also proved to confer an array of advantages under divers abiotic stresses (salinity, drought, cold, heat, nutrient-deficiency, and toxic metals) and play significant role in the plant growth, yields and as well as nutrients acquisition (Heijden et al., 2015; Latef et al., 2016).

2.2.4 Mechanisms of AMF in alleviation drought stress in host plants

The extent of water shortage is becoming serious threat to plant growth and crop productivity, that exerts osmotic stress in the plant. Mycorrhization has been well documented to cope with the stress by recruiting two important strategies: drought mitigation and/or drought tolerance (Fig. 4), that ensured through morphological, physiological, biochemical, and molecular responses (Posta and Duc, 2019). AMF can enhance plant performance and growth of the host plant under water scarcity. As outlined by Pavithra and Yapa, (2018), AMF inoculation could increase plant growth, yield, and soil moisture and thus increase drought tolerance of soybean under drought stress. Indeed, increase in shoot, root, and total biomass, as well as increase in surface area of lateral roots that helped the partner plant to absorb water and nutrients was stimulated under drought stress in trifoliolate orange inoculated with *Funneliformis mosseae* (Huang et al., 2017). *Zea mays* L pretreated with *Rhizophagus irregularis* increased N, Mg, P, K, and Ca under ample water and/or drought stress (Zhao et al., 2015). Improving soil structure, modification in the root architecture, in turn enhancing soil water holding, aggregate stabilization and mineral nutrition, in droughted mycorrhizal plants has been reported (Wu and Ying-Ning, 2017; Zou et al., 2017). Drought tolerance as a result of increased water potential, stomata conductance, osmotic root hydraulic conductivity, and water use efficiency has been demonstrated in several studies (Quiroga et al., 2017; Wu et al., 2017; Zhao et al., 2015). Enhancing plant photosynthetic efficiency and protect photosynthetic apparatus by AMF is another mechanism to mitigate drought stress (Mathur et al., 2018b). *Septoglomus constrictum* colonized tomato plants subjected to drought stress and drought + heat stress increased maximal photochemical efficiency of photosystem II (F_v/F_m) (Duc et al., 2018). *Rhizophagus irregularis* inoculation to *Ricinus communis* exhibited enhanced content of chlorophyll a, b, carotenoid, and total chlorophyll in comparison to those without the AM fungi treatment (Zhang et al., 2018). The increases of these parameters maybe related to increase in nutrients uptake, especially N and Mg that are considered as important structural components of chlorophyll (Posta and Duc, 2019). They have also been reported to stimulate plant stress tolerance by altering and enhancing different

metabolites such as sugars, proline, starch, glycine betaine and polyamines. These osmolytes contribute to reduction of osmotic potential, and participate in the maintenance of leaf water potential, thereby a greater osmotic adjustment (Wu et al., 2017). Several studies reported that plants inoculated with AMF overcome the stress caused by water depletion through higher accumulation of proline (Mo et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2018). By contrast, other studies have indicated AM-induced decline in proline accumulation under water scarcity (Zou et al., 2013). Higher accumulation of total phenols in plant inoculated with AMF ameliorated the deleterious effects of drought-induced oxidative damage (Begum et al., 2019a). Under water restriction, AMF known to increase the activities of different antioxidant enzymes, as well as the non- antioxidant enzymes such as superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT), glutathione-S-transferase (GST), peroxidase (POD), which considered as an important feature in plant defense to scavenge the harmful effects of ROS, and hence, contribute to the maintenance of redox homeostasis (Benhiba et al., 2015; Essahibi et al., 2018; Rani et al., 2018). A study conducted by Duc et al. (2018) reported that AM symbiosis increases plant protection against oxidative stress by decreasing the level of lipid peroxidation (MDA), hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂), and accumulation of antioxidative enzymes such as SOD, POD, and CAT in roots and leaves under drought condition. Furthermore, AMF overcome the negative effect of drought stress by alternating hormonal balance and expression of genes involved in stress response. Abscisic acid is one of the key plant hormones, that play an important role under stress condition. Abscisic acid is not only responsible for stomatal closure to prevent water loss, but also have the ability to modulate transpiration rate, root hydraulic conductivity, and activate different responsive genes under water restrictions (Lim et al., 2015). In study conducted by Liu et al. (2016) showed that trifoliolate orange seedlings colonized by *F. mosseae* increased the levels of abscisic acid (ABA), methyl jasmonate (MeJA) and zeatin riboside (ZR) under drought stress. Downregulation of ABA biosynthetic gene (SINCEDE) under water stress in tomato plant colonized by *Septoglomus constrictum* with greater water status and higher stomata conductance showed the beneficial effect of AMF on stress tolerance (Duc et al., 2018). Moreover, modulation of aquaporin genes under water shortage by AMF has been reported in several studies (Quiroga et al., 2017; Recchia et al., 2018).




Figure 4. Strategies of mycorrhizal plants to cope with water scarcity, that is, drought mitigation and drought tolerance. Multiple benefits/mechanisms could be simultaneously induced by arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in the host plant exposed to water deficit. The blue arrows show increase/up-regulation, whereas the orange arrows indicate decrease/down-regulation, relative to control non-mycorrhizal plants. Italic words indicate genes. ABA, abscisic acid; AQP, aquaporin; Car, carotenoids; Chla, chlorophyll a; Chlb, chlorophyll b; Fv/Fm, maximum quantum efficiency of PSII; gs, stomatal conductance; IAA, indole-3-acetic acid; iWUE, intrinsic water use efficiency; JAs, jasmonates; LWP, leaf water potential; MDA, malondialdehyde; MeJA, methyl jasmonate; PN, net photosynthesis rate; ROS, reactive oxygen species; RWC, relative water content; SLs, strigolactones (Posta and Duc, 2019).

2.2.5 Mechanisms of AMF in alleviation of salt stress in host plants

AMF are well known for their inherent capability to mitigate the osmotic, ionic, and oxidative stresses exerted by the salt stress, that is insured through an array of mechanisms (Fig. 5) (Füzy et al., 2007). In consequence, AMF can promote plant growth and development. AMF inoculation has been reported to alleviate salt stress in many plant species such as tomato, wheat, cucumber, and several native plants on the Hungarian steppe (Biró et al., 2002; Füzy et al., 2008b; Landwehr et al., 2002; Rivero et al., 2018; Sallaku et al., 2019; Zhu et al., 2018). Under salt stress, AMF has been

observed to increase available water content required for plant growth, through improving soil structure via extra radical hyphae and glomalin, thereby preventing the adverse effect of excess salt accumulation (Zhang et al., 2017; Zou et al., 2015). It has also been reported that plant inoculated with AMF under salinity stress enhanced nutrients uptake, and prevented toxic ions accumulation, resulting in improved ionic balance (Sallaku et al., 2019). In this regard, AMF was shown to diminish the mobilization of Na^+ to prevent their excess levels to plant tissues by their sequestration into vacuoles, vesicles, and intraradical mycelium (Mardukhi et al., 2011; R. S. Yadav et al., 2017). Under salt condition, lettuce plant colonized with AMF exhibited higher biomass production, enhanced synthesis of proline, augmented N uptake, and noticeable alterations in ionic relations, particularly lowering Na^+ accumulation, compared to the counterpart non-mycorrhizal plants (Santander et al., 2019). Mycorrhizal inoculation of *Citrus aurantium* increased shoot and root dry matter, root infections, spore production, and improved the concentration of N, P, K, Zn, Mn, and Cu in plant tissues (Satir et al., 2016). Another study conducted by Elhindi et al. (2017) showed an increase in chlorophyll content, gas exchange, water use efficiency, improvement in the uptake of K^+ , P, and Ca^{+2} , and increase in K/Na, and Ca/Na ratio in sweet basil plants inoculated with *Glomus deserticola*, with lowering in Cl^- and Na^+ uptake under salt stress. In addition, under salinity stress, a meta-analysis revealed the positive effect and the efficiency of AMF on the performance of photosystem II in the partner plants, which was depending on photosynthetic types (C4 species had higher photosynthesis performance compare to C3 and CAM species), plant group (monocotyledon had better photosynthesis than dicotyledon), life cycle (annual species more than perennial), plant types (woody more than herbaceous) as well as, considered *Rhizophagus irregularis* as the most efficient AMF species on photosynthetic performance (Wang et al., 2019). Moreover, AMF counteract salt-induced oxidative and ionic stress by altering plant physiological level through enhanced stomatal conductance, photosynthetic rate, chlorophyll content, and leaf water potential (Ait-El-Mokhtar et al., 2019; Upreti et al., 2016). Furthermore, inoculation of AMF was also reported to induce the accumulation of various compounds such as proline, polyamine, glycine betaine, sugars, organic acids, amino acids, and trehalose, thus maintain osmotic adjustment, therefore, prevent dehydration of cells (Chen and Murata, 2011; Parihar et al., 2020). More recently, Wang et al. (2020) attributed the positive effect of AM colonization to mediate salt tolerance in maize plants by increased photosynthetic capacity and induction of enzymatic and non-enzymatic antioxidant system to overcome the deleterious effects caused by ROS. Plants associated with AMF presented heightened of hormonal concentrations under salt condition such as cytokinins and

abscisic acid concentrations (Khalloufi et al., 2017). Furthermore, several studies revealed mycorrhiza-mediated salt tolerance through the stimulation of expression of genes related to K^+ acquisition and Na^+ extrusion into the soil (Chen et al., 2017; Evelin et al., 2019; Porcel et al., 2016). In black locust, AMF maintain ion homeostasis by the induction of expression of genes encoding for membrane transport proteins and aquaporins (*SOS1*, *HKT1*, *NHX1*, and *SKOR*) (Chen et al., 2017).




Figure 5. Mechanisms of AM fungi to mediate plant tolerance to salt stress (Diagram showing the comparative effect of salt stress on mycorrhizal and nonmycorrhizal plants. AM fungi (1) improve the uptake of selective nutrients, (2) reduce the ROS level by upregulating antioxidant enzyme system, (3) facilitate greater accumulation of organic osmolytes, (4) enhance photosynthetic activity and (5) enhance water uptake due to stomatal conductance which results in higher root and shoot biomass production. Overall, AMF improves the plant performance and production under salt stress conditions (Parihar et al., 2020).

2.2.6 Mechanisms of AMF in alleviation of extreme temperature stress in host plants

Many studies revealed that AMF can be harnessed for improving plant growth under higher/and or lower temperature stress. AMF is a key biological agent in the agriculture sector. They have shown their efficiency and capacity to deal with extreme temperature conditions (Fig. 6). AMF has been described to avoid cell dehydration and improve water status, in result of increased stomata

conductance, relative water content, and improve water use efficiency (Liu et al., 2014). AMF was also reported to increase nutrients uptake by the way of increase plant performance to tolerate temperature stress (Zhu et al., 2017). Photosynthesis is one of the most sensitive process, that can be greatly influenced by temperature stress. However, it has been demonstrated that AMF mitigate the deleterious effects of higher temperature on plant growth is mainly related to increase photosynthetic capacity, and protect the damage of photosynthetic apparatus (Mathur and Jajoo, 2020). A study conducted by Chu et al. (2016), demonstrated that *Glomus mosseae* could help the growth of *Elymus nutans* Griseb with increased chlorophyll a and b and total chlorophyll content. Temperature stress was responsible for unbalance in the ROS production, that resulted in the oxidative damage of lipid, proteins, and nucleic acids (Pistelli et al., 2019). However, AMF has been reported to minimize these effects through the induction of antioxidant enzymes, as well as non-antioxidant systems such as PPO, POX, and GST (Duc et al., 2018; Mayer et al., 2017). Production of different compounds like: proline, sugar, and polyamine reported as another mechanism implemented by AMF to counteract the harsh extreme temperature, these compound recognized to reducing osmotic stress, thereby, maintain turgor pressure of the cell (Chen et al., 2014; Zhu et al., 2017). Other strategies are implicated in AM plant tolerance to temperature stress, among these strategies, the expression of plant aquaporin genes. Liu et al. (2014) reported an upregulation of *PIP1;1*, *PIP1;3*, *PIP2;1*, and *PIP2;5* gene expression in AM rice (*Oryza sativa*) plants under lower temperature.




Figure 6. Mechanisms of AM fungi to mediate plant tolerance to temperature stress (Zhu et al., 2017)

2.3. Stress combination

In nature, plants are not only subjected to a single stress but are often encountered with multiple stressors that occur concurrently. Many reports revealed that plants have a distinctive response to

combined stresses, that differ and cannot be deduced from their responses when taken individually (Correia et al., 2018; Zandalinas et al., 2018). Positive, negative, unknown stress combinations, and lack of interactions are shown in (Fig. 7), such as drought and heat, salinity and heat, UV with heat, and high light and heat that leads to disproportionate damage in growth, physiology and crops yield (Alharby et al., 2020; Awasthi et al., 2017; Mittler and Blumwald, 2010; Suzuki et al., 2014). These responses are mostly imposed a specific set of complex and distinct regulatory pathways, controlled by the implication of diverse genes, metabolites, proteins, and physiological responses, that related to stress intensity and plants species as well (Hu et al., 2015; Perdomo et al., 2015; Zandalinas et al., 2016b, 2016a; Zinta et al., 2014). The combination of higher temperature with water deficit results in the rapid decline of growth and performance of plants which reported in different studies (Boeck et al., 2016; Handayani and Watanabe, 2020; Niinemets, 2016; Zandalinas et al., 2017; Zheng et al., 2019). Negative interactions in case of combine drought and salinity stress have also been reported in study conducted by Ahmed et al. (2013) on cultivated barley, that revealed decrease in plant growth, chlorophyll content, net photosynthetic rate (Pn), maximal photochemical efficiency pf PSII (Fv/Fm), water potential and osmotic potential. Recently, Al-Elwany et al. (2020), reported that combined drought and salt stress had negative effect on Chili pepper growth by affecting photosynthetic apparatus . In contrast, a positive effect on plants can be found between two different stress applied at the same time, where the combinations of ozone and drought, in which decrease ozone intake through stomata related to the reduction in stomatal conductance in response to drought stress (Iyer et al., 2013).




Figure 7. The stress matrix. Different combinations of potential environmental stresses that can affect crops in the field. Adapted from (Mittler, 2006) and modified in (Zandalinas et al., 2018).

In summary, environment factors linked to climate change such as drought, high salinity, and low/high temperature have innumerable effects on plant growth, development, and yield and are often interconnected and have almost a common denominator impact which cause cellular damage and secondary stresses, such as osmotic and oxidative stress. For this, the plant requires to evolve different strategies to cope with a harsh environment, responding upon activation of cascades of molecular networks, starting from the stress signal specific receptor-mediated signal perception followed by signal amplification by secondary messengers, and further transmission through either protein kinase/disruption of regulatory protein activity resulting in the activation of specific transcription factors (MYC/MYB, bZIP, CBF/DREB). These transcription factors can further activate or suppress functional genes, and regulate numerous metabolic network involved in stress tolerance or resistance (Fig. 8) (Hasanuzzaman, 2020; Lokhande and Suprasanna, 2012; Wang et al., 2003).




Figure 8. The complex response of plants to abiotic stresses (Lokhande and Suprasanna, 2012)

2.4. *Eclipta prostrata*

Eclipta prostrata Linn. (*E. p*), commonly known as “false daisy” in English, “Mo Han Lian” in Chinese, or “Bhringraj” in Ayurveda (Fang et al., 2015; Feng et al., 2019; Puri, 2003) (Fig. 9). *E. p* is an annual herb, belongs to the Asterales order, and family of Asteraceae/Compositae, It is a native plants of Asia, but it was also distributed in tropical, subtropical, and warm temperature areas around the world such as America, Africa, (Liu et al., 2012). The genus name *Eclipta* is derived from the Greek ekleipta, meaning “Deficient” with reference to the absence of the pappus on achenes (Chung et al., 2017). This herb is generally characterized by cylindrical stem, with longitudinal ridges, 2–5 mm in diameter; externally greenish-brown or dark green (Wagner et al., 2011). *E. p* was recognized to use as folk medicine such as respiratory troubles, hemorrhagic diseases, skin diseases, cardiovascular ailments and infectious hepatitis, and was also recognized to use as vegetables in many parts of the world (Fang et al., 2015). It is an important medicinal plant, which has been used in conventional systems of medicine and also by traditional healers,

particularly in China, Japan, India, Vietnam, and other regions in the cure for various diseases (Yu et al., 2020) (Fig. 9).




Figure 9. *Eclipta prostrata* (A); the flower of *E. p* (B); the fruits of *E. p* (C) (Feng et al., 2019).

2.4.1 Chemical compounds of *E. p*

Due to their excellent therapeutic and medicinal value, many studies have discussed the phytochemical and pharmacological aspects of *E. p* (Feng et al., 2019). Various phytochemical classes have identified and purified from the aerial, or whole plant including triterpenes, flavonoids, coumestans, thiopenes, steroids, from these compounds, coumestans was considered as the most typical bioactive constituents of *E. p*, that comprise wedelolactone and demethylwedelolactone. Furthermore, some researches showed that *E. p*, contains different natural compounds such as the highest content of tannin (11.86 %), followed by saponin (1.7 %), alkaloid (0.34 %), and flavonoid (0.87 %) (Dhandapani and Sabna, 2008). Importantly, environments, or other factors such as season, harvest time, storage time, and geographical sources may lead to variability in these bioactive components in *E. p* (Chung et al., 2017).

2.4.2 Pharmacological activities of compounds extracts from *E. p*

E. p is among the widely used plant for both nutritional and medical aspects due to the valuable contents of bioactive phytochemicals. Moreover, a different bioactive compounds isolated from *E. p*

have reported to display significant pharmacological features particularly for health-promoting, that curing and preventing many diseases such as:

- **Hepatoprotective effect**

Luo et al. (2018) carried out a study to demonstrate the hepatoprotective effect of *E. p.* the investigation revealed that wedelolactone, an important bioactive compound of *E. p.*, exhibited hepatoprotective activity, that decrease liver inflammation and hepatocytes apoptosis, attenuated leukocyte infiltration and T-cell activation in concanavalinA-induced liver injury in mice. Wedelolactone, apigenin, and luteolin extracted from *E. p.* displayed anti-HCV activity in vitro and in cell culture system (Manvar et al., 2012)

- **Anti-osteoporotic effect**

Ursolic acid, oleanolic acid, echinocystic acid and their derivatives extracts from *E. p.* were evaluated for their anti-osteoporotic potential by Deng et al. (2015). Another recent study, reported that the extracts of *E. p.* obtained with ethanol were evaluated for their anti-osteoporotic by regulating gut microbiota (GM) in mice (Zhao et al., 2019).

- **Anti-tumor and Antioxidant effects**

Arya et al. (2015) reported that the chloroform fraction of the methanol extract was able to selectively inhibit breast cancer cells over non-tumorigenic cells, which mainly related to the presence of luteolin. Moreover, Eclalbasaponin II promoted apoptosis and autophagy in human ovarian cancer cells by the regulation of JNK, p38, and mTOR signaling (Cho et al., 2016). However, recent study conducted by revealed that *E. p.* extracts reduced oral cancer metastasis by inhibiting MMP2 enzymes (Liao et al., 2018). Moreover, the data provided by demonstrated that alcoholic extract of *Eclipta alba* provides protection against free radical and intracellular ROS and show anticancer activity (N. K. Yadav et al., 2017)

- **Anti-microbial effect**

Gurrapu and Estari, (2017) carried out a study to demonstrate the anti-microbial effect of *Eclipta*. The results of this investigation indicated that alkaloids isolated from leaves suppressed the growth of five human pathogenic bacteria including *Escherichia coli*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Shigella*

boydii, *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Streptococcus faecalis*. By broth microdilution assay and agar well diffusion.

On the other hand, it was reported that increased accumulation and production of such phytochemicals in medicinal plants was achieved through the introduction of AM fungi, and therefore enhance their quality for therapeutic purposes (Avio et al., 2017; dos Santos et al., 2017; Gashgari et al., 2020; Wu et al., 2021). Moreover, the enhancement of bioactive constituents such as polyphenols by AM fungi was linked to an increase in the biomass of plants due to better nutrition, expression of genes related to the defense system of plants, or related to changes in phytohormones due to mycorrhization that demonstrated by many studies (Adolfsson et al., 2017; Al Jaouni et al., 2018; Gashgari et al., 2020; Toussaint et al., 2008, 2007). Recently, Gashgari et al. (2020) asserted that AMF caused a considerable increments in the contents of the majority of the measured phenolic acids and flavonoids in *P. hortense* and *M. pulegium*, as compared to the untreated plants. Such improvement in the contents of phenolic acids and flavonoids was accompanied with enhancement in the total antioxidant capacity (TAC) of AMF-treated plants.

Finally, the biosynthesis and accumulation of secondary metabolites, especially the bioactive constituents in medicinal plants inoculated with AMF could increase for example the antioxidant capabilities of the treated plants (Gashgari et al., 2020), thus optimizing their pharmacological properties. AMF appears as a promising path to support and add value for the medicinal herb in the drug industry.

3. MATERIALS AND METHODS

3.1. Target plants

Seeds of *Eclipta prostrata* (L.) from Hong Dai Viet Ltd (Vietnam), and *Solanum lycopersicum* (L.) var. MoneyMaker seeds (Sieberz Ltd., Gödöllő, Hungary) were used in our experiments.

3.2. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi inocula

The mycorrhizal commercial inoculant Symbivit® containing six AMF species [a mixture of *Rhizophagus irregularis* (*G. intraradices*), *Funneliformis mosseae* (*G. mosseae*), *Claroideoglossum etunicatum* (*G. etunicatum*), *Claroideoglossum claroideum* (*G. claroideum*), *Rhizoglossum microaggregatum* (*G. microaggregatum*), and *Funneliformis geosporum* (*G. geosporum*)] (Symbiom Ltd., Lanskroun, Czech Republic; www.symbiom.cz) was utilized in the experiments part (3.3.1 and 3.3.2)

Three different mycorrhizal fungal inocula, *Funneliformis mosseae* (collection of Szent István University), *Rhizophagus irregularis* USK F1 (collection of the University of Silesia in Katowice), and *Funneliformis coronatum* (Giovann.), originated from Prof. Janusz Blaszkowski (Department of Plant Protection, West Pomeranian University of Technology, Szczecin, Poland), were used. All strains were cultured with *Zea mays* (L.) and *Plantago lanceolata* (L.) separately for five months in sterilized sand. These species were utilized in the experiments part (3.3.3).

A mixture of spores, mycelia, infected root fragments, and sand from cultures was harvested for mycorrhizal inoculation. For each treatment, the inoculums were applied 4 cm below the depth of seeding in each pot.

3.3. Plant growth and experiment design

3.3.1 Impact of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and different proportion of sand/peat media on polyphenols content in *E. p*

This experiment was implemented between February to June, 2016. The *E. p* seeds were treated with 1 % NaOCl, then seeds were washed with distilled water several time and put on the filter paper in Petri dishes at 26 °C for germination for three days. Germinated seeds were placed in 0.5 liter plastic pots filled with an autoclaved mixture of sand and peat. Sand and peat were prepared in different proportions (100:0; 80:20; 60:40; 40:60; 20:80 and 0:100). The sand:peat substrate properties are presented in table 5. The analysis of P and k elements were performed according to Hungarian standards (MSZ) (MSZ EN ISO 11885:2009 and MSZ 21470-50:2006 3.1.3., 3.2.3)

using ICP-OES instrument. The total nitrogen using CNS analyzer (Fisons NA 1500 CNS analyzer)(Schumacher, 2002). The calcium carbonate content was determined using Scheibler calcimeter (Gowing, 2021). Dry matter content was determined by drying the sample at 105 °C for 24 h (Jones, 2001). Experiments were carried out in ten biological replicates for each sand-peat proportion with two treatments: plants inoculated with 15 grams of commercial product of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi Symbivit® [a mixture of *Rhizophagus irregularis* (*G. intraradices*), *Funneliformis mosseae* (*G. mosseae*), *Claroideoglossum etunicatum* (*G. etunicatum*), *Claroideoglossum claroideum* (*G. claroideum*), *Rhizoglossum microaggregatum* (*G. microaggregatum*), and *Funneliformis geosporum* (*G. geosporum*)]; while the control sample, where the plants were not inoculated with AMF (No AM) were received 15 grams of autoclaved Symbivit®, resulting in total of 120 pots. The pots were put in a climatic chamber EKOCHL 1500 (24/28 °C, 60 % relative humidity, 16/8 h photoperiod, the light intensity 600 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$). During plant growth (seven weeks), plants were watered three times per week.

After 7 weeks of growth, mycorrhizal colonization, polyphenols, total phenolic content, and proline were determined. Fully expanded leaves (excluding petioles) and root samples were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 °C until further analysis.

3.3.2 Impact of AM inoculation and salinity stress on plant performance and polyphenol profiles of *E. p*

This experiment was carried out from September, 2016 to January, 2017. A factorial experiment was performed using a randomized complete block design with two factors: (1) salinity levels (0, 100, and 200 mM NaCl) (Chauhan and Johnson, 2008), and (2) mycorrhizal inoculation (inoculated with either the mixture of six AMF species (90 grams of Symbivit product) or the sterilized AM inoculant as control). After surface-disinfected seeds were germinated, they were sown in each plastic pot with 10 x 6 x 14 cm in size containing 3 kg of sterilized sand and peat (60:40 %) (v/v) substrate. Each treatment had ten biological replicates; therefore, six treatments (3 salinity levels x 2 mycorrhizal inoculations) with 10 replicates resulted in a total of 60 pots (one plant per pot). Pots were put in a climatic chamber EKOCHL 1500 (24/28 °C, 60 % relative humidity, 16/8 h photoperiod, the light intensity 600 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$). During plant growth (eight weeks), non-stress plants were watered with 100 ml of tapping water per pot one time a week while salt stress treatments were applied by watering with 100 ml of 100 mM or 200 mM NaCl for each pot once a week. Shoot and root weight, plant height, leaf number, leaf area, stem diameter, chlorophyll

fluorescence, mycorrhizal colonization rate were examined at four and eight weeks of growth. Fully expanded leaves (excluding petioles) were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 °C until analysis of proline, superoxide dismutase, peroxidase, catalase, and polyphenol components.

3.3.3 Mycorrhizal tomato plant tolerance to combined drought and heat stress

The experiment was implemented between May to August, 2018. *Solanum lycopersicum* (L.) var. MoneyMaker seeds (Sieberz Ltd., Gödöllő, Hungary) were surface sterilized by immersion into 2.5 % sodium hypochlorite containing 0.02 % (v/v) Tween-20 for 30 min, then seeds were washed several times with sterile distilled water for 10 min. Seeds were germinated on moist filter paper in Petri dishes for 3 days at room temperature. The pre-germinated seeds were sown in plastic pots containing 0.5 kg of sterilized sand and peat mixture (4:1, v/v). Plants were randomly distributed and grown in a climatic chamber with 600 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$ photon flux density, at 26/20 °C, with 16/8 hours' day/night temperature and 60 % relative humidity at Institute of Genetics, Microbiology, and Biotechnology, Szent István University, Gödöllő, Hungary.

Experiments were carried out in eight replicates for four tomato treatments: control sample, where the plants were not inoculated with AMF (No AM); plants inoculated with *R. irregularis*; plants inoculated with *F. mosseae*; and plants inoculated with *F. coronatum*. For all four tomato treatments, a total of 96 pots were used, where three stress effects were examined: control with 100 % field capacity, no stress (NoS); simultaneous heat and drought stress (D + H); and simultaneous drought and heat shock stress (D + HS). All plants were grown under the same non-stress conditions for six weeks, after which different stress applications were implemented (Fig. 34). First of all, 32 pots were kept in non-stress conditions (growing conditions described above), while drought stress was applied for 64 pots. Drought stress was achieved by watering the plants at 40 % field capacity for 14 days. Half of the drought pots (32 pots) were exposed to high temperature, that is, 38 °C for 16 h during the daytime and 30 °C for 8 h at night for the last 5 days of the experiment (from day 9, till day 14 of the drought period), while relative humidity and light intensity remained the same as in non-stress conditions. The rest of the drought pots (32 pots) were subjected to even higher temperature conditions (heat shock) at 45 °C. This took place only for 6 h before the plants were harvested.

Plants were harvested at the end of the combined stress applications (14 days after the start of drought stress). Fully expanded leaves and root samples were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at $-80\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ until further analysis.

3.4. Plant growth assessment and study on AMF colonization

3.4.1 Assessment of mycorrhizal colonization

From each treatment, a total of sixty (1 cm long) root pieces were randomly selected from both non-AM and AM plants, after which they were cleaned with 10 % KOH for 10 min, followed by the acidification of the segments with 2 % hydrochloric acid and 0.05 % trypan blue (1:1:1 proportion of water/glycerol/lactic acid), and left overnight (Trouvelot et al., 1986). The mycorrhizal colonization ratio was calculated by the gridline intersection method (Giovannetti and Mosse, 1980)

3.4.2 Growth rate and plant biomass

In salt experiment and impact of different mycorrhizal fungi species on plant growth, and polyphenols content of *E. p*, shoot weight, root weight, leaf number, stem diameters, leaf area were recorded at one week after transplanting the seedling, and at four and eight-weeks growth period. Afterwards, shoot and root were dried in a hot-air oven at $60\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ for three days to determine their dry weight.

In combine drought and heat experiment, four tomato plants for each treatment were used for the estimation of fresh plant biomass.

3.4.3 Measurement of leaf area

Leaf area was determined according to the method of (Glozer, 2008). The measurements were implemented on the fourth leaf from a single plant's shoot apex in each treatment with five biological replicates. Afterwards, the selected leaves were scanned with scan machine and the average leaf area was calculated through using Image J software.

3.4.4 Chlorophyll fluorescence determination

The maximum quantum efficiency of photosystem II photochemistry (F_v/F_m), a chlorophyll fluorescence parameter, was measured after 30 min of dark-adaption using a Walz-PAM 2500 (Germany) fluorometer according to the method of Oxborough and Baker, (1997).

3.5. In vitro and instrumental assessment of plant-physiological respond

3.5.1 Proline content determination

Proline content was quantified by the acid ninhydrin procedure of Bates et al. (1973). A half gram of leaf samples from each treatment was homogenized in a mortar with 10 ml of 3 % aqueous sulfosalicylic acid. Afterward, it was centrifuged at 12.000 g for 15 min. Two ml of the supernatant, 2 ml of glacial acetic acid, and 2 ml ninhydrin acid were blended, then incubated at 100 °C for 1 hour. The reaction was terminated in an ice bath; subsequently, the chromophore was extracted with 4 ml toluene. Its absorbance at 520 nm was measured by U-2900 UV-VIS spectrophotometer (Hitachi). Proline concentration was estimated from the standard curve and calculated on fresh weight basis as follow:

*$[(\mu\text{g proline/ml} * \text{ml toluene}) / 115.5 \mu\text{g}/\mu\text{mole}] / [(\text{g sample})/ 5] = \mu\text{moles proline/g of fresh weight material.}$*

3.5.2 Total phenolic content determination

Total phenolic concentration was determined by Folin–Ciocalteu assay (Lister and Wilson, 2001). 2 g of leaves were blended well in a mortar with 20 ml of 60 % ethanol, subsequently filtered. 1 ml filtrate and 0.5 ml Folin-Denis reagent were transferred to a tube, then mixed completely. Next, 1 ml of saturated Na₂CO₃ was added after 3 min at room temperature. The mixture was completed to 10 ml with distilled water and incubated for 30 min at room temperature. The absorbance at 760 nm was recorded using gallic acid as standard. Total phenolic content was presented as mg gallic acid per g fresh weight.

3.5.3 Determination of hydrogen peroxide accumulation

Here, 0.5 g frozen (leaf/root) sample was grounded in a mortar with liquid N₂ to obtain a homogeneous, fine powder. After that, 5 mL of cold 0.1 % (w/v) trichloroacetic acid (TCA) kept in an ice bath was added and the obtained solution was centrifuged at 12.000 g for 15 min at 4 °C. Next, 0.5 mL of the supernatant was added to 0.5 mL of 100 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) and 1 mL of 1 M potassium iodide (KI). The reaction occurred for 1 h in darkness at room temperature. Hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) concentration in leaves and roots was measured by the U-2900 UV-VIS spectrophotometer (Hitachi) at 390 nm (Alexieva et al., 2001).

3.5.4 Determination of malondialdehyde (MDA) content

The lipid peroxidation level was determined by homogenizing 0.2 g of (leaf/root) sample in 5 mL 0.1 % TCA, then the mixture was centrifuged at 10.000 g for 5 min. Then, 1 mL of the previously centrifuged supernatant was mixed with 4 mL of 20 % TCA containing 0.5 % thiobarbituric acid (TBA). The solution was heated in a water bath (95 °C) for 15 min, then immediately cooled in an ice bath (Heath and Packer, 1968). MDA was calculated based on absorbances at 532 nm and 600 nm using a double beam spectrophotometer. Lipid peroxidation level was expressed in nmol MDA amount, where the extinction coefficient was $155 \text{ mM}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$.

3.5.5 Determination of defense enzyme activities

Here, 0.5 g of frozen leaf and root samples from treatments was placed in a pre-cooled sterile mortar and homogenized in liquid nitrogen (N₂) together with 3 mL Tris-HCl buffer (50 mM, pH 7.8) containing 7.5 % (w/v) polyvinyl-pyrrolidone K25 and 1 mM Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid disodium salt dihydrate (Na₂EDTA). The solution was centrifuged for 20 min at 4 °C at 10.000 g. The supernatant was further used to measure enzyme activities such as peroxidase, polyphenol oxidase, catalase, and glutathione S-transferases. Until further use, samples were kept in a freezer. A double beam spectrophotometer (Hitachi U-2900 UV-VIS) was used to determine enzyme activities. The protein concentration of all leaf extracts was determined according to the method of (Bradford, 1976).

Superoxide dismutase (SOD, EC 1.15.1.1) activity was determined spectrophotometrically at 560 nm following the method of Beyer Jr and Fridovich, (1987). The reaction mixture (2 ml) consisted 50 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.8), 2 mM EDTA, 0.025 % Triton X-100, 55 µM Nitroblue tetrazolium (NBT), 9.9 mM L-methionine, 20 µl of the crude extract, and 20 µl of 1 mM riboflavin. The absorbance was read at 560 nm. One unit of SOD activity (U) was defined as the required enzyme volume to lead to 50 % inhibition of the NBT decline under the assay conditions.

Catalase (CAT, EC 1.11.1.6) activity was measured by the method of Aebi, (1984). The reaction mixture consisted of 1 ml of 10 mM of hydrogen peroxide and 2 ml of 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) and 20 µl leaf extract. The absorbance decrease at 240 nm of the reaction was recorded as the deposition level of H₂O₂. The enzyme activity was presented as the changes in absorbance $\text{mg}^{-1} \text{ protein min}^{-1}$ (For the experiment 3.3.2). The catalase (CAT, EC 1.11.1.6) activity was measured using a Catalase assay kit purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA

(product identification: CAT 100). The activity was measured for the solution containing 5 μL of plant extract mixed with 500 μL 1X assay buffer and 500 μL H_2O_2 (20 mM), and the degradation of hydrogen peroxide was monitored and recorded at 240 nm wavelength for 5 min (For the experiment 3.3.3).

Peroxidase (POD, EC 1.11.1.7) activity was assessed as the protocol of Rathmell and Sequeira, (1974). Solution preparation: 10 μL plant extract was mixed with the reaction mixture 2.2 mL (0.1 M sodium phosphate buffer (pH 6.0), 100 μL of 12 mM H_2O_2 , and 100 μL of 50 mM guaiacol). Similar to the other enzyme amount measurements, the spectrophotometric determination was done at 436 nm for 5 min. The enzyme activity was calculated by the changes in absorbance. POD activity was expressed in $\text{mmol mL}^{-1}\text{min}^{-1}$, where the extinction coefficient was $25.5 \text{ mM}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$.

Polyphenol oxidase (PPO, EC 1.10.3.1) activity was measured by the modified procedure of Fehrmann and Diamond, (1967). The assay mixture contained 2.2 mL reaction mixture (0.1 M sodium phosphate buffer (pH 6.0), 1 mM Na_2EDTA , and 20 mM catechol) with 100 μL of plant extract. The degree of quinone formation was recorded at 400 nm wavelength in 5 min. Furthermore, PPO activity was expressed in $\mu\text{mol mL}^{-1}\text{min}^{-1}$, where the extinction coefficient was $1150 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$.

Glutathione S-transferase (GST, EC 2.5.1.18) activity was determined using an assay kit purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, Missouri, USA (product identification: CS0410). The reaction mixture contained substrate solution (980 μL Dulbecco's phosphate buffer saline, 10 μL of 200 mM L-Glutathione reduced, and 10 μL of 100 mM 1-Chloro-2,4-dinitrobenzene (CDNB) with 2 μL of plant extract. As described by Habig et al, (1974), the absorbance of the solution was measured for 5 min at 340 nm. GST activity was expressed in $\mu\text{mol mL}^{-1}\text{min}^{-1}$, where the extinction coefficient for CDNB conjugate at 340 nm was $9.6 \text{ mM}^{-1}\text{cm}^{-1}$.

3.6. HPLC determination of polyphenols

From each well-homogenized aerial part of fresh material of *E. p.*, a 0.5 g sample was taken and crushed in a crucible mortar with quartz sand. Twenty ml of a mixture of 44 % EtOH, 44 % MeOH, 10 % water, and 2 % acetic acid were gradually added with crushing and then transferred to a 100 ml Erlenmeyer flask. The macerate was subjected to an ultrasonication force using an ultrasonic water bath device (Model USD-150, Raypa) for 4 min, followed by mechanical shaking (GLF3005) for 15 min. The mixture was kept overnight at 4 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ and filtered through Albet-DF400125 type

filter paper. Before injection on to the HPLC column, it was further cleaned up by passing through a 0.22 mm PTFE HPLC syringe filter. Nucleosil C18-100, 3µm, 240 x4.6 mm Protect-1 HPLC column (Macherey- Nagel, Duren, Germany) was used to separate phenolic compounds using a gradient elution of 1 % formic acid in water (A) and acetonitrile (B) with a flow rate of 0.6 ml min⁻¹. Gradient elution began with 2 % B, changed to 13, 25, and 40 % B in 10, 5, and 15 min, respectively, and finally turned to 2 % B in 5 min. The HPLC determination was performed using Hitachi Cromaster HPLC with a Model 5160 pump, a Model 5260 autosampler, a Model 5310 column oven, and a Model 5430 diode-array detector. The separation and data processing were operated by OpenLab CDS software. The peaks were identified by comparing their retention times and spectral characteristics with available standards such as quercetin-3-arabinoside, luteolin-glucoside, luteolin-7-O-glucoside, luteolin, wedelolactone, demethyl-wedelolactone, caffeic acid, 3,4-O-dicaffeoylquinic acid, 3,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid, 4-O-caffeoylquinic acid, 4,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid, 5-O-caffeoylquinic acid, ferulic acid, feruloylquinic acid (Sigma-Aldrich Ltd., Hungary). For the quantification of phenolic compounds, each peak area was integrated at the maximum absorption wavelength, and the concentrations were calculated by relating the areas of peaks to those of the available external standards (Merken and Beecher, 2000). The standard materials were singly injected as external standards and chromatographed with the samples as well.

3.7. Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was implemented using the SAS 9.1 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC) package for Windows. All data were evaluated by one-way analysis of variance in the first experiment and two-way factorial analysis of variance (ANOVA) with AM inoculation and salt stress in the second experiment. The last experiment, all data were evaluated by one and two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Means were compared by Tukey post-hoc test at $P < 0.05$ for the first experiment, while the other experiments were compared by Duncan post-hoc test ($P < 0.05$). A two-tailed test was applied to compare the same treatments between four weeks and eight weeks. Principal component analysis (PCA) was carried out by the XLSTAT program to determine the different interactions among variables and treatments, and patterns in polyphenolic data of *E. p* with and without AMF under non-stress and salinity conditions.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

4.1. Characterize the influence of AM inoculation and different proportion of sand/peat substrate on polyphenols content of *Eclipta prostrata*

4.1.1 Mycorrhizal inoculation rate

The microscopic observation of root colonization showed a good symbiotic establishment among symbiont and *E. p* roots. No infection was detected in non-inoculant plants. Moreover, root mycorrhizal colonization was varied from 20 to 80 % under different ratios of growth substrate, where plant grown in the presence of a substrate containing a sand/peat ratio of 60/40 % (v/v) had a highest percentage of root colonization (76.23 % \pm 15.6) as compared with the others ratios followed by a higher sand proportion at the same rate with peat 80:20; 100:0; 40:60; 20:80; and 0:100 % (v/v) (Fig. 10). There were no significant differences in root colonization at higher than 40 % (v/v) peat ratios.




Figure 10. Mycorrhizal colonization rate (%) of *E. p* after 7 weeks of growth at different proportion of sand and peat as a growth substrate. Different letters indicate a significant difference according to the Tukey posthoc test ($P < 0.05$).

4.1.2 Proline concentration

In this experiment, proline concentration of the leaves revealed to be affected by both mycorrhizal fungi and growth substrate. At 100 % peat proportion, both inoculated and non-inoculated plants reaching the highest level of proline concentration (Fig. 11).




Figure 11. Proline concentration in the leaves of *E. p* after seven weeks of growth at different proportion of sand and peat as the growth substrate. Different letters indicate a significant difference, according to the Tukey posthoc test ($P < 0.05$). xNS = contrast is nonsignificant, *significant at $P < 0.05$, ***significant at $P < 0.001$. GM, growing media effect. M, mycorrhizal inoculation effect. GM×M, the interaction between mycorrhizal inoculation and growing media.

4.1.3 Total phenolic concentration

A significant difference effects of growth substrate ratios on the concentration of total phenolic content (TP) of aerial parts was found. In contrast no significant effects of mycorrhizal inoculation were detected (Fig. 12). the increase in peat concentration more than 40 % (v/v) decreased the phenolic content of plants. Moreover, higher phenolic content was found in inoculated and non-inoculated plants grown in a 60/40 % (v/v) sand and peat substrate.




Figure 12. Total phenolic content (TP) in leaves of *E. p* after 7 weeks of growth at different proportion of sand and peat as the growth substrate. Different letters indicate a significant difference according to the Tukey post-hoc test ($P < 0.05$). xNS = contrast is nonsignificant, *significant at $P < 0.05$, ***significant at $P < 0.001$. GM, growing media effect. M, mycorrhizal inoculation effect. GM×M, the interaction between mycorrhizal inoculation and growing media.

4.1.4 HPLC analysis of polyphenols profile from the leaves of *E. p*

HPLC-DAD was utilized for detection and identification of different polyphenols from the aerial part of *E. p*. The gradient elution applied was able to efficiently separate nine phenolic compounds namely five hydroxycinnamates (protocatechuic acid; 5-O-caffeoylquinic acid; 4,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid; 3,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid; 4-O-caffeoylquinic acid); two flavonoids (quercetin-3-arabinoside and luteolin), and two coumarins (dimethylwedelolactone; and wedelolactone) (Fig. 13, 14 and 15), with dimethylwedelolactone and wedelolactone being abundant in all of the samples examined.




Figure 13. Change in the concentration of major polyphenols: demethyl wedelolactone (A), wedelolactone (B), luteolin (C), 4,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid (D), 4-O-caffeoylquinic acid (E) in leaves of *E. p.* inoculated with arbuscular mycorrhiza or not inoculated at different proportion of sand and peat growing media. Each bar shows the mean \pm standard deviation ($n = 3$). Different letters indicate significant difference according to the Tukey test ($P < 0.05$) among sand and peat ration. ^{ns}NS = contrast is nonsignificant, *significant at $P < 0.05$, ***significant at $P < 0.001$. GM, growing media effect. M, mycorrhizal inoculation effect. GM \times M, the interaction between mycorrhizal inoculation and growing media. UDL, under the detection limit.

The content of all individual polyphenols was affected, to a high extent, by the proportions of peat and sand in the growing media. Moreover, there was a considerable effect of mycorrhizal inoculation (M) on the contents of four hydroxycinnates (at least $P < 0.05$), and two flavonoids (at least $P < 0.05$). In addition, the interactions between two main effects (GM×M) were found (at least $P < 0.05$), except dimethyl-wedelolactone, wedelolactone, and 3,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid. In the inoculated plants, such a tendency held true only for protocatechuic acid, 5-O-caffeoylquinic acid, quercetin-3-arabinoside, and 3,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid (Fig. 14). In both inoculated and non-inoculated samples, peat proportions between 60 % and 80 % caused a drastic decrease in the content of all polyphenols detected in the extracts compared to others treatment except wedelolactone (Fig. 13 and 14). Notably, a drastic decrease in the polyphenol content did not occur with 100 % peat in both inoculated and control samples. With AMF inoculation, the concentration of luteolin was 45.74 mg/g at a 0/100 % (v/v) sand and peat mixture, which was significantly higher than that determined in the other treatments ($P < 0.05$) (Fig. 13 C). The average content of luteolin; 3,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid; wedelolactone; 4-O-caffeoylquinic acid; and protocatechuic acid was higher by 75 %, 37 %, 10 %, 41 %, and 67 %, respectively, in mycorrhizal inoculated plants compared to their levels in the control ones. Whereas the content of 5-O-caffeoylquinic acid; dimethyl-wedelolactone; 4,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid; and quercetin-3-arabinoside was lower by 25 %, 13 %, 47 %, and 31 %, respectively. The highest level of protocatechuic acid (41.87 mg/g) was recorded in a 60/40 % (v/v) sand and peat mixture by AMF+ (Fig. 14 F). In addition, the highest levels of wedelolactone, the major polyphenol, were found in plants grown in peat proportion between 0 % and 40 % in both inoculated and non-inoculated treatments.




Figure 14. Change in the concentration of major polyphenols: Protocatechuic acid (F), 5-O-caffeoylquinic acid (G), Quercetin-3-arabinoside (H), 3,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid (I) in leaves of *E. p* inoculated with arbuscular mycorrhiza or not inoculated at different proportion of sand and peat growing media. Each bar shows the mean \pm standard deviation ($n = 3$). Different letters indicate significant difference according to the Tukey test ($P < 0.05$) among sand and peat ration. *NS = contrast is nonsignificant, *significant at $P < 0.05$, ***significant at $P < 0.001$. UDL = under detection limit. GM, growing media effect. M, mycorrhizal inoculation effect. GM \times M, the interaction between mycorrhizal inoculation and growing media. UDL, under the detection limit.

The principal component analysis (PCA) was applied to assess the data on phenolic content in *E. p* plants determined by HPLC. As demonstrated in Figure (17A) and Principal component 1 (Factor1) explains up to 55.58 % of the total variance and is characterized mainly by protocatechuic acid; 5-O-caffeoylquinic acid; demethyl-wedelolactone; 4,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid; quercetin-3-arabinoside; wedelolactone; and 3,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid. Principal component 2 (Factor 2), explaining 12.52 %, is contributed mainly by luteolin-glucoside. The PCA scatter plot showed 68.10 % of the total variability in the phenolic data set. Through PCA and AHC, it is important to note that the C treatment cluster group has significant differences in polyphenolic contents compared to other treatments (Fig. 16 and 17 A, B).




Figure 15. HPLC profile of polyphenols from leaves of *E. p* separated on C18 Protect-1, 250x4,6 mm eluted with gradient of Acetonitril in 1 % formic acide solution. Peak identifications: 1= Protocatechuic acid; 2= 5-O-caffeoylquinic acid; 3= Dimethylwedelolactone; 4= 4-O-caffeoylquinic acid; 5= 3,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid; 6= 4,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid; 7= Quercetin-3- arabinoside; 8= Luteolin; 9= Wedelolactone.

4.1.5 Discussion in the influence of AMF and growth substrate on polyphenol profiles of *E. p*

Many reports have shown the characteristics and feasibility of AMF introduction to sustainable plant production (Gupta et al., 2019; Oliveira et al., 2016). Moreover, AM symbiosis has been found to boost the biomass and secondary metabolite contents of several medicinal plants (Beltrame et al., 2019; Pandey et al., 2018); however, its impact on polyphenol profiles of *E. p* plants under different proportions of growth substrates has not been studied before.

Our results indicated that root colonization is significantly affected by growth substrates proportions, where the greatest extent of mycorrhizal colonization was recorded at 60/40 (v/v) sand peat ration, which might be due to the suitable physicochemical properties of this mixture at that proportion thus agreeing with the findings of Stevens et al. (2011) and Dhen et al. (2018). In contrast higher peat proportion more than 40 % decreased the root colonization by AMF consistent with finding of Patil et al. (2015) who reported that AM colonization and spore number decrease with the increment of super phosphate, as well as with the results of previous researchers (El Amerany et al., 2020; Ma et al., 2007; Marschner et al., 2006; Paradi et al., 2003). On the other hand, some workers demonstrated that the effect of AMF symbiosis does not correlate with colonization level (Toussaint et al., 2007) and was most effective when root colonization scored from 20 % to 30 % (Feldmann et al., 2009).

In addition to the positive effects of AM fungi on nutrient uptake, this mutualistic relationship frequently give a balance to different stress conditions (Estrada et al., 2013; Latef et al., 2016). However, in our study, higher proline content for both AMF and non-AMF treatments was recorded at 100 % peat substrate. Proline is considered as an important amino acid, that plays a key role in plants: it protects the plants from various stresses and also helps plants to recover from stress more rapidly (Hossain et al., 2014).

Medicinal plants recognized by the synthesis of different secondary metabolites such as alkaloids, terpenoids, and phenolics. These bioactive compounds not only play an important role in human health but also can uplifting in plant defense against biotic and abiotic stress factors (Bhattacharya et al., 2010; Lattanzio et al., 2006; Pandey et al., 2018). It is well documented that AM fungi improve both quality and the quantity of various important bioactive compounds on medicinal plants, and it was suggested to utilize as a path for crop biofortification (Dutta and Neog, 2016; Jugran et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2017; Zubek et al., 2015). The importance of flavonoids in ultraviolet protection has been proved using mutant ultraviolet-hypersensitive phenotypes of *Arabidopsis* (Ryan et al., 2001). Preformed antibiotic compounds such as phenolic and polyphenolic compounds are ubiquitous in plants and play an important role in non-host resistance to pathogens (Lattanzio et al., 2006). Furthermore, Stoms, (1982) showed that the polyphenolic compounds have a crucial role in regulating the growth and development of plants. The phenolic compounds have antioxidant properties also, which can reduce the peroxidation of membrane lipids by decreasing their fluidity in consequence, limiting the diffusion of free radicals that have been proved using *Allium sativum* L (Bozin et al., 2008). Moreover, there is another valuable property of polyphenolic compounds: having the ability and capacity to chelate heavy metal ions, as demonstrated in a study with *Nymphaea* sp (Lavid et al., 2001). A study conducted by Bencherif et al. (2019), demonstrated that the endogenous AMF in Algerian steppic semi-arid areas stimulate the polyphenol compounds and antimicrobial activity of *T.gallica*. The measurement of leaves polyphenol profiles of *E. p* are consistent with the previous finding where wedelolactone, and dimethyl-wedelolactone considered as the main components of medicinal plant *E. p* (Fang et al., 2015; Murali et al., 2002). Furthermore, the polyphenols luteolin-glucoside and 4,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid were recorded in the *E. p* extract (Fang et al., 2015).

Dimethyl-wedelolactone (DWL) is an important polyphenolic component in the profile of *E. p* due to its antihepatoma toxic properties. Using 60/40 % sand/peat as a growth substrate, we

found about ten times higher concentration of DWL than reported by Murali et al. (2002). Some minor poly-phenols (such as 5-O-caffeoylquinic acid, quercetin-3-arabioside, 4-O-caffeoylquinic acid, and protocatechuic acid) are detected for the first time in the extract of *E. p* samples. Protocatechuic acid plays an essential role in the tolerance of rice during anaerobic flooding germination, promotion of shoot elongation, and the increase in chlorophyll b (Khanh et al., 2018). Our results indicate that both growth substrate and mycorrhizal inoculation, leads to further changes in the production of secondary metabolites of *E. p*. These findings confirm some previous work, where qualitative changes due to AMF were recognized in alkaloid, terpene, flavonoid, and phenolic acids in some medicinal plants (Zeng et al., 2013), but not in *E. p*. The mechanism by which AMF changed the quality and quantity of production of the active ingredients of *E. p* can be multidirectional and not well understood (Toussaint et al., 2007). AMF symbiosis could significantly enhance the contents of some secondary metabolites of medicinal plants might be due to the upgraded nutrient availability to plants (Chandra et al., 2010). Enhanced the overall uptake of phosphorus and nitrogen by AMF resulting in an increment of nutritional status, and eventually increased synthesis of amino acids and specific metabolites in medicinal plants (Johansen et al., 1996; Jugran et al., 2015; Zubek et al., 2012). Our results showed higher phenolic content, and an increase of main polyphenols (such as wedelolactone; luteolin; 4,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid; and quercetin-3-arabioside) of mycorrhizae inoculated plants compared with the controls (Fig. 13, 14). Avio et al. (2017) asserted that AMF responsible in further rise of polyphenols and antioxidants in red and green Oak leaf lettuce cultivars. These findings also agree with other studies where *Cynara cardunculus* and *Ocimum basilicum* plants increased the phenolic contents (Zeng et al., 2013). Furthermore, other reports conclude that the changes in the total phenols concentration can be related by the influence pathways producing fatty acids, amino acids, and apocarotenoids in the cycle of tricarboxylic acids, which results in sub-products that interfere in the integration of phenolic compounds (Lohse et al., 2005). Moreover, the sugar content that was regulated by AMF colonization was found to be responsible in the activation or inactivation of gene expression phenols biosynthesis pathways (Mota-Fernández et al., 2011). In addition, it was reported that changes in phytohormone production in plants inoculated with AMF could also affect the quantity and quality of secondary metabolites (Bencherif et al., 2019; Jeong et al., 2007; Jugran et al., 2015; Mandal et al., 2015, 2013). Jeong et al. (2007), reported that auxin's and cytokinin's concentrations affect the production of secondary metabolites. These phytohormones concentration in the host plant could be change as a result of mycorrhization. Mandal et al. (2013) showed that the induction of jasmonic

acid pathway in mycorrhizal *Stevia rebaudiana* plant enhanced the biosynthesis of stevioside and rebaudioside. Another reason could be attributed in the synthesis of secondary metabolite that AMF further induce defense related compounds including proteins and phenolic compounds in plants (Cameron et al., 2013; Fontana et al., 2009; Volpin et al., 1994). However, contrasting results have been reported that AMF decreased the phenolic content in *Ocimum basilicum* and *Salvia* (Lee and Scagel, 2009), and it was also influenced by host plants genotype.




Figure 16. Dendrogram of the different treatments with and without AMF obtained from the hierarchical cluster analysis. AMF+: mycorrhizal plants, AMF–: nonmycorrhizal plants; A: AMF+ 100/0 sand/peat % (v/v); B: AMF+ 80/20 sand/peat % (v/v); C: AMF+ 60/40 sand/peat % (v/v); D: AMF+ 40/60 sand/peat % (v/v); E: AMF+20/80 sand/peat % (v/v); F: AMF+ 0/100 sand/peat % (v/v); CA: 100/0 sand/peat % (v/v); CB: 80/20 sand/peat % (v/v); CC: 60/40 sand/peat % (v/v); CD:40/60 sand/peat % (v/v); CE: 20/80 sand/peat % (v/v); CF: 0/100 sand/peat % (v/v).




Figure 17. Principal component analysis of polyphenolic data of different treatments. A scatter plot (PC1 versus PC2). (A), A zoomed in scatterplot on the cluster containing treatments (B). A= AMF+ 1 00/0 sand/peat % (v/v); B= AMF+ 80/20 sand/peat % (v/v); C= AMF+ 60/40 sand/peat % (v/v); D= AMF+ 40/60 sand/peat % (v/v); E= AMF+ 20/80 sand/peat % (v/v); F= AMF+ 0/1 00 sand/peat % (v/v); CA= 1 00/0 sand/peat % (v/v); CB= 80/20 sand/peat % (v/v); CC= 60/40 sand/peat % (v/v); CD= 40/60 sand/peat % (v/v); CE= 20/80 sand/peat % (v/v); CF= 0/1 00 sand/peat % (v/v).

4.2. The interactive effects of salinity stress and AM inoculation on physio-biochemical parameters and polyphenol profiles of *Eclipta prostrata*

4.2.1 Root colonization and growth parameters

Microscopic observation of the roots showed that no mycorrhizal colonization in non-AM plants during plant growth was detected. After four weeks of growth, the mycorrhizal colonization rate of AM plants obtained 54 % under non-stress conditions, while the rate was 58.4 % in those treated with 100 mM NaCl (Fig. 18). No significant differences could be found between mycorrhizal plants under non-stress conditions and salt stress at 100 mM NaCl. Nonetheless, high salinity (200 mM NaCl) considerably decreased the colonization percentage to 29.6 % at this plant growth stage. Interestingly, we did not find any substantial differences in mycorrhizal colonization rates among colonized plants under non-stress and saline conditions at eight weeks. Their rates were 51.9 %, 47.4 %, and 43 % in mycorrhizal plants under non-stress, moderate, and high salt stress. The percentage of AM colonization in AM plants under high saline conditions at the later stage was significantly elevated ($P < 0.05$) relative to those at the early stage.




Figure 18. Arbuscular mycorrhizal colonization in roots of *E. p.* in inoculated plants under non-stress, moderate (100 mM NaCl), and high saline (200 mM NaCl) conditions four and eight weeks after inoculation. * indicates a significant difference at $P < 0.05$ according to the two-tailed test in the same treatments between four weeks and eight weeks after inoculation.

Exposure of *E. p.* plants to salt stresses, particularly at high salt stress (200 mM NaCl), led to a considerable decrement in all growth parameters tested at both plant growth stages (Table 2). Under non-stress conditions, mycorrhizal inoculation substantially enhanced root weight (by 625 and 75.6 %), shoot weight (111 and 125 %), leaf number (89 and 107 %), stem diameter (70 and 47.1 %), and leaf area (81.5 and 99.6 %) at four and eight weeks as compared to those of non-AM plants, while

plant height remained unchanged in AM plants. In the presence of 100 mM NaCl, the increase in shoot weight (by 93 % at eight weeks), leaf number (68.1 and 96.3 %), leaf area (59.7 and 88.5 %) at both times measured in colonized plants were observed, compared to those of non-AM plants. AM colonization also markedly elevated leaf area at four weeks (by 101 %) in plants treated by 200 mM NaCl in comparison to those of corresponding uncolonized plants.

Table 2. Growth parameters of *E. p* inoculated with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi or not inoculated under non-stress, moderate, and high saline conditions four and eight weeks after inoculation

Treatment		Root weight (g/plant)		Shoot weight (g/plant)		Leaf number (leaf/plant)		Plant height (cm/plant)		Stem diameter (mm/plant)		Leaf area (cm ² /plant)	
Stress conditions	Arbuscular mycorrhizal	4 weeks	8 weeks	4 weeks	8 weeks	4 weeks	8 weeks	4 weeks	8 weeks	4 weeks	8 weeks	4 weeks	8 weeks
Non-stress	AMF -	0.17 ± 0.05 ^c	1.68 ± 0.56 ^b	1.43 ± 0.42 ^{bc}	4.4 ± 0.7 ^b	10.0 ± 1.5 ^d	15.2 ± 1.5 ^{bc}	11.3 ± 6.0 ^{ab}	24.6 ± 6.6 ^a	2.0 ± 0.3 ^b	2.93 ± 0.2 ^b	8.73 ± 0.7 ^b	11.93 ± 2.8 ^{bc}
	AMF +	1.08 ± 0.4 ^a	2.95 ± 0.72 ^a	3.02 ± 0.4 ^a	9.9 ± 2.6 ^a	18.9 ± 2.9 ^a	31.5 ± 10.1 ^a	13.7 ± 6.0 ^a	25.5 ± 6.5 ^a	3.4 ± 0.7 ^a	4.31 ± 0.8 ^a	15.85 ± 2.6 ^a	23.81 ± 3.7 ^a
100 mM NaCl	AMF -	0.53 ± 0.3 ^b	0.15 ± 0.05 ^c	0.99 ± 0.33 ^{cd}	1.4 ± 0.1 ^c	9.4 ± 0.4 ^d	11.1 ± 1.5 ^c	6.9 ± 2.2 ^{bc}	10.9 ± 3.0 ^b	1.9 ± 0.1 ^{bc}	2.13 ± 0.1 ^{bc}	5.24 ± 0.8 ^{cd}	7.63 ± 1.0 ^c
	AMF +	0.78 ± 0.3 ^{ab}	0.41 ± 0.06 ^c	1.82 ± 0.6 ^b	2.7 ± 0.3 ^b	15.8 ± 3.2 ^b	21.8 ± 3.7 ^b	7.9 ± 2.1 ^{bc}	13.3 ± 2.2 ^b	2.4 ± 0.1 ^b	2.45 ± 0.1 ^{bc}	8.37 ± 0.6 ^b	14.38 ± 3.7 ^b
200 mM NaCl	AMF -	0.03 ± 0.00 ^c	0.13 ± 0.02 ^c	0.44 ± 0.1 ^d	0.9 ± 0.4 ^c	9.4 ± 0.5 ^d	9.0 ± 1.7 ^c	3.8 ± 0.5 ^c	5.0 ± 0.6 ^c	1.4 ± 0.2 ^c	2.06 ± 0.4 ^c	3.28 ± 0.6 ^d	7.28 ± 1.5 ^c
	AMF +	0.11 ± 0.02 ^c	0.19 ± 0.04 ^c	0.66 ± 0.5 ^d	1.6 ± 0.6 ^c	12.8 ± 1.1 ^c	11.7 ± 0.3 ^c	5.6 ± 0.7 ^c	10.1 ± 2.1 ^b	1.8 ± 0.3 ^{bc}	2.36 ± 0.4 ^{bc}	6.60 ± 0.5 ^{bc}	9.15 ± 1.8 ^{bc}
Source of variation (ns = not significant, *P ≤ 0.05, **P ≤ 0.01, ***P ≤ 0.001)													
Mycorrhizal inoculation (M)		*	*	***	***	***	***	ns	ns	**	**	***	***
Salt stress (S)		***	***	***	***	**	**	*	***	***	***	***	***
M x S		ns	*	ns	**	*	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	*	*

The means ± standard deviation is shown (n = 5 for mycorrhizal colonization, n = 3 for other parameters). Different letters in each column indicates significant difference according to the Tukey test ($P < 0.05$) among treatments 4 and 8 weeks after inoculation. AMF, arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi; ns, non-significant difference.

4.2.2 Chlorophyll fluorescence

A slight increase in the maximal photochemical efficiency of photosystem II (Fv/Fm) was found in plants under salt stress conditions, no significant differences between mycorrhizal and non-mycorrhizal plants were found under the same conditions at both stage of plant growth (Fig. 19).

Nevertheless, non-stress mycorrhizal plants showed a considerable augmentation in F_v/F_m during plant growth. Mycorrhizal treatment was the main factor substantially influencing F_v/F_m at four and eight weeks ($P < 0.01$), whereas the effect of salt stress was statistically significant on this parameter at 4 weeks ($P < 0.05$).




Figure 19. Maximal photochemical efficiency of photosystem II (F_v/F_m) in leaves of *E. p* inoculated with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi or not inoculated under non-stress, moderate (100 mM NaCl), and high saline (200 mM NaCl) conditions four and eight weeks after inoculation. AMF, arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. Each bar shows the mean \pm standard deviation ($n = 3$). Different regular and capital letters indicate significant differences among treatments according to the Duncan test ($P < 0.05$) four weeks and eight weeks, respectively, after inoculation. **, significant differences at $P < 0.01$. ns, not significant. AMF, arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. M, mycorrhizal inoculation effect. S, salt stress effect. M \times S, the interaction between mycorrhizal inoculation and salt stress.

4.2.3 Proline concentration

Salinity heightened proline concentrations in mycorrhizal and non-mycorrhizal plants at four weeks (Fig. 20). In detail, 4.7 and 8.2 folds of proline content in non-AM plants exposed to 100 and 200 mM NaCl over the control (non-AM plants) were detected while 5.3 and 6.8 folds of proline level in AM plants under moderate and high saline conditions over non-stress mycorrhizal plants, respectively, were recorded. There are no significant differences between AM and non-AM plants under non-stress and high saline conditions. A nearly similar trend was observed at eight weeks of growth. Plants exposed to salt stresses substantially accumulated a higher proline content in comparison to non-exposed ones. Notably, under moderate salinity, the proline level in AM plants was 116 % higher than non-AM plants. The effect of mycorrhizal inoculation (M) and salt stress (S) were statistically significant on proline concentration measured at four and eight weeks (at least $P < 0.05$) with an existence of the interaction between two factors at eight weeks ($P < 0.05$).




Figure 20. Proline concentration in leaves of *E. p* inoculated with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi or not inoculated under non-stress, moderate (100 mM NaCl), and high saline (200 mM NaCl) conditions four and eight weeks after inoculation. Each bar shows the mean \pm standard deviation ($n = 3$). Different regular and capital letters indicate significant differences among treatments according to the Duncan test ($P < 0.05$) four weeks and eight weeks, respectively, after inoculation. *, ***, significant differences at $P < 0.05$, 0.001. ns, not significant. AMF, arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. M, mycorrhizal inoculation effect. S, salt stress effect. MxS, the interaction between mycorrhizal inoculation and salt stress.

4.2.4 Antioxidant enzymatic activities

At the early stage of plant growth, mycorrhizal plants substantially increased POD activity under moderate salt stress. In contrast, the activity of this enzyme decreased in non-AM plants subjected to 100 mM NaCl (Figure 21A). No significant differences could be seen in other treatments. At the later stage, POD activity was considerably lowered (by 80.8 %, $P < 0.05$) in non-AM plants under non-stress conditions and (by 37.4 %, $P < 0.05$) in moderate-salted mycorrhizal plants, but it was substantially leaped (by 140 %, $P < 0.05$) in uncolonized plants exposed to 200 mM NaCl. Salt treatments remarkably induced almost three and seven folds higher POD activity in non-stress uncolonized plants subjected to 100 mM and 200 mM NaCl, respectively, at eight weeks. In contrast, both saline levels did not elevate POD activity in colonized plants. However, AM inoculation triggered an increase in POD activity by nearly six folds in non-stress plants. Under moderate salt and high salt stress, no significant differences in POD activity were found between non-AM and AM plants. Mycorrhizal treatment markedly impacted POD at four weeks ($P < 0.01$), while salinity remarkably affected POD at eight weeks ($P < 0.05$).

In terms of SOD activity, observed differences between mycorrhizal and non-mycorrhizal plants under non-stress and salt levels were not statistically significant at four and eight weeks (Figure 21B). There were substantial increments in this enzyme activity in non-AM plants under high salt

stress (by 257 %, $P < 0.05$), non-stress AM plants (by 131 %, $P < 0.05$), and mycorrhizal plants exposed to moderate saline conditions (by 112 %, $P < 0.05$) at the later stage versus the early stage of plant growth. Salinity considerably affected SOD at four weeks ($P < 0.05$).




Figure 21. Peroxidase (POD) (A), superoxidase dismutase (SOD) (B), and catalase (CAT) (C) activity in leaves of *E. p* inoculated with arbuscular mycorrhiza or not inoculated under non-stress, moderate (100 mM NaCl), and high saline (200 mM NaCl) conditions four and eight weeks after inoculation. AMF, arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. Each bar shows the mean \pm standard deviation ($n = 3$). Different regular and capital letters indicate significant differences among treatments according to the Duncan test ($P < 0.05$) four and eight weeks, respectively, after inoculation. +, ++, +++ indicate a significant difference between the same treatments four weeks and eight weeks after inoculation at $P < 0.05$.

$P < 0.01$, and $P < 0.001$, respectively, according to the two-tailed test. ns, non-significant. *, **, ***, significant differences at $P < 0.05$, 0.01, 0.001. AMF, arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. M, mycorrhizal inoculation effect. S, salt stress effect. M×S, the interaction between mycorrhizal inoculation and salt stress.

Under non-stress conditions, mycorrhizal application significantly dropped CAT activity in plants at four weeks (Figure 21C). Moderate salt stress triggered a substantially higher level (by 205 %) of this enzyme activity in colonized plants but remarkably lessened it (by 65.2 %) in non-AM plants as compared to corresponding ones. When plants were exposed to high salt concentration, no changes in CAT activity were recorded in mycorrhizal plants. Conversely, CAT activity was markedly reduced (by 90.9 %) in uncolonized plants in comparison with those under non-stress conditions. Under all conditions, no significant differences in CAT activity were found in both mycorrhizal and non-mycorrhizal plants at eight weeks of growth. Nevertheless, profound declines in CAT activity in non-AM plants under non-stress (by 292 %, $P < 0.001$) and AM plants exposed to moderate salt stress (by 70.8 %, $P < 0.05$) eight weeks versus four weeks after inoculation were observed. Salinity remarkably affected CAT at four ($P < 0.001$) and eight weeks ($P < 0.05$).

4.2.5 Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi altered individual phenolic compounds of *E. prostrata* under non-saline and saline conditions

The quantitative and qualitative measurements of polyphenols in leaves of *E. p* were implemented by HPLC-DAD analysis. The gradient elution applied was able to efficiently separate fourteen phenolic constituents in plants four weeks after growth, namely eight hydroxycinnamates (caffeic acid; ferulic acid; 3,4-O-dicaffeoylquinic acid; 3,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid; 4-O-caffeoylquinic acid; 4,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid; 5-O-caffeoylquinic acid; feruloylquinic acid), four flavonoids (luteolin-glucoside; luteolin; luteolin-7-O-glucoside; quercetin-3-arabinoside), and two coumarins (wedelolactone and demethyl wedelolactone) (Figure. 22A), but only thirteen components of polyphenols (feruloylquinic acid was under detection limit) were determined in eight-week plants (Figure 22B).




Figure 22. HPLC profile of polyphenols from leaves of *E. p.* separated on C18 Protect-1,250x4,6mm eluted with gradient of Acetonitril in 1 % formic acid solution four weeks (A) and eight weeks (B) after inoculation. Peak identifications of (A): 1= 5-*O*-caffeoylquinic acid; 2= 4-*O*-caffeoylquinic acid; 3= caffeic acid; 4= 3,4-*O*-dicaffeoylquinic acid; 5= 3,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid; 6= uteolin-glucoside; 7= luteolin-7-*O*-glucoside; 8= ferulic acid; 9= quercetin-3-arabinoside; 10= demethyl wedelactone; 11= feruloylquinic acid; 12= 4,5-*O*-dicaffeoylquinic acid; 13= luteolin; 14= wedelolactone. Peak identifications of (B): 1= 5-*O*-caffeoylquinic acid; 2= 4-*O*-caffeoylquinic acid; 3= caffeic acid; 4= 3,4-*O*-dicaffeoylquinic acid; 5= 3,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid; 6= luteolin-glucoside; 7= luteolin-7-*O*-glucoside; 8= ferulic acid; 9= quercetin-3-arabinoside; 10= demethyl wedelactone; 11= 4,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid; 12= luteolin; 13= wedelolactone.

Among polyphenols, wedelolactone and/or 4,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid were abundant in all plants under different conditions. At the early stage of growth, the content of the total and individual polyphenols was mainly affected by salinity, whereas both mycorrhizal inoculation and salt stress influenced phenolic production at the later growth stage (Figure 23 and 24). In detail, after four weeks of growth, there was a considerable effect of mycorrhizal inoculation (M) on the contents of four flavonoids (at least $P < 0.05$), five hydroxycinnamic acids (at least $P < 0.01$), and demethyl wedelolactone ($P < 0.001$). Salinity had a substantial impact on the level of all polyphenol compounds tested (at least $P < 0.05$), except dimethyl wedelolactone and 5-*O*-caffeoylquinic acid. Interactions between two main effects on 3,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid ($P < 0.001$), ferulic acid ($P < 0.01$), feruloylquinic acid ($P < 0.001$), 4,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid ($P < 0.05$), and luteolin ($P < 0.01$) were found.

When plants reached eight weeks of age, mycorrhizal colonization significantly influenced all polyphenol compounds (at least $P < 0.05$), except dimethyl wedelolactone. Likewise, salinity elicited sharp changes in all polyphenols (with at least $P < 0.01$). Interactions between two main effects on most polyphenols were recorded (at least $P < 0.05$, except luteolin-glucoside, and demethyl wedelolactone).

After four weeks of growth, mycorrhizal colonization resulted in a significant increase in the total polyphenols (by 139 %) in non-stress plants. Such a tendency was observed in the content of wedelolactone (105 %), 3,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid (404 %), 4,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid (1281 %), feruloylquinic acid (2901 %). Moderate salinity significantly induced higher total phenolics (166 %), and seven individual polyphenols such as wedelolactone (134 %), ferulic acid (239 %), 3,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid (842 %), 4,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid (1436 %), 4-*O*-caffeoylquinic acid (336 %), caffeic acid (171 %), luteolin (287 %) in uncolonized plants at four weeks, while these increments were not found under high salt stress, except ferulic acid, 5-*O*-caffeoylquinic acid, and luteolin. By contrast, under both salt stresses, the decrement trend was seen in the content of total polyphenols and wedelolactone, luteolin-7-glucoside, feruloylquinic acid in mycorrhizal plants, being more severe under high salt stress, whereas there were no significant changes in the concentration of ferulic acid, 4,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid, 3,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid, 4-*O*-caffeoylquinic acid, 5-*O*-caffeoylquinic acid, quercetin-3-arabinoside, and 3,4-*O*-dicaffeoylquinic acid, in colonized plants as compared to the counterparts of non-stress mycorrhizal ones. Noticeably, under moderate salinity, the concentration of wedelolactone, ferulic acid, and 4-*O*-caffeoylquinic acid were substantially higher in non-AM plants than AM plants. Nevertheless, the fungal symbiont markedly enhanced the content of luteolin (62.3 %) in host plants in relation to those of non-AM plants. Besides, demethyl wedelolactone was under the detection limit in colonized plants under such stress, but their feruloylquinic acid was detectable. When exposed to high salinity (200 mM NaCl), fungal colonization positively influenced the level of 3,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid (37 folds more than that of the corresponding uncolonized plants), 4,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid (17 folds), feruloylquinic acid (detectable versus undetectable), and luteolin-glucoside (detectable) but negatively affected the content of ferulic acid (decreased by 268 % over the corresponding uncolonized plants) in colonized plants at 4 weeks.

After eight weeks of growth, salinity led to a significant reduction in the content of total polyphenols and 11 phenolic compounds in non-AM plants, being more severe under high saline conditions. In

eight-week mycorrhizal plants, moderate salinity also depressed the content of total polyphenols and eight phenolic substances, but the descending trend was alleviated in most bioactive compounds under high saline conditions. The level of few metabolites such as ferulic acid, 4-*O*-caffeoylquinic acid, and luteolin-glucoside was even profoundly enhanced by 93.7, 204, and 74 %, respectively, in AM plants exposed to high salinity relative to non-stress AM plants. Noticeably, after eight weeks of growth in the presence of 200 mM NaCl, the concentrations of all phenolic compounds were sharply inclined in mycorrhizal plants in relation to the counterparts of non-AM plants, except dimethyl wedelolactone. The highest and lowest increase induced by AMF were 4-*O*-caffeoylquinic acid (more than ten folds) and luteolin-glucoside (160 %), respectively.

Interestingly, significant changes in the content of phenolic compounds in non-AM and AM plants were observed over time. Under non-stress conditions, there were substantial increases in the content of most polyphenols in AM (eight phenolics) and non-AM plants (ten phenolics) at eight weeks versus their levels in the corresponding plants at four weeks. Considerable decreases in the content of seven individual phenolics were found in uncolonized plants treated with 100 mM NaCl eight weeks after growth versus those four weeks after growth. By contrast, substantial inclines in the concentration of three polyphenols, while a dramatic decrement in luteolin level (73 %) were detected in colonized plants exposed to moderate salinity at eight weeks relative to counterparts of those at four weeks. A significant augmentation in the level of two polyphenols whilst remarkable declines in four phenolics concentrations were found in uncolonized plants subjected to 200 mM NaCl at eight weeks versus four weeks. Contrariwise, pronounced increases in the concentration of all phenolic compounds were recorded in colonized plants exposed to high salinity at the early stage of plant growth relative to counterparts of those at the later stage.




Figure 23. Contents of HPLC total polyphenols (A) and major polyphenols: wedelolactone (B), demethyl wedelolactone (C), ferulic acid (D), 3,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid (E), 4,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid (F), luteolin-7-O-glucoside (G), and quercetin-3-arabinoside (H) in leaves of *E. p.* inoculated with arbuscular mycorrhiza or not inoculated under non-stress, moderate (100 mM NaCl), and high saline (200 mM NaCl) conditions four and eight weeks after inoculation. Each bar shows the mean \pm standard deviation ($n = 3$). Different regular and capital letters indicate significant differences among treatments four and eight weeks after inoculation, respectively, according to the Duncan test ($P < 0.05$). +, ++, +++ indicate significant differences between the same treatments four weeks and eight weeks after inoculation at $P < 0.05$, $P < 0.01$, and $P < 0.001$, respectively, according to the two-tailed test. ns, non-significant. *, **, ***, significant

differences at $P < 0.05, 0.01, 0.001$. AMF, arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. M, mycorrhizal inoculation effect. S, salt stress effect. M×S, the interaction between mycorrhizal inoculation and salt stress. UDL, under the detection limit.




Figure 24. Contents of polyphenols: caffeic acid (A), 4-O-caffeoylquinic acid (B), 5-O-caffeoylquinic acid (C), 3,4-O-dicaffeoylquinic acid (D), feruloylquinic acid (E), luteolin (F), luteolin-glucoside (G) in leaves of *E. p* inoculated with arbuscular mycorrhiza or not inoculated under non-stress, moderate (100 mM NaCl), and high saline (200 mM NaCl) conditions four and eight weeks after inoculation. Each bar shows the mean \pm standard deviation ($n = 3$). Different regular and capital letters indicate significant differences among treatments four and eight weeks after inoculation,

respectively, according to the Duncan test ($P < 0.05$). +, ++, +++ indicate significant differences between the same treatments four and eight weeks after inoculation at $P < 0.05$, $P < 0.01$, and $P < 0.001$, respectively, according to the two-tailed test. ns, non-significant. *, **, ***, significant differences at $P < 0.05$, 0.01, 0.001. AMF, arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. M, mycorrhizal inoculation effect. S, salt stress effect. M×S, the interaction between mycorrhizal inoculation and salt stress. UDL, under the detection limit.

4.2.6 Principal component analysis (PCA) of individual polyphenols

Principal component analyses of individual polyphenols were performed, independently for each harvest time, to correlate variables determined under different conditions at four and eight weeks. The results demonstrated that 55.6 and 80.8 % of the total variation were explained by the first two principal components (PC1 and PC2) at four and eight weeks, respectively (Figure 25). After four weeks of growth, 33.6 % of the total variation was covered by the PC1, which had strong positive associations mainly with wedelolactone, 4,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid, quercetin-3-arabinoside, 4-*O*-caffeoylquinic acid, 3,4-*O*-dicaffeoylquinic acid, 3,5-*O*-dicaffeoylquinic acid, and luteolin-glucoside. PC2, covering 21.9 %, was contributed primarily by caffeic acid (positive association) and feruloylquinic acid (negative association). In the next stage of plant growth (8 weeks), as much as 67.5 % of the total variation was covered by the PC1, which was positively influenced by all phenolic compounds (13 individual polyphenols with luteolin and luteolin-glucoside having fewer impacts). PC2 explaining 13.3 % of the total variance is positively influenced mainly by luteolin-glucoside and luteolin but negatively impacted principally by demethyl wedelolactone. At four weeks, high positive correlations between ferulic acid and 5-*O*-caffeoylquinic acid, demethyl wedelolactone and caffeic acid, 4-*O*-caffeoylquinic acid and 3,5-*O*-dicaffeoylquinic acid, 3,5-*O*-dicaffeoylquinic acid and luteolin-glucoside, luteolin-glucoside and 4,5-*O*-dicaffeoylquinic acid, quercetin-3-arabinoside and 3,4-*O*-dicaffeoylquinic acid, and luteolin-7-*O*-glucoside and feruloylquinic acid could be seen, whereas there were negative associations between feruloylquinic acid/luteolin-7-*O*-glucoside and ferulic acid/5-*O*-caffeoylquinic acid (Figure 25A). At eight weeks, there were robust positive correlations between 4-*O*-caffeoylquinic acid and 4,5-*O*-dicaffeoylquinic acid, 5-*O*-caffeoylquinic acid and 3,5-*O*-dicaffeoylquinic acid, 3,5-*O*-dicaffeoylquinic acid and quercetin-3-arabinoside, and wedelolactone and luteolin-7-*O*-glucoside (Figure 25B). The biplot also demonstrated a relatively clear discrimination among the groups of the control treatment (C_0) and mycorrhizal treatment (A_0) under non-saline conditions, the control treatment under 200 mM NaCl (C_{200}), and the other group ($A_{100} + A_{200} + C_{100}$) at four weeks. Differences among C_{200} , C_0 , and

A_0 groups were distinguished by PC1, while PC2 discriminated between the salinity stresses and non-saline groups at 4 weeks. Four different clusters— C_0 , A_{100} (AM inoculation under 100 mM NaCl), $A_0 + A_{200}$ (AM treatment under non-stress and high salt stress conditions), and $C_{100} + C_{200}$ (control treatment in the presence of 100 and 200 mM NaCl) were recognized at 8 weeks. Obviously, AM inoculation under non-saline and high saline conditions influencing individual polyphenols was different from the other groups at the later stage of plant growth.




Figure 25. Principal component analysis of individual polyphenols in leaves of *E. p* inoculated with arbuscular mycorrhiza or not inoculated under non-stress, saline conditions four weeks (A) and eight weeks (B) after inoculation. C0: control treatment (plants without mycorrhiza) under non-saline conditions, C₁₀₀: control treatment exposed to 100 mM NaCl, C₂₀₀: control treatment exposed to 200 mM NaCl, A0: mycorrhizal treatment under non-saline conditions, A₁₀₀: mycorrhizal treatment exposed to 100 mM NaCl, A₂₀₀: mycorrhizal treatment exposed to 200 mM NaCl.

4.2.7 Discussion in the effect of AMF on growth, physico-chemical parameters, and polyphenols alteration in *E. p* under salt stress

It is well documented that AMF affect directly or indirectly the growth and performance of various plant species under salinity stress (Ait-El-Mokhtar et al., 2020; Amanifar and Toghranegar, 2020; Santander et al., 2019), responses of *E. p* inoculated with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi to salt stress, particularly in terms of phytochemical constituents, have not been investigated before. In the current work, under high saline level (200 mM NaCl), the percentage of mycorrhizal colonization was markedly declined after four weeks of plant growth, but the decrease was unnoticeable at the eight weeks of plant growth (at later stage). The negative impact of salt stress on AM colonization capacity at the beginning stage of plant growth could be explained by the direct inhibitory effect of NaCl on extraradical hyphal growth, sporulation and spore germination (Garg and Chandel, 2015), afterwards, AMF may tolerate and adapt to such level of salt at eight weeks of plant growth. Earlier investigations reported that salt stress reduced mycorrhizal colonization rate though it was based on AMF isolates and plant species (Santander et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2019).

As a result of salt stress, high osmotic potential and ionic imbalances affect negatively plant growth, due to the perturbation in normal metabolism, water, and nutrient uptake (Santander et al., 2017). Under salinity stress, the assessment of plant biomass represents the direct characteristic on symbiosis-mediated plant performance. AM inoculation has been proven to enhance the growth features of different plant species subjected to saline conditions, such as lettuce (Santander et al., 2019), date palm (*Phoenix dactylifera* L.) (Ait-El-Mokhtar et al., 2020), Alfalfa (Shi-chu et al., 2019) and medicinal plant *Valeriana officinalis* (L.) (Amanifar and Toghranegar, 2020). These results support that the beneficial effects of AM application on the growth parameters of host plants under salt stress are in accordance with our findings under moderate salinity (increased fresh shoot weight, leaf number, and leaf area). An array of strategies have been recruited to explain the higher

plant growth and development under salinity, such as improved nutrient uptake, net photosynthetic rate, stomatal conductance, relative water content, and osmoprotection; enhanced antioxidative enzymes; and maintenance of ionic homeostasis (Evelin et al., 2019). Furthermore, fungal symbiosis was shown to diminish the mobilization of Na^+ to prevent their excess levels to plant tissues by their retain probably into intraradical hyphae (Giri et al., 2007; Rivero et al., 2018). A substantial decrement in Na^+ translocation from roots to shoots was observed in colonized plants under salinity (Moreira et al., 2020), which may participate to the higher plant growth. However, the AM advantageous not obvious under high salt conditions (200 mM NaCl), this failure of plant growth might be in consequence to nutritional imbalance, excessive uptake of sodium (Na^+) and chloride (Cl^-) ions, and the over production of ROS (Isayenkov and Maathuis, 2019), the AM benefits have been also found linked to the intensity of salt stress. Moreover, our results proposed that *E. p* inoculated by AM fungi could be cultivated in agricultural areas with saline irrigation below 100 mM NaCl ($\text{EC} = 10 \text{ dS m}^{-1}$) and/or slightly and moderately saline soils (EC of the saturation extract from 4 to 8 dS m^{-1}).

The osmolytes accumulation such as proline have a great role to mediate salt stress tolerance in plants, stabilizing cellular structures and membranes (Maswada et al., 2018; Meena et al., 2019). Our current study revealed that under moderate salt stress, proline production was stimulated by the fungal symbiosis during plant growth, in accordance with the report of Santander et al.(2019), highlighting its contribution to the reduction of oxidative damage. Moreover, there are a conflicting results, which Amanifar and Toghranegar, (2020) illustrated that under salt stresses, a lower proline level was found in leaves of medicinal plants *Valeriana officinalis* inoculated by either *R. irregularis* or *F. mosseae* while its higher concentration was recorded in roots treated by *F. mosseae*. It might be refer to the various functions of this free amino acid in belowground and aboveground parts of the plant (Kang et al., 2019). also may indicate mitigation of the stress (e.g., maintaining the ratio of K^+ / Na^+) upstream of proline synthesis (Evelin et al., 2019). The increase of proline level in our results may be linked with low oxidative damage in moderate-salted mycorrhizal plants. In fact, proline serves as an osmoprotectant and effective ROS scavenger, thus decreasing ROS damage as shown by the findings in this study.

As a fundamental structures of the photosynthetic apparatus, photosystem (PS) I and II are liable to saline conditions. Wang et al. (2019) reported that salt stress can affect negatively the process of photosynthesis through the demolish of the reaction center of PS II and disturbing the electron

transport from PS II to PS I. Our results demonstrate that salt stress was not affected the maximal photochemical efficiency of PS II (Fv/Fm), indicating that salinity did not impair the photosynthetic system under the experimental conditions. Besides, AMF did augment Fv/Fm under non-stress conditions but not under both salt stresses. Contradictory to the findings of Wang et al. (2019). The reasons may be accountable to differences in growth conditions, stress treatments, stress duration, as well as specific interaction between fungal and host plant, as found in earlier investigations (Amanifar and Toghranegar, 2020; Duc et al., 2018).

In order to avoid the oxidative stress caused by the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS), plants upregulate the activities of antioxidant systems where SOD, POD, and CAT are important enzymes responsible for rapid scavenging of the harmful ROS. In the present study, under moderate salt stress, AMF highly stimulated the activity of POD at four weeks and CAT at four and eight weeks in *E. p* plants, while mycorrhizal application did not change the activity of other enzymes at both times of measurement. The reason could be explained by that POD and CAT were two fundamental antioxidative enzymes in mycorrhizal *E. p* plants to mitigate the oxidative stress caused by moderate saline conditions. By contrast, the fungal symbiosis even dropped POD activity at eight weeks, whereas did not change SOD, CAT activity in response to high salt stress. SOD functions as the first defense line to deal with ROS, catalyzing the dismutation of superoxide radical (O_2^-) or singlet oxygen (1O_2) to H_2O_2 and O_2 (Mittler, 2002). H_2O_2 is a potentially destructive subproduct of oxygen metabolism and is scavenged from cell compartments via CAT and peroxidases (Mittler, 2002). Interestingly, Mayer et al. (2019) demonstrated that the defense enzymes induced by AMF varied with plant age, which is an agreement with our observation. Similarly, strengthened POD and CAT activity were reported in other plants inoculated with AMF under salinity conditions (Ait-El-Mokhtar et al., 2019; Santander et al., 2019).

Phenolic substances, the most pronounced secondary metabolites present in plants, play a crucial role in the formation of various biomolecules protecting plants against stresses (Saxena et al., 2015). Enhancing the phenolic content may contribute to osmoregulation, ROS protection, or the general defense systems of salt-stressed plants (Alqarawi et al., 2014). In the present study, and under moderate salt stress, The HPLC analysis demonstrated that total polyphenol content was increased at four weeks but declined at eight weeks in non-AM plants. Conversely, in mycorrhizal plants, the total phenolics did not changed at the first stage of plant growth under 100 mM NaCl. But was diminished at the later stage as compared with that of non-stress colonized plants. Moreover, the

decrement was alleviated in AM plants relative to the counterpart non-AM plants in moderate salt stress at 8 weeks. This might be linked to different mechanisms between non-AM and AM plants subjected to moderate salinity during the growth stage in the activation of phenolic production to diminish oxidative damage caused by ROS. Under severe salt stress (200 mM NaCl), total phenolic content in AM plants was significantly higher than that of non-AM plants, especially 8 weeks after inoculation; however, this response was not effective in detoxifying ROS in colonized plants as no higher biomass in mycorrhizal plants subjected to high salinity were found. Increased total phenolic level has been found in salt-stressed *Valeriana officinalis* plants and *Ephedra aphylla* plants due to mycorrhization (Amanifar and Toghranegar, 2020). Phenolics are produced in the shikimic acid pathway in plants using carbohydrate precursors (Lin et al., 2016), thus improved carbohydrate metabolism in colonized plants could uplift the biosynthesis of phenolic substances and/or supply energy source for the fungal symbiosis (Pedone-Bonfim et al., 2018). The interactive effects of AMF and salt stress on bioactive compounds of *E. p* plants have not been investigated before. Polyphenols have been identified to have antioxidant properties that incorporates into plant defenses against oxidative stress, as well as providing human health benefits such as antioxidants, antimicrobial activities, antihypertensive, anti-inflammatory, cardioprotective, anti-allergic, anti-arthritic, and anti-carcinogenic (Lin et al., 2016). In the last few years, many studies have been focusing on antioxidant polyphenols because of restrictions on the use of synthetic antioxidants and enhanced public awareness of health-related issues (Bhuyan and Basu, 2017). In our previous study, nine major polyphenols were identified and measured in *E. p* plants with wedelolactone and dimethyl wedelolactone were abundant in all treatments (Vo et al., 2019). Noticeably, in the present study, we extended identifications to 14 individual phenolics. The findings showed that wedelolactone, an important phenolic compound to prevent inflammatory diseases and cancer in human (Sarveswaran et al., 2012), was one of the two most main components of phenolic compounds in plants during growth stages under different conditions, which is in line with the earlier results (Vo et al., 2019). Also, wedelolactone, recognized to have a hepatoprotective activity, that decrease liver inflammation and hepatocytes apoptosis, attenuated leukocyte infiltration and T-cell activation in concanavalinA-induced liver injury in mice (Luo et al., 2018). The difference in the second main constituent of polyphenols (4,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid in the present experiment versus demethyl wedelolactone in the previous study) may be explained by to the different substrate volume we applied. Our previous findings showing that phenolics were altered by AMF (Vo et al., 2019), which is in line with our present results that under non-stress

conditions, AM colonization considerably influenced the content of six polyphenols in plants during different growth stages. The reasons may be linked to the mechanisms underlying AMF plant interaction during mycorrhization. Adolfsson et al. (2017), reported that AM colonization may induce a secondary metabolism response in the leaves and enhance abscisic acid biosynthesis and flavonoid and terpenoid biosynthesis regulated by jasmonate in the leaves.

Moreover, the modifications in the global metabolic such as the majority of sugars, organic acids, amino acids, fatty acids, and phenolic acids in mycorrhizal shoots leads to the alteration in the accumulation of phenolic compound (Saleh et al., 2020). Therefore, changes in carbohydrate metabolism in colonized plants may affect the biosynthesis of phenolic substances (Pedone-Bonfim et al., 2018). Interestingly, the AMF-induced changes in polyphenol profiles at both stages of plant growth in this work, contradictory to our previous one, in consequence to differences in plant age (4, 8, and 7 weeks) and substrate volume. The content of total and individual phenolic substances in AM and non-AM plants (8 versus 4 weeks) was also affected by plant age. In fact, many biological factors, including developmental ones, contribute to the accumulation of secondary metabolites in plants (Broun et al., 2006). Furthermore, the biosynthesis of secondary metabolites and their storage were affected by the development factors, in which alter the initiation and consequent differentiation of cellular structures related to their (Broun et al., 2006). Notably, developmental stages of the plant impact the expression pattern of biosynthetic genes of secondary metabolites (Sharma, 2018), which could explain the changes in the content of phenolic constituents during plant growth in this study. Salt stress stimulates phenolic compound accumulation in plants as a defense mechanism to stress (Parvaiz and Satyawati, 2008). Therefore, this abiotic stress led to a positive outcome in term of enhancing the production of secondary metabolite of many herbs (Behdad et al., 2020; Bistgani et al., 2019; Boughalleb et al., 2020).

In this study, salinity had the trend toward increasing and remaining phenolic compounds unchanged in non-AM plants under moderate (100 mM NaCl) and sever salt stress (200 mM NaCl), respectively, at the first stage of growth but substantial decreased them at the later stage. In contrast, the decline in polyphenols caused by salt treatments was observed in mycorrhizal plants during the growth stage, with the mitigation at the later growth stage. Different behaviors in individual phenolics accumulation between non-AM and AM plants under saline conditions may result from the difference in the biochemical and physiological status in the host due to mycorrhization and AM benefits. On top of that, mycorrhizal inoculation caused changes in the content of many tested

secondary metabolites of *E. p* plants under both salinity levels at the early stage of plant growth. Noticeably, at the later growth stage, AMF enhanced all phenolic components in the host plants under high salt stress (200 mM NaCl). Furthermore, as noted by Rivero et al. (2018), in response to salt stress, various compounds with antistress properties differentially accumulated in mycorrhizal roots. The fungal symbiont also influenced the age-related changes in the leaf metabolome and partially halted senescence in the leaves, as a consequence a better metabolite accumulation (Shtark et al., 2019). Taken altogether, the metabolic alterations associated with AMF tend to be the reason for the direct impact on polyphenol profiles of *E. p* plant under saline conditions during growth stages. A conflicting observations regarding the influence of AMF on the accumulation of phenolic compound under salt stress. A noticeable lower phenolics was found in leaves of two lettuce cultivars colonized by AMF under salt stresses (Santander et al., 2019). In contrast to other studies, that demonstrated a significant incline in phenolic substances in AM plants (Amanifar and Toghranegar, 2020; Hashem et al., 2018). However, most of the earlier studies only investigated polyphenol profiles at one harvest time. In this work, both plant age and stress intensity have a different trend (increase and decrease) in phenolic compounds under salt stress. It may be owing to the fact that the secondary metabolic pathways and their regulation are incredibly susceptible to environmental factors and growth stages; in which the expression of genes involved in their pathways or their encoded protein activities are susceptible and changed at different plant ages and/or in the presence of various stresses (Li et al., 2020; Sharma, 2018).

4.3. Mycorrhizal tomato plant tolerance to combined drought and heat stress

Plant Growth and Mycorrhizal Colonization

4.3.1 Root colonization and plant growth

Under no stress conditions, a similar trend was observed on fresh plant weight for both AM and non-AM plants. Moreover, both mycorrhiza and stress application significantly affected fresh plant biomass, and the interaction between them was also significant (Table 3).

Table 3. Effects of arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) inoculation (M), stress application (S), and the interaction between (M) and (S) on the measured parameters. Fresh shoot biomass; H₂O₂, hydrogen peroxide; MDA, malondialdehyde, POD, peroxidase; CAT, catalase; PPO, polyphenol oxidase; GST, glutathione-S-transferase

Parameters	Variables	Mean Squares	F-Value	Df	p-Value
Fresh shoot biomass	AM inoculation (M)	4.548	7.42	3	** 0.0006
	Stress application (S)	131.045	213.85	2	*** <0.0001
	M*S	3.819	6.23	6	** 0.0002
Leaf H ₂ O ₂ accumulation	AM inoculation (M)	4.991	18.09	3	*** <0.0001
	Stress application (S)	114.779	415.85	2	*** <0.0001
	M*S	2.26	8.19	6	*** <0.0001
Root H ₂ O ₂ accumulation	AM inoculation (M)	0.7	13.07	3	*** <0.0001
	Stress application (S)	3.894	72.67	2	*** <0.0001
	M*S	0.551	10.28	6	*** <0.0001
Leaf MDA	AM inoculation (M)	0.152	19.89	3	*** <0.0001
	Stress application (S)	1.081	140.73	2	*** <0.0001
	M*S	0.074	9.74	6	*** <0.0001
Root MDA	AM inoculation (M)	0.002	5.3413	3	** 0.0037

	Stress application (S)	0.012	30.1518	2	*** <0.0001
	M*S	0.002	5.7469	6	*** <0.0001
Leaf CAT	AM inoculation (M)	3752.957	12.44	3	*** <0.0001
	Stress application (S)	20,880.46	69.23	2	*** <0.0001
	M*S	1688.4	5.6	6	*** <0.0001
Root CAT	AM inoculation (M)	1951.394	12.46	3	*** <0.0001
	Stress application (S)	16,928.51	108.05	2	*** <0.0001
	M*S	1110.936	7.09	6	*** <0.0001
Leaf POD	AM inoculation (M)	346.791	15.76	3	*** <0.0001
	Stress application (S)	6526.13	296.5	2	*** <0.0001
	M*S	202.406	9.2	6	*** <0.0001
Root POD	AM inoculation (M)	2609.255	23.94	3	*** <0.0001
	Stress application (S)	19,807.52	181.7	2	*** <0.0001
	M*S	1465.06	13.44	6	*** <0.0001
Leaf PPO	AM inoculation (M)	0.003	6.96	3	*** <0.0001
	Stress application (S)	0.08	155.71	2	*** <0.0001
	M*S	0.001	2.21	6	* 0.0155
Root PPO	AM inoculation (M)	0.002	9.76	3	*** <0.0001
	Stress application (S)	0.083	297.48	2	*** <0.0001
	M*S	0.002	8.39	6	*** <0.0001
Leaf GST	AM inoculation (M)	0.054	3.59	3	** 0.0023

	Stress application (S)	0.244	16.02	2	*** <0.0001
	M*S	0.092	6.05	6	*** <0.0001
Root GST	AM inoculation (M)	0.043	2.62	3	* 0.0185
	Stress application (S)	0.52	31.37	2	*** <0.0001
	M*S	0.045	2.77	6	** 0.0026

*, **, and *** indicate significant differences at $P < 0.05$, 0.01 , and 0.001 , respectively.

The fresh shoot biomass was reduced under imposed stresses compared with the NoS condition (Table 4), with almost 32 % of all plants subjected to D + H, while it decreased by 29 % under D + HS for root colonized by *R. irregularis* and *F. coronatum*. In contrast, plants inoculated by *F. mosseae* did not change the biomass as compared with the corresponding non-stress condition.

The assessment of root colonization under microscopic examinations showed a good symbiotic association between different arbuscular mycorrhizal species and tomato plant roots. No mycorrhizal colonization could be detected in the roots of non-mycorrhizal plants. Moreover, no significant differences in the colonization rates of plant inoculated with three AMF inoculums were detected following various stress treatments. The highest percentage of mycorrhization reached 63.82 % in plants treated with *R. irregularis* under D + H and 55.84 % in plants inoculated with *F. mosseae* under D + HS.

Table 4. Fresh plant biomass and AM colonization rate in plants not inoculated or inoculated by *R. irregularis*, *F. mosseae*, or *F. coronatum* under no stress, drought+ heat stress, and drought+ heat shock after eight weeks of growth

Stress Condition	AM Inoculation	Fresh Plant Biomass (g plant ⁻¹)	AM Colonization (%)
No stress	No AM	17.21 ± 0.33 ^{Aa}	0
	<i>R. irregularis</i>	17.86 ± 0.84 ^{Aa}	58.87 ± 6.90
	<i>F. mosseae</i>	17.17 ± 1.41 ^{Aa}	47.27 ± 7.35
	<i>F. coronatum</i>	17.23 ± 1.37 ^{Aa}	48.01 ± 7.11

Drought + heat stress	No AM	11.38 ± 0.43 ^{Bb}	0
	<i>R. irregularis</i>	12.28 ± 0.66 ^{Ba}	63.82 ± 11.47
	<i>F. mosseae</i>	12.02 ± 0.89 ^{Ba}	53.16 ± 6.44
	<i>F. coronatum</i>	11.79 ± 0.59 ^{Bba}	48.36 ± 9.07
Drought + heat shock	No AM	12.31 ± 0.34 ^{Cb}	0
	<i>R. irregularis</i>	12.75 ± 1.12 ^{Bb}	52.09 ± 9.45
	<i>F. mosseae</i>	15.72 ± 0.46 ^{Aa}	55.84 ± 8.14
	<i>F. coronatum</i>	12.18 ± 0.47 ^{Bb}	51.03 ± 7.93

AM, arbuscular mycorrhizal. No AM, without arbuscular mycorrhiza. For each parameter, the means ± standard deviations are presented (n = 4). Different minuscule within a column indicates significant differences among treatments under the same conditions (no stress, drought + heat stress, or drought + heat shock) by Duncan's post hoc test at $P \leq 0.05$. Different capital letters within a column indicate significant differences of the same treatments (No AM, *R. irregularis*, *F. mosseae*, or *F. coronatum*) under different conditions (no stress, drought + heat stress, and drought + heat shock) by Duncan's post hoc test at $P \leq 0.05$.

4.3.2 Accumulation of Hydrogen Peroxide and Lipid Peroxidation

D + H and D + HS significantly increased the generation of H₂O₂ in tomato plants, leading to considerable oxidative damage, which can be measured as malondialdehyde (MDA) content. Under NoS condition, no significant difference was observed in the H₂O₂ amount for tomato leaves: No AM = 3.06 ± 0.46 nmol g⁻¹ FW, *R. irregularis* = 2.38 ± 0.48 nmol g⁻¹ FW, *F. mosseae* = 2.39 ± 0.40 nmol g⁻¹ FW, and *F. coronatum* = 2.96 ± 0.70 nmol g⁻¹ FW (Figure. 26A). However, significant increases in leaf and root H₂O₂ content were detected in plants without AM inoculation only under stress conditions (D + H, D + HS). AM treatment significantly ($P < 0.0001$) reduced H₂O₂ concentration compared with that of non-AM plants (Table 2, Figure 27). In detail, plants inoculated with *F. mosseae* showed a considerably lower H₂O₂ accumulation in leaves under D + H stress relative to non-mycorrhizal ones (reduced by 35 %), for plants inoculated by both *R. irregularis* and *F. coronatum*, where the H₂O₂ decreased by 20 %. Under D + HS, no significant differences in H₂O₂ levels were observed among the three AMF species (*R. irregularis*, *F. mosseae*,

and *F. coronatum*), where AMF species reduced H₂O₂ levels in leaves by almost 23 % compared with no AMF under D + HS.




Figure 26. Hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) and malonaldehyde (MDA) accumulation in leaves (A,C) and roots (B,D) of non-inoculated plants (No arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) and plants inoculated by *R. irregularis* (AM1), *F. mosseae* (AM2), or *F. coronatum* (AM3) subjected to non-stress (NoS), drought + heat stress, and drought + heat shock. Each bar represents the mean and standard deviation (n = 4). Different minuscules indicate significant difference among treatments under the same conditions (no stress, drought + heat stress, or drought + heat shock) by Duncan's post hoc test at $P \leq 0.05$. Different capital letters indicate significant differences of the same treatments (No AM, *R. irregularis*, *F. mosseae*, or *F. coronatum*) under different conditions (no stress, drought + heat stress, and drought + heat shock) by Duncan's post hoc test at $P \leq 0.05$.

In roots, there were significant ($P < 0.0001$) main effects of AM inoculation, stress application, and their interaction on root H₂O₂ accumulation (Table 3). The level of hydrogen peroxide was significantly higher in non-AM plants than in AM plants (increased by almost 61 %) under imposed stresses (D + H, D + HS) in comparison with no stress conditions and was significantly decreased in inoculated plants. In D + H, H₂O₂ levels were decreased by 24 %, 29 %, and 39 % in plants inoculated by *R. irregularis*, *F. mosseae*, and *F. coronatum*, respectively. Remarkably, under D + HS, plants inoculated with *F. mosseae* exhibited substantially reduced H₂O₂ accumulation by 63 %

compared with the non-inoculated plants and by 47 % and 49 % in plants treated by *R. irregularis* and *F. coronatum*, respectively.

Although under NoS, leaf MDA content did not change significantly, in both non-AM plants and AM plants, MDA increased as stress treatments were applied. AMF treatment significantly decreased MDA content compared with non-AM plants. Under the D + H stress, MDA content decreased by 27 %, 31 %, and 16 % in leaves, while the decreases were 14 %, 32 %, and 36 % under D + HS in *R. irregularis*, *F. mosseae*, and *F. coronatum*, respectively. In roots, AM plants showed a significant decrease in MDA levels for roots colonized by *R. irregularis*, *F. mosseae*, and *F. coronatum* by 25 %, 27 %, and 21 %, respectively, under D + H compared with the corresponding uninoculated plants. For D + HS plants, an increase in MDA level was observed in roots inoculated by *F. coronatum* (by 22 % compared with that of non-AM roots), while no significant differences among other treatments were found.

A significant positive correlation between leaf H₂O₂ and leaf MDA was found under D + H ($r = 0.64^{**}$), as well as between leaf H₂O₂ and root MDA ($r = 0.61^{*}$) (Table 6), while under D + HS, a substantial positive correlation between leaf H₂O₂ and leaf MDA ($r = 0.89^{***}$) and leaf MDA and root H₂O₂ ($r = 0.70^{**}$) was also observed (Table 7).

Under different stress applications, the accumulation of H₂O₂ and MDA was higher in the leaves than in roots. However, H₂O₂ and MDA concentrations in the leaves and roots of AMF plants were lower than those of non-AM plants under combined stresses.

4.3.3 Defense Enzyme Activities

In this experiment, CAT, PPO, POD, and GST were selected, because these enzymes are known to be involved in ROS scavenging. Their activity was determined in shoots and roots of all plants for both inoculated and non-inoculated ones. Defense enzyme activity (CAT, PPO, POD, and GST) was stimulated and increased in both leaves and roots when they were exposed to the studied combined stresses. However, there was a slight difference between the inoculated and non-inoculated plants because the activity was significantly higher in mycorrhiza treated tomato plants.

No significant difference was observed in leaf POD activity among AM and non-AM plants under no stress conditions (Figure 27). POD activity significantly increased by 26 %, 38 %, and 60 % in plants treated with *R. irregularis*, *F. mosseae*, and *F. coronatum*, respectively, under D + H stress

compared with the corresponding non-AM plants. Furthermore, plants inoculated with *F. mosseae* and *F. coronatum* subjected to D + HS exhibited an additional boost in the activity of POD, where it increased by 86 % and 102 %, respectively, as compared with non-AM ones. In contrast, leaf POD drastically decreased (by 43 %) in plants colonized by *R. irregularis*, approaching the level found in non-stressed plants.




Figure 27. The activity of peroxidase (POD) in leaves (A) and roots (B) of non-inoculated plants (No AM) and plants inoculated by *R. irregularis* (AM1), *F. mosseae* (AM2), or *F. coronatum* (AM3) subjected to non-stress (NoS), drought + heat stress (D + H), and drought + heat shock (D + HS). Each bar represents the mean and standard deviation ($n = 4$). Different minuscules indicate significant differences among treatments under the same conditions (no stress, drought + heat stress, or drought + heat shock) by Duncan's post hoc test at $P \leq 0.05$. Different capital letters indicate significant differences of the same treatments (No AM, *R. irregularis*, *F. mosseae*, or *F. coronatum*) under different conditions (no stress, drought + heat stress, and drought + heat shock) by Duncan's post hoc test at $P \leq 0.05$

In the case of roots, there were significant ($P < 0.0001$) main effects of AM inoculation and stress applications on POD activity, and an interaction between the two main factors (Table 3). Root POD activity appeared to be consistently increased in plants under both combined stress conditions compared with that of non-stress plants (Figure 27B). POD activity was strongly enhanced in roots colonized with *R. irregularis* and *F. coronatum* under D + H (increased by 268 %) and D + HS (increased by 141 % and 143 %, respectively) as compared with non-AM plants, while plants inoculated with *F. mosseae* showed a decrease in root POD activity by 64 % under D + HS (analysis of variance (ANOVA) results are shown in Table 3).

There were significant main effects of AM inoculation and stress imposed ($p < 0.0001$) and their interaction. The imposed stresses substantially induced leaf PPO activity in plants compared with non-stress conditions (Figure 28A). The data showed no significant differences among control, *R. irregularis*, *F. mosseae*, and *F. coronatum* under both D + H and D + HS (Figure 28A). In roots,

under D + H, PPO activity was increased by 43 % and 64 % in plants treated by *R. irregularis* and *F. coronatum*, respectively, compared with that in non-AM plants (Figure 28B), whereas it decreased by 24 % in plants inoculated with *F. mosseae*. Moreover, under D + HS, the highest root PPO activity (increased by 30 %) was in roots colonized by *R. irregularis*, while it decreased by 40 and 24 % in plants inoculated with *F. mosseae* and *F. coronatum*, respectively, compared with the corresponding non-AM plants.




Figure 28. The activity of polyphenol oxidase (PPO) in leaves (A) and roots (B) of non-inoculated plants (No AM) and plants inoculated by *R. irregularis* (AM1), *F. mosseae* (AM2), or *F. coronatum* (AM3) subjected to non-stress (NoS), drought + heat stress (D + H), and drought + heat shock (D + HS). Each bar represents the mean and standard deviation (n = 4). Different minusculs indicate significant differences among treatments under the same conditions (no stress, drought + heat stress, or drought + heat shock) by Duncan's post hoc test at $P \leq 0.05$. Different capital letters indicate significant differences of the same treatments (No AM, *R. irregularis*, *F. mosseae*, or *F. coronatum*) under different conditions (no stress, drought + heat stress, and drought + heat shock) by Duncan's post hoc test at $P \leq 0.05$.

No significant difference among treatments was observed under both no stress condition and D + H, as compared with the corresponding non-AM plants. Moreover, under D + HS, *R. irregularis* and *F. coronatum* enhanced leaf CAT activity by 42 % and 57 %, respectively, in plants as compared with that of uncolonized plants (Figure 29A). In roots, significantly higher activity of CAT was observed in plants exposed to both stresses, compared with that of plants under no stress conditions. Under D + H stress, no significant differences in CAT activity were observed among treatments (Figure 29B), while a higher CAT activity (increased by 30 %) was observed in plants inoculated with *F. mosseae* under D + HS, while plants inoculated with *R. irregularis* and *F. coronatum* increased root CAT activity by 4 and 11 %, respectively, as compared with non-AM plants. There were significant impacts of AMF inoculation and stress imposed ($P < 0.0001$) and their interaction (Table 3) on leaf CAT activity as well as on root CAT activity.




Figure 29. The activity of catalase (CAT) in leaves (A) and roots (B) of non-inoculated plants (No AM) and plants inoculated by *R. irregularis* (AM1), *F. mosseae* (AM2), or *F. coronatum* (AM3) subjected to non-stress (NoS), drought + heat stress (D + H), and drought+ heat shock (D + HS). Each bar represents the mean and standard deviation (n = 4). Different minuscles indicate significant differences among treatments under the same conditions (no stress, drought + heat stress, or drought + heat shock) by Duncan's post hoc test at $P \leq 0.05$. Different capital letters indicate significant differences of the same treatments (No AM, *R. irregularis*, *F. mosseae*, or *F. coronatum*) under different conditions (no stress, drought + heat stress, and drought + heat shock) by Duncan's post hoc test at $P \leq 0.05$.

Leaf glutathione-S-transferase activity was significantly increased in plants inoculated with *F. mosseae* compared with that of non-AM plants under NoS conditions. Moreover, the same inoculant enhanced the GST activity (increased by 46 %) in colonized plants in comparison with uninoculated plants in D + H, while the same tendency was observed in roots colonized by *R. irregularis* and *F. coronatum* (Figure 30A). Under D + HS, no significant difference could be observed among non-AM plants and various AMF strains (*R. irregularis*, *F. mosseae*, and *F. coronatum*).




Figure 30. The activity of glutathione S transferase (GST) in leaves (A) and roots (B) of non-inoculated plants (No AM) and plants inoculated by *R. irregularis* (AM1), *F. mosseae* (AM2), or *F. coronatum* (AM3) subjected to non-stress (NoS), drought + heat stress (D + H), and drought+ heat shock (D + HS). Each bar represents the mean and standard deviation (n = 4). Different minuscles indicate significant differences among treatments under the same conditions (no

stress, drought + heat stress, or drought + heat shock) by Duncan's post hoc test at $P \leq 0.05$. Different capital letters indicate significant differences of the same treatments (No AM, *R. irregularis*, *F. mosseae*, or *F. coronatum*) under different conditions (no stress, drought + heat stress, and drought + heat shock) by Duncan's post hoc test at $P \leq 0.05$.

In roots, the two-way ANOVA test indicated a significant difference in AM inoculation, stress application, and their interaction (Table 3). A slight increase in root GST activity was determined in plants inoculated with *F. mosseae*, which increased by 17 % compared with non-inoculated ones, while a similar trend occurred in root GST activity for both plants inoculated by *R. irregularis* and *F. coronatum* (increased by 8 % and 22 %, respectively) under D + H. Moreover, no significant impact on root GST activity was observed among non-AM plants and AM treatments under D + HS.

Under D + H stress, arbuscular mycorrhizal colonization had a significant positive correlation with leaf GST ($r = 0.65^{**}$), leaf POD ($r = 0.57^*$), root GST ($r = 0.53^*$), and root POD ($r = 0.72^{**}$), but had a negative correlation with leaf H_2O_2 ($r = -0.67^{**}$), leaf MDA ($r = -0.76^{***}$), root H_2O_2 ($r = -0.69^{**}$), and root MDA ($r = -0.66^{**}$) (Table 6), while under D + HS, a substantial positive correlation was found among AM colonization leaf CAT ($r = 0.65^{**}$) and root CAT ($r = 0.51^*$), but a negative correlation was found with leaf H_2O_2 ($r = -0.74^{**}$), leaf MDA ($r = -0.69^{**}$), and root H_2O_2 ($r = -0.89^{***}$) (Table 7).

4.3.4 Discussion in the response of different AMF to enhancing the defense enzymes of tomato plant under combine drought and heat stresses

Combinations of drought and heat frequently occur in field conditions and under climate change, particularly in semi-arid and hot growing regions in Mexico, Argentina, North Africa, South Africa, Australia, and the Mediterranean countries, and in high latitude, semi-arid growing regions of eastern and central Asia, Kazakhstan, the USA, and Canada (Tricker et al., 2018). In the present study, we attempted to mimic the field condition of a relatively long-lasting drought period together with a short period of heat stress (heat shock), which usually takes place at mid-day (combined drought and heat shock), and the combination of a drought period with more prolonged heat stress, which is a regular occurrence in semi-arid and hot growing regions. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi establish mutualistic interactions with more than 80 % of all plant species, providing a direct physical link between the soil and plant roots (Coleman-Derr and Tringe, 2014; Lenoir et al., 2016; Mello and Balestrini, 2018). AMF is one of the most used biological agents in boosting plant growth, helping in photosynthesis, and acting as protection against biotic and abiotic stresses

(Cavagnaro et al., 2015; S. Liu et al., 2016). Nevertheless, there are still gaps in our knowledge regarding the regulatory mechanisms underlying AMF mediated tolerance under combined drought and heat stresses. As the aim of this study, we examined the effects of three AMF strains on tomato plant growth and their redox status when plants are exposed to combined heat and drought (D + H) and drought and heat shock (D + HS) stress. Our results indicated that inoculation with different AMF species (*R. irregularis*, *F. mosseae*, and *F. coronatum*) could enhance the tolerance of combined drought and heat stress of tomato plant by improving the antioxidant enzymes system, which in turn lowered cellular H₂O₂ and decreased lipid peroxidation (MDA).

The increase of plant biomass is the most obvious and direct feature reflecting symbiosis-mediated plant growth and performance under different abiotic stresses. Several investigation have been reported the clear role of AMF to stimulate plant growth under abiotic stress (Mo et al., 2016; Ye et al., 2019). Our results demonstrated that stress applications (D + H and D + HS) are negatively affected plant growth, where fresh plant biomass is significantly reduced compared with NoS (Table 4), this decrement might have been due to the effect on plants photosynthetic capacity, and thereby reduced stomatal conductance or the unavailability of nutrients. These results confirmed the finding of Quiroga et al. (2017), who demonstrated that water deficit negatively affected the growth of drought-sensitive maize pretreated with *R. irregularis*, strain EEZ 58 (Ri), and especially in the case of drought-tolerant maize pretreated with the same strain. Moreover, similar results were demonstrated earlier that combine drought and heat stress impeded plant biomass (Boeck et al., 2016; Niinemets, 2016; Sehgal et al., 2017; Zandalinas et al., 2017, 2016b). In addition, Choudhury et al. (2017), reported that limited irrigation significantly reduced the root dry weight of *Medicago sativa* inoculated with the mixture of *R. intraradices* and *F. mosseae*. Contrariwise, *Echinacea angustifolia* seedlings inoculated with *R. irregularis* had higher dry weights than those of non-mycorrhizal seedlings under salt stress conditions (Chang et al., 2018).

On the other hand, the present investigation demonstrated that root colonization of tomato was not affected by different stress conditions (D + H and D + HS) as compared with the non-stressed control group, in which coincided with Pedranzani et al. (2016). This phenomenon can be attributed to the stress duration (limited period of stress applications), or perhaps the different species of AMF (*R. irregularis*, *F. mosseae*, and *F. coronatum*) used in our experiment have high efficiency with tomato roots, even when exposed to abiotic stress conditions. A study conducted by Boutasknit et al. (2020) asserted that drought does not affect root colonization because it is linked to the unchanged

carbon availability of the host plant. However, there are contradictory studies, in which mentioned the negative effect of water stress on root colonization in different plant species such as soybean (Porcel and Ruiz-Lozano, 2004), rice (Ruíz-Sánchez et al., 2011), *Ocimum gratissimum* (Hazzoumi et al., 2015), *Trifolium repens* (Xiao-Qing et al., 2017), and *Triticum aestivum* (Mathur et al., 2018b). Interestingly, Pearson correlation analysis in our study illustrated that mycorrhizal colonization had a close correlation with some defense enzyme activities, and a negative association with H₂O₂ and MDA levels under both combined stresses (Tables 6 and 7), highlighting the role of AMF in ROS metabolism in the host plants exposed to such simultaneous abiotic stresses.

The peroxidation of membrane lipids considered as typical criteria reflecting plant injury caused by various biotic and abiotic factors, that correlated with H₂O₂ and malondialdehyde (MDA) accumulation, while antioxidant enzymes are one of the important features in plant defense that scavenge the harmful effects of ROS, therefore maintain the redox homeostasis. In our experiment, H₂O₂ and MDA levels were measured to investigate the effect of AMF symbiosis on stress tolerance. MDA content (which is considered an end product of lipid peroxidation) decreased in plants treated with different AMF compared with non-AM plants under stress treatments (Figure 26). The MDA content was found higher in leaves than in roots in all treatments. Besides MDA content, H₂O₂ increased sharply in the leaves of the tomato compared with the roots when subjected to different stresses, but the accumulation was significantly decreased in the plants treated with different AMF species. Moreover, the higher H₂O₂ and MDA content in leaves compared with roots reflected a lower oxidative damage in tomato roots. However, the increment may be attributed to the direct exposure of leaves to light, which affects directly the photosynthesis machinery, photorespiration, and accordingly affects the mitochondria, chloroplast, and peroxisome, which are considered to be the major sites of ROS production (Apel and Hirt, 2004; Foyer and Noctor, 2005), in contrast to the roots that lack photosynthetic activity.

Besides, our results proved the ability of AMF in lowering oxidative damages. Moreover, this is consistent with previous reports, where the reduction in H₂O₂ and MDA accumulation under different stress treatments could be explained by a significant increase in defense enzyme activities like CAT, POD, and PPO of AM plants. As compared with non-inoculated plants, concerning all treatments, the reduction of H₂O₂ and MDA can be explained by better water availability and the accumulation of osmolytes, that proved in several studies (Chitarra et al., 2016; Pedranzani et al., 2016; Porcel and Ruiz-Lozano, 2004). AM symbiosis decreased H₂O₂ and MDA accumulation

under stress combination, identical to tomato plants under drought, heat, combined drought, and heat stress (Duc et al., 2018). Drought-stressed trifoliolate orange seedlings colonized by *F. mosseae* showed similar results Huang et al. (2017). Alleviated H₂O₂ and MDA accumulation is also correlated with stress tolerance (Mirshad and Puthur, 2016). Furthermore, we also noted higher MDA content under different stress treatments in the leaves and roots; this is coherent with the higher generation rates of H₂O₂. Previous studies have also documented this observation in plants under different stress (Ara et al., 2013).

Antioxidant enzymes are known to have prominent beneficial roles in fighting against stress conditions. In the present study, combined drought and heat stress significantly stimulated the activities of antioxidant enzymes. Our results showed that different mycorrhizal species upon different stress exposures elicited a substantial change (increase, decrease, unchanged) in the activities of GST, CAT, PPO, and POD relative to non-AM plants in leaves as well as in roots (Figure 27, Figure 28, Figure 29 and Figure 30). A significant increase in leaf POD activity was found for all AMF species, except for plants treated with *R. intraradices* under combined drought and heat shock, where it has been reduced. *R. intraradices* and *F. coronatum* showed a much higher ability to promote POD activity in roots under both stresses. Remarkably, root PPO activity was noticeably increased by *R. irregularis* and *F. coronatum* upon D + HS. The change in different antioxidant enzyme activities in tomato plants in response to different stresses is related to the various forms of antioxidant enzyme metabolism; this has been proved by Sheikh-Mohamadi et al. (2017) using wheatgrass genotypes under drought and salinity, and is also consistent with the finding of Tommasino et al. (2018) using *Cenchrus ciliaris* (L.) under drought, heat, and their combination. Moreover, our results show a positive correlation between AM colonization and leaf CAT ($r = 0.65^{**}$) and root CAT ($r = 0.51^{*}$) (Table 7), where higher root CAT activity was found in plants inoculated with *F. mosseae* under D + HS (Figure 29). Being a tetrameric and heme-containing enzyme, CAT catalyzes the dismutation of hydrogen-peroxide into H₂O and O₂ (Sofa et al., 2015; Zhao et al., 2013). The change in different antioxidant enzymes shows enhanced resistance to oxidative stress-induced by drought, where the performance of AM plants differs depending on the AMF species (Chitarra et al., 2016; Tyagi et al., 2017). The use of AMF as a biological agent is the most efficient approach to cope with the single or combined effect of drought and heat stress through the neutralization of ROS and enhancement of the antioxidant enzymes to avoid oxidative stress (Duc et al., 2018). Furthermore, other studies reported that the changes in

antioxidant enzymes activities influenced by plant species, organ analyzed (like root or leaf), plant age, intensity and stress relation, and the difference among AMF genera and even between AMF strains within the same species (Mayer et al., 2017; Rodríguez et al., 2012). Another point should be shed light on it, to explain the patterns of antioxidant defense enzymes, that the generation of ROS stimulates the production of abscisic acid, which plays a pivotal role in signaling networks of plant response to different abiotic stresses, which leads to the up-regulation of genes controlling the production of different antioxidants enzymes (Guajardo et al., 2016; Sattar et al., 2020; Xu et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2019). Our results are in accordance with other findings using different AMF and plant species such as wheat inoculated by *Glomus mosseae* (Rani et al., 2018); trifoliolate orange treated by *F. mosseae* (Huang et al., 2014); date palm inoculated by *F. mosseae* (Benhiba et al., 2015); finger millet inoculated with *R. intraradices* (Sofa et al., 2015); and carob inoculated with *F. mosseae*, *Rhizophagus fasciculatus*, or *R. intraradices* (Essahibi et al., 2018). Recently, Al-Arjani et al. (2020) demonstrated that AMF inoculated plants were significantly more resilient to drought stress by the upregulation of the host antioxidant defense system, especially SOD in *Ephedra foliata* boiss inoculated by *Claroideoglomus etunicatum*, *R. intraradices*, and *F. mosseae*. Another study illustrated that GSTs could protect the plants from different abiotic stresses (Ding et al., 2017), which have the ability to catalyze the conjugation of tripeptide glutathione (GSH) to unfamiliar electrophilic and hydrophobic substrates to form less toxic or non-toxic peptide derivatives (Cetinkaya et al., 2014). Therefore, increased total GST enzyme and isoenzyme activity in heat stress with pre-salinity treatments according to treatment alone (salt, heat) leads to decreased hydrogen peroxide levels in maize leaves (Cetinkaya et al., 2014); many reports illustrated that ABA induces the GST activities in different plant species under individual or combined drought and heat stress (Halušková et al., 2009; Jiang et al., 2010; Kellős et al., 2008; Liu et al., 2013). Hashem et al. (2016) have also reported a strong positive effect of beneficial microbes in reducing oxidative stress through the upregulation of the antioxidant system in *Acacia gerrardii*. Cabral and coworkers found that inoculation with an AMF mixture including *R. irregularis* BEG140, *R. irregularis*, *F. mosseae* BEG95, *F. geosporum*, and *Claroideoglomus claroideum* in wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) plants mitigated the adverse effects of temperature stress at 35 °C in the daytime and 25 °C in the night for seven days (Cabral et al., 2016). Otherwise, Essahibi et al. (2018) clarified the greater capacity of carob inoculated by different AMF (*F. mosseae*, *R. fasciculatus*, or *R. intraradices*) to tolerate water stress through increased water and nutrients uptake, stomatal conductance, improved osmotic adjustment, and enhanced antioxidant system (SOD, APX, G-POD, CAT); furthermore, he

recommended the use of *F. mosseae* as a biological tool to improve carob tolerance to water deficit (Essahibi et al., 2018).

4.4. Novel scientific results

1. Using different ratios of sand and peat together with arbuscular mycorrhizal inoculations (Symbivit) of important medicinal plant *E. p*, we discovered that 60/40 % (v/v) sand and peat ratio considered as the suitable ration for the cultivation of this plant resulting in development of AMF and therefore enhance the contents of polyphenols.

2. Nine phenolic compounds were recognized and quantified using High-Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC), namely five hydroxycinnamates (protocatechuic acid; 5-O-caffeoylquinic acid; 4,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid; 3,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid; 4-O-caffeoylquinic acid); two flavonoids (quercetin-3-arabinoside and luteolin), and two coumarins (dimethylwedelolactone; and wedelolactone) in aerial part of *E. p*, with dimethyl wedelolactone; and wedelolactone being plentiful in all treatments and we confirmed that AMF, growing media, and their interaction alter the secondary metabolites.

3. We were the first to describe data regarding the interactive impacts of AM inoculation and salt stress on physio-biochemical parameters and polyphenol profiles of *E. p*. Our work confirmed the efficiency of AM inoculation in the improvement of the growth and salt tolerance of *E. p* due to enhancing osmotic adjustment like proline, as well as increasing in antioxidant enzymes defense such as CAT (at 4 weeks), and POD (at 4 and 8 weeks). Moreover, these parameters showed significant differences depending on the age of the plant and severity of salt stress (moderate, or highly saline condition).

4. We proved that AM inoculation induced significant alteration in polyphenolic profiles concentration under moderate and severe salt stress. 4,5-dicaffeoyl-quinic acid and wedelolactone found to be the abundant polyphenols detected in all of the different samples of *E. p* under both levels of salt stress at the early stage of plant growth (after four weeks). Nearly all identified phenolic compounds through HPLC analysis were promoted in AM plants under higher salt stress.

5. We proved that *Funneliformis mosseae*, *Rhizophagus irregularis*, and *Funneliformis coronatum* have high efficiency with tomato roots under both combine drought and heat, and combine drought

and heat shock. All AM strains and especially *Funneliformis mosseae* enhanced the tolerance of tomato plant under both stresses applied through a considerable change in defense enzymes tested (PPO, POD, CAT, ad GST) between leaves and roots and depending AM species. The variations observed in the antioxidant enzymes in different organs (leaves, roots) proved the abilities of different strains in alleviating cellular oxidative damage, and therefore protect plants.

5. CONCLUSIONS AND PERSPECTIVES

The first part of this thesis revealed that the use of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and different sand and peat ratios influence the polyphenol profile of an important medicinal plant *E. p* which considered as a novel scientific results obtained. The key finding in this part that 60/40 % (v/v) sand and peat proportion appeared to be the preferable ratio and this results should be taken into account in the cultivation of *E. p*. The AMF inoculation successfully influence the polyphenol components of *E. p*. Furthermore, nine individual phenolic components were identified in the aerial part of *E. p* using HPLC-DAD analytical method. Further studies are required under various kinds of biotic and abiotic stress conditions, using single or mix arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in an open field experiment.

In the next part, we examined the positive effects of AMF on *E. p* plant under two different levels of salt stress. The results highlighted that mycorrhizal inoculation (with mixed AMF) enhanced growth and salt tolerance of *E. p* against moderate salinity through improving osmotic adjustment (proline), enzymatic antioxidants such as CAT (at four weeks) and POD (at four and eight weeks), total phenolic content at eight weeks. Under high salinity, such benefits were not apparently observed, except remarkably higher total phenolic level in AM plants eight weeks after inoculation. Both Salt stress and mycorrhizal colonization elicited significant changes in the accumulation of phenolic constituents of *E. p*. Under moderate salinity, mycorrhizal inoculation showed a higher plant tolerance during plant growth, but under sever salt stress the higher phenolic compounds accumulated was found at the later plant growth stage. Mycorrhizal inoculation significantly augmented polyphenol concentration and yield depending on plant growth stages and severity of stress. Moreover, mycorrhizal application individually or in combination with salinity and harvest time would be a practical approach for optimizing individual polyphenol production in this medicinal plant.

This study shows how important the selection of the right date of harvest is for to uplift the bioactive compounds production for pharmaceutical, nutraceutical, functional food, and cosmetic industries. Moreover, AMF and moderate salt stress can be used to manipulate the pattern of individual polyphenol production. Further studies required to investigate other secondary metabolites in this medicinal plant colonized by AMF and/or exposure to different abiotic stresses to optimize their production. Other studies also needed to investigate other beneficial soil microorganisms such as the

use of PGPR alone or mixed with AMF will be interesting to take their effects on polyphenol profiles of *E. p* into account.

The last part of this thesis provides new evidence concerning the beneficial role of AMF symbiosis in the alleviation of ROS accumulation caused by combined drought and heat, and combined drought and heat shock stress in tomato plants. The change in H₂O₂, lipid peroxidation (MDA), defense enzymes (POD, PPO, CAT, and GST) in the leaves and roots were investigated in pot culture under both stresses applied. Our data revealed that both mycorrhiza and stress application significantly affected fresh plant biomass. Moreover, no significant differences in the colonization rates of plant inoculated with three AMF inoculums were detected following various stress treatments. The accumulation of H₂O₂ and lipid peroxidation (MDA) was much higher in leaves than in roots. However, inoculation with different AMF strains, and especially, *F. moseae*, could enhance tomato plants' tolerance by lowering H₂O₂ and MDA content, and changed the activities of antioxidant enzymes investigated. For example, higher POD and GST activities were found specifically in roots than in leaves of mycorrhizal plants. In contrast, higher CAT activities were found in leaves of mycorrhizal plants. However, PPO activities were not too prominent in leaves, while they increased in roots of plants inoculated by *R. irregularis* and *F. coronatum* under combined drought and heat stress. The efficiency of different AMF strains used in our experiment to endure combined drought and heat is of great importance for improving agriculture production in a vast area over the world. This experiment-drive to a novel path for further investigation that requiring intensive work regarding the functions of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) under different combined stresses.

Gathering all the outcomes of this dissertation together, AM fungi are able to promote plant growth, and increase the polyphenol profiles of *E. p*, as well as enhance their tolerance against salt stress. Furthermore, different strains of AM symbiosis provide a new insight on their efficiency to endure the harsh effect caused by combined drought and heat, and drought and heat shock in tomato plants.

6. SUMMARY

Given the current and growing impacts of climate change, which amplify the amplitude and severity of various abiotic factors that affect directly or indirectly the agriculture sectors worldwide. For that, the problem raised in the last few decades, is how it is possible to enhance growth and mediate plants more resistance/ tolerance against various stressors. Despite the current circumstance, many studies have reported on the beneficial effects of AMF to endure the negative effects of abiotic stresses, and considered as a promising solution to solve these issues. Based on our results, it can be concluded that AMF colonization are able to improve the growth and tolerance versus some major abiotic stresses of two important models a medicinal plant *E. p* and tomato plant (*Solanum lycopersicum*) with novel results that achieved through three different experiments in controlled condition.

Our first experiment was performed to examine the effect of arbuscular mycorrhizal inoculation and different proportions of growth substrate on root colonization, proline, and content of polyphenols in *E. p*. Mycorrhizal inoculation changes the content of some bioactive compounds of *E. p*, demonstrating considerable differences in polyphenol contents among various treatments assayed. Furthermore, the difference in the proportions of sand and peat, lead to the variation of nutrients supplies within the growth substrate. These variations had considerable influence on both mycorrhizal colonization and polyphenol profiles of *E. p*. Our results revealed that 60/40 % (v/v) sand and peat proportion is appeared to be the preferable ratio for *E. p* cultivation, in consequence increase the total phenolic content. The analyzes carried out made it possible to identify nine important bioactive phenolic compounds using high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) analysis, namely five hydroxycinnamates (protocatechuic acid; 5-*O*-caffeoylquinic acid; 4,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid; 3,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid; 4-*O*-caffeoylquinic acid); two flavonoids (quercetin-3-arabinoside and luteolin), and two coumarins (dimethylwedelolactone; and wedelolactone), where the last two compounds (coumarins) were abundant in the all treatments. Moreover, some of them have not been recorded before in the aerial part of *E. p* cultivars like 5-*O*-caffeoylquinic acid, quercetin-3-arabinoside, 4-*O*-caffeoylquinic acid, and protocatechuic acid.

However, the focus in the first part of the effect of AMF and different proportion of sand peat growing media on the polyphenol profiles, moreover the purpose of the second part was to investigate the interactive effects of salinity stress and AM inoculation on physio-biochemical features and secondary metabolites of *E. p*. As a follow-up the first experiment, we extended this

second experiment with the most suitable ration for *E. p* growth 60/40 % (v/v) sand and peat and with two different levels of NaCl concentration, one moderate (100 mM NaCl) and the other severe stress (200 mM NaCl) as salt stress. The finding indicated that AM mixture application improved growth and salt tolerance of *E. p* through increasing proline level at both plant stages (four and eight weeks) and total phenolic content at eight weeks, the activity of catalase at four weeks, and peroxidase at both plant stages. Under high salinity, such benefits were not clearly observed, except a higher total phenolic concentration in mycorrhizal plants at eight weeks. Using high-performance liquid chromatography, fourteen individual phenolic compounds were analyzed, with wedelolactone and/or 4,5-dicaffeoylquinic acid abundant in all treatments. Salinity and mycorrhizal inoculation sharply altered polyphenol profiles of *E. p*. Moderate salinity boosted phenolic compounds production in non- AM plants at four weeks, while at eight weeks, the decline in the content of phenolic compounds occurred in uncolonized plants subjected to both saline conditions. Mycorrhization augmented polyphenol concentration and yield under non-saline and saline conditions, depending on growth stages and severity of salt stress. Plant age influenced polyphenol profiles with usually a higher content of phenolic compounds in older plants and changed the production of individual polyphenols of both non-AM and AM plants under non-stress and salt stress conditions. A better understanding of factors affecting phenolic compounds of *E. p* facilitates the identification of new industrial applications of this medicinal plant.

Finally, AMF furnish various ecosystem utilities and it would be helpful to know how different AMF strains (*Rhizophagus irregularis*, *Funneliformis mosseae*, and *Funneliformis coronatum*) differ in their functionality to alleviate the negative effects caused by the combination of two major abiotic stresses: drought and heat as well as drought and heat shock on tomato plants (*Solanum lycopersicum*). A pot experiment was performed under controlled conditions at Institute of Genetics, Microbiology, and Biotechnology, Szent István University, Gödöllő, Hungary. The obtained results showed that combined drought and heat stresses had no significant impact on root colonization while fresh biomass was significantly affected by mycorrhiza and stress application. Higher accumulation of H₂O₂ and MDA was found in leaves than in roots under both stress applications. Furthermore, stressed AMF plants exhibited a decrease in hydrogen peroxide and malondialdehyde content in the cells, and especially, *F. mosseae*, could enhance tomato plants' tolerance by lowering H₂O₂ and MDA content. All AM strains showed changes in defense enzyme activities (peroxidase

(POD), catalase (CAT), polyphenol oxidase (PPO), and glutathione S-transferase (GST) in leaves as well as in roots compared with their relative non-mycorrhizal plants.

6. ÖSSZEFOGLALÓ

A éghajlatváltozás felerősíti a különböző abiotikus stressz tényezők súlyosságát, amelyek közvetlenül vagy közvetetten érintik a mezőgazdasági ágazatokat. Ezért igen fontos annak megválaszolása, hogy miként lehet fokozni a növények toleranciáját a különféle stressz faktorokkal szemben. Számos tanulmány számol be az AMF jótékony hatásairól és az abiotikus stresszek negatív hatásainak enyhítésében betöltött szerepéről, de több információra van szükség a nagyobb mértékű alkalmazásukhoz. Munkámban cél volt annak tanulmányozása, hogy az AMF kolonizáció hogyan befolyásolja két target növény (*E. p.*, *Solanum lycopersicum*) növekedését és toleranciáját.

Első kísérletünket azzal a céllal végeztük, hogy megvizsgáljuk az AMF oltás és szubsztrát különböző arányú hatását az *E. p.* gyökérkolonizációjára, prolin-tartalmára és polifenol tartalmára. A mikorrhiza oltása megváltoztatja az *E. p.* egyes bioaktív vegyületeinek tartalmát, ami jelentős különbségeket okoz a polifenol tartalomban a különböző vizsgált kezelések között. Mindemellett homok és tőzeg arányának változtatásával vizsgáltuk a gyógynövény polifenol profilját. Eredményeink alapján a 60/40 % (v / v) homok és tőzeg arány tűnik a legkedvezőbb aránynak az *E. p.* termesztéshez, és annak fenoltartalmának változásához. Az elemzések kilenc fontos bioaktív fenolvegyület azonosítását tették lehetővé, nagy teljesítményű folyadékkromatográfiás (HPLC) analízissel. Nevezetesen öt hidroxicinnamátot (protokatechuinsav; 5-O-koffein-kininsav; 4,5-dikaffeoil-kininsav; 3,5- dikaffeoil-kininsav; 4-O-koffein-kininsav); két flavonoid (kvercetin-3-arabinosid és luteolin) és két kumarin (dimetil-wedelolakton; és wedelolakton). Kiemelendő, hogy az 5-oksafoil-kininsav, a kvercetin-3-arabinozid, a 4-O-koffein-kininsav és a protokatechuinsav kimutatását a gyógynövényből elsőként mi tettük meg.

Mindemellett megvizsgáltuk a só-stressz és az AM-oltás interaktív hatásait is az *E. p.* másodlagos metabolitjaira. 60/40 % (v/v) arányú homok és tőzeg szubsztrátban vizsgáltuk meg közepes (100 mM NaCl) és magas só koncentráció (200 mM NaCl) mellett és AM oltás hatására.

Az AM oltás javította az *E. p.* növekedését és sótoleranciáját azáltal, hogy mindkét vizsgált növényi stádiumban (négy és nyolc hét) megemelte a prolinszintet, és az összfenol-tartalmat, valamint a negyedik héten a kataláz és peroxidáz aktivitásokat. HPLC méréssel tizennégy fenol-vegyület elemeztünk, melyben wedelolakton és 4,5-dikaffeoil-gazdagon fordult elő. A mikorrhizáció növelte a polifenol koncentrációját só jelenlétekor a növekedési szakaszoktól és a sós stressz súlyosságától

függően. A növény kora befolyásolta a polifenol profilokat, az idősebb növényekben általában magasabb volt a fenolos vegyületek tartalma, és megváltoztatta mind a nem AM, mind az AM növények egyedi polifenoljainak termelését stressz és só igénybevétele mellett. Az *E. p* polifenol vegyületeinek képződését befolyásoló tényezők jobb megismerése kulcs lehet e gyógynövény új ipari alkalmazásában illetve termesztésében.

Végül különböző AMF-törzsek (*Rhizophagus regularis*, *Funneliformis mosseae* és *Funneliformis coronatum*) hatását vizsgáltuk meg két fő abiotikus stressz (szárazság /hő-sokk, hő-stressz) kombinációjakor, paradicsom növényeken. A kapott eredmények azt mutatták, hogy a szárazság és hő együttes alkalmazása nem gyakorolt jelentős hatást a gyökér kolonizációjára, míg a friss biomasszát jelentősen befolyásolta. Továbbá a stressz jelenlétekor az AMF növények csökkent hidrogén-peroxid- és malondialdehid-tartalmat mutattak, mely különösen a *F. mosseae* oltáskor jelentkezett. Mindhárom AM-törzs hatására eltérő mértékű védelmi enzimaktivitásokat (peroxidáz, kataláz, polifenol-oxidáz és glutation-S-transzferáz) mértünk, ezáltal előnyhöz juttatva a mikorrhizált növényt stressz helyzetben.

7. APPENDICES

7.1. References

- Abd El-Mageed, T.A., Rady, M.M., Taha, R.S., Abd El Azeam, S., Simpson, C.R., Semida, W.M., 2020. Effects of integrated use of residual sulfur-enhanced biochar with effective microorganisms on soil properties, plant growth and short-term productivity of *Capsicum annuum* under salt stress. *Sci. Hortic.* 261, 108930.
- Adolfsson, L., Nziengui, H., Abreu, I.N., Šimura, J., Beebo, A., Herdean, A., Aboalizadeh, J., Široká, J., Moritz, T., Novák, O., 2017. Enhanced secondary-and hormone metabolism in leaves of arbuscular mycorrhizal *Medicago truncatula*. *Plant Physiol.* 175, 392–411.
- Aebi, H., 1984. Catalase in vitro. *Methods Enzymol.* 105, 121–126.
- Aguilera, P., Cumming, J., Oehl, F., Cornejo, P., Borie, F., 2015. Diversity of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi in Acidic Soils and Their Contribution to Aluminum Phytotoxicity Alleviation. pp. 203–228. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-19968-9_11
- Ahammed, G.J., Yu, J.-Q., 2016. Plant hormones under challenging environmental factors. Springer.
- Ahanger, M.A., Alyemeni, M.N., Wijaya, L., Alamri, S.A., Alam, P., Ashraf, M., Ahmad, P., 2018. Potential of exogenously sourced kinetin in protecting *Solanum lycopersicum* from NaCl-induced oxidative stress through up-regulation of the antioxidant system, ascorbate-glutathione cycle and glyoxalase system. *PLoS ONE* 13. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0202175>
- Ahanger, M.A., Tittal, M., Mir, R.A., Agarwal, R.M., 2017. Alleviation of water and osmotic stress-induced changes in nitrogen metabolizing enzymes in *Triticum aestivum* L. cultivars by potassium. *Protoplasma* 254, 1953–1963. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00709-017-1086-z>
- Ahmed, I.M., Dai, H., Zheng, W., Cao, F., Zhang, G., Sun, D., Wu, F., 2013. Genotypic differences in physiological characteristics in the tolerance to drought and salinity combined stress between Tibetan wild and cultivated barley. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 63, 49–60. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2012.11.004>
- Ait-El-Mokhtar, M., Baslam, M., Ben-Laouane, R., Anli, M., Boutasknit, A., Mitsui, T., Wahbi, S., Meddich, A., 2020. Alleviation of detrimental effects of salt stress on date palm (*Phoenix dactylifera* L.) by the application of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and/or compost. *Front. Sustain. Food Syst.* 4, 131.

- Ait-El-Mokhtar, M., Laouane, R.B., Anli, M., Boutasknit, A., Wahbi, S., Meddich, A., 2019. Use of mycorrhizal fungi in improving tolerance of the date palm (*Phoenix dactylifera* L.) seedlings to salt stress. *Sci. Hortic.*
- Al Jaouni, S., Saleh, A.M., Wadaan, M.A., Hozzein, W.N., Selim, S., AbdElgawad, H., 2018. Elevated CO₂ induces a global metabolic change in basil (*Ocimum basilicum* L.) and peppermint (*Mentha piperita* L.) and improves their biological activity. *J. Plant Physiol.* 224, 121–131.
- Alamri, S.A., Siddiqui, M.H., Al-Khaishany, M.Y., Khan, M.N., Ali, H.M., Alakeel, K.A., 2019. Nitric oxide-mediated cross-talk of proline and heat shock proteins induce thermotolerance in *Vicia faba* L. *Environ. Exp. Bot.* 161, 290–302.
- Al-Arjani, A.-B.F., Hashem, A., Abd Allah, E.F., 2020. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi modulates dynamics tolerance expression to mitigate drought stress in *Ephedra foliata* Boiss. *Saudi J. Biol. Sci.* 27, 380–394. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sjbs.2019.10.008>
- Alcázar, R., Marco, F., Cuevas, J.C., Patron, M., Ferrando, A., Carrasco, P., Tiburcio, A.F., Altabella, T., 2006. Involvement of polyamines in plant response to abiotic stress. *Biotechnol. Lett.* 28, 1867–1876. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10529-006-9179-3>
- Al-Elwany, O.A., Mohamed, G.F., Abdurrahman, H.A., LATEF, A.A.A., 2020. Exogenous glutathione-mediated tolerance to deficit irrigation in salt-affected *Capsicum frutescence* (L.) plants is connected with higher antioxidant content and ionic homeostasis. *Not. Bot. Horti Agrobot. Cluj-Napoca* 48, 1957–1979.
- Alexieva, V., Sergiev, I., Mapelli, S., Karanov, E., 2001. The effect of drought and ultraviolet radiation on growth and stress markers in pea and wheat. *Plant Cell Environ.* 24, 1337–1344.
- Alharby, H.F., Alzahrani, Y.M., Rady, M.M., 2020. Seeds pretreatment with zeatins or maize grain-derived organic biostimulant improved hormonal contents, polyamine gene expression, and salinity and drought tolerance of wheat. *Int. J. Agric. Biol.* 24, 714–724.
- Allen, M.F., Allen, M.F., 2011. Linking water and nutrients through the vadose zone: a fungal interface between the soil and plant systems. *干旱区科学* 3, 155–163. <https://doi.org/10.3724/SP.J.1227.2011.00155>
- Alqarawi, A.A., Abd Allah, E.F., Hashem, A., 2014. Alleviation of salt-induced adverse impact via mycorrhizal fungi in *Ephedra aphylla* Forssk. *J. Plant Interact.* 9, 802–810.

- Amanifar, S., Toghranegar, Z., 2020. The efficiency of arbuscular mycorrhiza for improving tolerance of *Valeriana officinalis* L. and enhancing valerenic acid accumulation under salinity stress. *Ind. Crops Prod.* 147, 112234.
- Ammar, M.H., Anwar, F., El-Harty, E.H., Migdadi, H.M., Abdel-Khalik, S.M., Al-Faifi, S.A., Farooq, M., Alghamdi, S.S., 2015. Physiological and Yield Responses of Faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) to Drought Stress in Managed and Open Field Environments. *J. Agron. Crop Sci.* 201, 280–287. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jac.12112>
- Andreo-Jimenez, B., Ruyter-Spira, C., Bouwmeester, H.J., Lopez-Raez, J.A., 2015. Ecological relevance of strigolactones in nutrient uptake and other abiotic stresses, and in plant-microbe interactions below-ground. *Plant Soil* 394, 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-015-2544-z>
- Apel, K., Hirt, H., 2004. Reactive oxygen species: metabolism, oxidative stress, and signal transduction. *Annu Rev Plant Biol* 55, 373–399.
- Ara, N., Nakkanong, K., Lv, W., Yang, J., Hu, Z., Zhang, M., 2013. Antioxidant enzymatic activities and gene expression associated with heat tolerance in the stems and roots of two cucurbit species (“*Cucurbita maxima*” and “*Cucurbita moschata*”) and their interspecific inbred line “Maxchata.” *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 14, 24008–24028.
- Arunanondchai, P., Fei, C., Fisher, A., McCarl, B., Yang, Y., Wang, W., 2018. How does climate change affect agriculture? pp. 191–210.
- Arya, R.K., Singh, A., Yadav, N.K., Cheruvu, S.H., Hossain, Z., Meena, S., Maheshwari, S., Singh, A.K., Shahab, U., Sharma, C., Singh, K., Narender, T., Mitra, K., Arya, K.R., Singh, R.K., Gayen, J.R., Datta, D., 2015. Anti-breast tumor activity of Eclipta extract in-vitro and in-vivo: novel evidence of endoplasmic reticulum specific localization of Hsp60 during apoptosis. *Sci. Rep.* 5, 18457. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep18457>
- Avio, L., Sbrana, C., Giovannetti, M., Frassinetti, S., 2017. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi affect total phenolics content and antioxidant activity in leaves of oak leaf lettuce varieties. *Sci. Hortic.* 224, 265–271. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2017.06.022>
- Awasthi, R., Bhandari, K., Nayyar, H., 2015. Temperature stress and redox homeostasis in agricultural crops. *Front. Environ. Sci.* 3. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2015.00011>
- Awasthi, R., Gaur, P., Turner, N.C., Vadez, V., Siddique, K.H.M., Nayyar, H., 2017. Effects of individual and combined heat and drought stress during seed filling on the oxidative metabolism and yield of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*) genotypes differing in heat and drought tolerance. *Crop Pasture Sci.*

- Balfagón, D., Zandalinas, S.I., Baliño, P., Muriach, M., Gómez-Cadenas, A., 2018. Involvement of ascorbate peroxidase and heat shock proteins on citrus tolerance to combined conditions of drought and high temperatures. *Plant Physiol. Biochem. PPB* 127, 194–199. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2018.03.029>
- Bao, X., Wang, Y., Olsson, P.A., 2019. Arbuscular mycorrhiza under water — Carbon–phosphorus exchange between rice and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi under different flooding regimes. *Soil Biol. Biochem.*
- Barea, J.M., Azcón-Aguilar, C., 1982. Production of Plant Growth-Regulating Substances by the Vesicular-Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungus *Glomus mosseae*. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 43, 810–813.
- Bates, L.S., Waldren, R.P., Teare, I.D., 1973. Rapid determination of free proline for water-stress studies. *Plant Soil* 39, 205–207.
- Bedini, S., Pellegrino, E., Avio, L., Pellegrini, S., Bazzoffi, P., Argese, E., Giovannetti, M., 2009. Changes in soil aggregation and glomalin-related soil protein content as affected by the arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal species *Glomus mosseae* and *Glomus intraradices*. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 41, 1491–1496. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2009.04.005>
- Begum, N., Ahanger, M.A., Su, Y., Lei, Y., Mustafa, N.S.A., Ahmad, P., Zhang, L., 2019a. Improved Drought Tolerance by AMF Inoculation in Maize (*Zea mays*) Involves Physiological and Biochemical Implications. *Plants* 8. <https://doi.org/10.3390/plants8120579>
- Begum, N., Ahanger, M.A., Zhang, L., 2020. AMF inoculation and phosphorus supplementation alleviates drought induced growth and photosynthetic decline in *Nicotiana tabacum* by up-regulating antioxidant metabolism and osmolyte accumulation. *Environ. Exp. Bot.* 176, 104088. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2020.104088>
- Begum, N., Qin, C., Ahanger, M.A., Raza, S., Khan, M.I., Ashraf, M., Ahmed, N., Zhang, L., 2019b. Role of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi in Plant Growth Regulation: Implications in Abiotic Stress Tolerance. *Front. Plant Sci.* 10, 1068. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2019.01068>
- Behdad, A., Mohsenzadeh, S., Azizi, M., Moshtaghi, N., 2020. Salinity effects on physiological and phytochemical characteristics and gene expression of two *Glycyrrhiza glabra* L. populations. *Phytochemistry* 171, 112236.
- Beltrame, S.R., da Cruz, R.M.S., Lourenço, E.L.B., Alberton, O., 2019. Meta-analysis of Lamiaceae and Euphorbiaceae medicinal plants inoculated with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *Aust J Crop Sci* 13, 588–598.

- Bencherif, K., Djaballah, Z., Brahimi, F., Boutekrabt, A., Dalpè, Y., Sahraoui, A.L.-H., 2019. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi affect total phenolic content and antimicrobial activity of *Tamarix gallica* in natural semi-arid Algerian areas. *South Afr. J. Bot.* 125, 39–45.
- Bender, S.F., Conen, F., Van der Heijden, M.G.A., 2015. Mycorrhizal effects on nutrient cycling, nutrient leaching and N₂O production in experimental grassland. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 80, 283–292. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2014.10.016>
- Benhiba, L., Fouad, M.O., Essahibi, A., Ghoulam, C., Qaddoury, A., 2015. Arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis enhanced growth and antioxidant metabolism in date palm subjected to long-term drought. *Trees* 29, 1725–1733.
- Barbara, R., de Souza, F., Fonseca, H., 2020. III -FUNGOS MICORRÍZICOS ARBUSCULARES: MUITO ALÉM DA NUTRIÇÃO.
- Beyer Jr, W.F., Fridovich, I., 1987. Assaying for superoxide dismutase activity: some large consequences of minor changes in conditions. *Anal. Biochem.* 161, 559–566.
- Bhattacharya, A., Sood, P., Citovsky, V., 2010. The roles of plant phenolics in defence and communication during *Agrobacterium* and *Rhizobium* infection. *Mol. Plant Pathol.* 11, 705–719.
- Bhuyan, D.J., Basu, A., 2017. Phenolic compounds: potential health benefits and toxicity. *Util. Bioact. Compd. Agric. Food Prod. Waste* 27–59.
- Bindumadhava, H., Sharma, L., Nair, R.M., Nayyar, H., Riley, J.J., Easdown, W., 2018. High-temperature-tolerant mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L.) lines produce better yields when exposed to higher CO₂ levels. *J. Crop Improv.* 32, 418–430.
- Biró, B., 2005. Mycorrhizal functioning as part of the survival mechanisms of barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) at long-term heavy metal stress. *Acta Biol. Szeged.* 49, 65–67.
- Biró, B., Villányi, I., Köves-Péchy, K., 2002. Abundance and adaptation level of some soil microbes in salt-affected soils. *Agrokém. És Talajt.* 51, 99–106.
- Bista, D.R., Heckathorn, S.A., Jayawardena, D.M., Mishra, S., Boldt, J.K., 2018. Effects of Drought on Nutrient Uptake and the Levels of Nutrient-Uptake Proteins in Roots of Drought-Sensitive and -Tolerant Grasses. *Plants* 7, 28. <https://doi.org/10.3390/plants7020028>
- Bistgani, Z.E., Hashemi, M., DaCosta, M., Craker, L., Maggi, F., Morshedloo, M.R., 2019. Effect of salinity stress on the physiological characteristics, phenolic compounds and antioxidant activity of *Thymus vulgaris* L. and *Thymus daenensis* Celak. *Ind. Crops Prod.* 135, 311–320.

- Błaszowski, J., Chwat, G., Kozłowska, A., Ryszka, P., Kovács, G., 2015. Two new genera, *Dominikia* and *Kamienskia*, and *D. disticha* sp. nov. in Glomeromycota. *Nova Hedwigia*. 100. https://doi.org/10.1127/nova_hedwigia/2014/0216
- Boeck, H.J.D., Bassin, S., Verlinden, M., Zeiter, M., Hiltbrunner, E., 2016. Simulated heat waves affected alpine grassland only in combination with drought. *New Phytol.* 209, 531–541. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.13601>
- Bortolheiro, F.P. a. P., Silva, M.A., Bortolheiro, F.P. a. P., Silva, M.A., 2017. Physiological response and productivity of safflower lines under water deficit and rehydration. *An. Acad. Bras. Ciênc.* 89, 3051–3066. <https://doi.org/10.1590/0001-3765201720170475>
- Boughalleb, F., Abdellaoui, R., Mahmoudi, M., Bakhshandeh, E., 2020. Changes in phenolic profile, soluble sugar, proline, and antioxidant enzyme activities of *Polygonum equisetiforme* in response to salinity. *Turk. J. Bot.* 44, 25–35.
- Boutasknit, A., Baslam, M., Ait-El-Mokhtar, M., Anli, M., Ben-Laouane, R., Douira, A., El Modafar, C., Mitsui, T., Wahbi, S., Meddich, A., 2020. Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi Mediate Drought Tolerance and Recovery in Two Contrasting Carob (*Ceratonia siliqua* L.) Ecotypes by Regulating Stomatal, Water Relations, and (In)Organic Adjustments. *Plants* 9, 80. <https://doi.org/10.3390/plants9010080>
- Bozin, B., Mimica-Dukic, N., Samojlik, I., Goran, A., Igetic, R., 2008. Phenolics as antioxidants in garlic (*Allium sativum* L., Alliaceae). *Food Chem.* 111, 925–929.
- Bradford, M.M., 1976. A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. *Anal. Biochem.* 72, 248–254.
- Broun, P., Liu, Y., Queen, E., Schwarz, Y., Abenes, M.L., Leibman, M., 2006. Importance of transcription factors in the regulation of plant secondary metabolism and their relevance to the control of terpenoid accumulation. *Phytochem. Rev.* 5, 27–38.
- Brundrett, M.C., 2017. Global diversity and importance of mycorrhizal and nonmycorrhizal plants, in: *Biogeography of Mycorrhizal Symbiosis*. Springer, pp. 533–556.
- Cabral, C., Ravnskov, S., Tringovska, I., Wollenweber, B., 2016. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi modify nutrient allocation and composition in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) subjected to heat-stress. *Plant Soil* 408, 385–399. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-016-2942-x>
- Cameron, D.D., Neal, A.L., van Wees, S.C., Ton, J., 2013. Mycorrhiza-induced resistance: more than the sum of its parts? *Trends Plant Sci.* 18, 539–545.

- Cavagnaro, T.R., Bender, S.F., Asghari, H.R., Heijden, M.G.A. van der, 2015. The role of arbuscular mycorrhizas in reducing soil nutrient loss. *Trends Plant Sci.* 20, 283–290. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2015.03.004>
- Cavagnaro, T.R., Jackson, L.E., Six, J., Ferris, H., Goyal, S., Asami, D., Scow, K.M., 2006. Arbuscular Mycorrhizas, Microbial Communities, Nutrient Availability, and Soil Aggregates in Organic Tomato Production. *Plant Soil* 282, 209–225. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-005-5847-7>
- Cetinkaya, H., Tasci, E., Dinler, B.S., 2014. Regulation of glutathione S-transferase enzyme activity with salt pre-treatment under heat stress in maize leaves. *Res. Plant Biol.* 4.
- Chakraborty, K., Basak, N., Bhaduri, D., Ray, S., Vijayan, J., Chattopadhyay, K., Sarkar, R.K., 2018. Ionic Basis of Salt Tolerance in Plants: Nutrient Homeostasis and Oxidative Stress Tolerance, in: Hasanuzzaman, M., Fujita, M., Oku, H., Nahar, K., Hawrylak-Nowak, B. (Eds.), *Plant Nutrients and Abiotic Stress Tolerance*. Springer, Singapore, pp. 325–362. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-9044-8_14
- Chandra, K.K., Kumar, N., Chand, G., 2010. Studies on mycorrhizal inoculation on dry matter yield and root colonization of some medicinal plants grown in stress and forest soils. *J. Environ. Biol.* 31, 975.
- Chang, W., Sui, X., Fan, X.-X., Jia, T.-T., Song, F.-Q., 2018. Arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis modulates antioxidant response and ion distribution in salt-stressed *Elaeagnus angustifolia* seedlings. *Front. Microbiol.* 9, 652.
- Change, I.P.O.C., 2014. *Climate change 2013: the physical science basis: Working Group I contribution to the Fifth assessment report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. Cambridge University Press.
- Chauhan, B.S., Johnson, D.E., 2008. Influence of Environmental Factors on Seed Germination and Seedling Emergence of *Eclipta (Eclipta prostrata)* in a Tropical Environment. *Weed Sci.* 56, 383–388. <https://doi.org/10.1614/WS-07-154.1>
- Chen, J., Zhang, H., Zhang, X., Tang, M., 2017. Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Symbiosis Alleviates Salt Stress in Black Locust through Improved Photosynthesis, Water Status, and K⁺/Na⁺ Homeostasis. *Front. Plant Sci.* 8, 1739. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.01739>
- Chen, T.H.H., Murata, N., 2011. Glycinebetaine protects plants against abiotic stress: mechanisms and biotechnological applications. *Plant Cell Environ.* 34, 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.2010.02232.x>

- Chitarra, W., Pagliarani, C., Maserti, B., Lumini, E., Siciliano, I., Cascone, P., Schubert, A., Gambino, G., Balestrini, R., Guerrieri, E., 2016. Insights on the impact of arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis on tomato tolerance to water stress. *Plant Physiol.* 171, 1009–1023.
- Cho, Y.J., Woo, J.-H., Lee, J.-S., Jang, D.S., Lee, K.-T., Choi, J.-H., 2016. Eclalbasaponin II induces autophagic and apoptotic cell death in human ovarian cancer cells. *J. Pharmacol. Sci.* 132, 6–14.
- Choudhury, F.K., Rivero, R.M., Blumwald, E., Mittler, R., 2017. Reactive oxygen species, abiotic stress and stress combination. *Plant J.* 90, 856–867.
- Chu, X.T., Fu, J.J., Sun, Y.F., Xu, Y.M., Miao, Y.J., Xu, Y.F., Hu, T.M., 2016. Effect of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi inoculation on cold stress-induced oxidative damage in leaves of *Elymus nutans* Griseb. *South Afr. J. Bot.* 104, 21–29. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sajb.2015.10.001>
- Chung, I.-M., Rajakumar, G., Lee, J.-H., Kim, S.-H., Thiruvengadam, M., 2017. Ethnopharmacological uses, phytochemistry, biological activities, and biotechnological applications of *Eclipta prostrata*. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 101, 5247–5257. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-017-8363-9>
- Coleman-Derr, D., Tringe, S.G., 2014. Building the crops of tomorrow: advantages of symbiont-based approaches to improving abiotic stress tolerance. *Front. Microbiol.* 5, 283.
- Conesa, M.R., de la Rosa, J.M., Domingo, R., Bañón, S., Pérez-Pastor, A., 2016. Changes induced by water stress on water relations, stomatal behaviour and morphology of table grapes (cv. Crimson Seedless) grown in pots.
- Correia, B., Hancock, R.D., Amaral, J., Gomez-Cadenas, A., Valledor, L., Pinto, G., 2018. Combined drought and heat activates protective responses in *Eucalyptus globulus* that are not activated when subjected to drought or heat stress alone. *Front. Plant Sci.* 9, 819.
- Daryanto, S., Wang, L., Jacinthe, P.-A., 2016. Global Synthesis of Drought Effects on Maize and Wheat Production. *PLOS ONE* 11, e0156362. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0156362>
- Dawood, M.G., El-Awadi, M.E., 2018. Role of glycinebetaine and trehalose as osmoregulators during abiotic stress tolerance in plants. *Metab. Adapt. Plants Abiotic Stress* 193–212.
- Deng, Y., Kang, W., Zhao, J., Liu, G., Zhao, M., 2015. Osteoprotective effect of echinocystic acid, a triterpene component from *Eclipta prostrata*, in ovariectomy-induced osteoporotic rats. *PLoS One* 10, e0136572.
- Dhandapani, R., Sabna, B., 2008. Phytochemical constituents of some Indian medicinal plants. *Anc. Sci. Life* 27, 1–8.

- Dhen, N., ben Abed, S., Zouba, A., Haouala, F., Dridi, B.A., 2018. The challenge of using date branch waste as a peat substitute in container nursery production of lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* L.). *Int. J. Recycl. Org. Waste Agric.* 7, 357–364.
- Diagne, N., Ngom, M., Djighaly, P.I., Fall, D., Hocher, V., Svistoonoff, S., 2020. Roles of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi on Plant Growth and Performance: Importance in Biotic and Abiotic Stressed Regulation. *Diversity* 12, 370. <https://doi.org/10.3390/d12100370>
- Ding, N., Wang, A., Zhang, X., Wu, Y., Wang, R., Cui, H., Huang, R., Luo, Y., 2017. Identification and analysis of glutathione S-transferase gene family in sweet potato reveal divergent GST-mediated networks in aboveground and underground tissues in response to abiotic stresses. *BMC Plant Biol.* 17, 225.
- Ding, W., Fang, W., Shi, S., Zhao, Y., Li, X., Xiao, K., 2016. Wheat WRKY Type Transcription Factor Gene TaWRKY1 is Essential in Mediating Drought Tolerance Associated with an ABA-Dependent Pathway. *Plant Mol. Biol. Report.* 34, 1111–1126. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11105-016-0991-1>
- Dodd, J.C., Boddington, C.L., Rodriguez, A., Gonzalez-Chavez, C., Mansur, I., 2000. Mycelium of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) from different genera: form, function and detection. *Plant Soil* 226, 131–151. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1026574828169>
- dos Santos, E.L., Alves da Silva, F., Barbosa da Silva, F.S., 2017. Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi Increase the Phenolic Compounds Concentration in the Bark of the Stem of *Libidibia Ferrea* in Field Conditions. *Open Microbiol. J.* 11, 283–291. <https://doi.org/10.2174/1874285801711010283>
- Dubey, P., Singh, G., Abhilash, P.C., 2015. Agriculture in a changing climate. *J. Clean. Prod.* 113. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2015.11.067>
- Duc, N., Csintalan, Z., Posta, K., 2018. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi mitigate negative effects of combined drought and heat stress on tomato plants. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 132. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2018.09.011>
- Duque, L.O., Setter, T.L., 2019. Partitioning index and non-structural carbohydrate dynamics among contrasting cassava genotypes under early terminal water stress. *Environ. Exp. Bot.* 163, 24–35. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2019.03.023>
- Dutta, S.C., Neog, B., 2016. Accumulation of secondary metabolites in response to antioxidant activity of turmeric rhizomes co-inoculated with native arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and plant growth promoting rhizobacteria. *Sci. Hortic.* 204, 179–184.

- El Amerany, F., Rhazi, M., Wahbi, S., Taourirte, M., Meddich, A., 2020. The effect of chitosan, arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi, and compost applied individually or in combination on growth, nutrient uptake, and stem anatomy of tomato. *Sci. Hortic.* 261, 109015. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2019.109015>
- Elhindi, K.M., El-Din, A.S., Elgorban, A.M., 2017. The impact of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in mitigating salt-induced adverse effects in sweet basil (*Ocimum basilicum* L.). *Saudi J. Biol. Sci.* 24, 170–179. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sjbs.2016.02.010>
- Essahibi, A., Benhiba, L., Babram, M.A., Ghoulam, C., Qaddoury, A., 2018. Influence of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on the functional mechanisms associated with drought tolerance in carob (*Ceratonia siliqua* L.). *Trees* 32, 87–97.
- Estrada, B., Aroca, R., Maathuis, F.J., BAREA, J.M., RUIZ-LOZANO, J.M., 2013. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi native from a Mediterranean saline area enhance maize tolerance to salinity through improved ion homeostasis. *Plant Cell Environ.* 36, 1771–1782.
- Evelin, H., Devi, T.S., Gupta, S., Kapoor, R., 2019. Mitigation of Salinity Stress in Plants by Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Symbiosis: Current Understanding and New Challenges. *Front. Plant Sci.* 10. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2019.00470>
- Fang, X., Wang, J., Hao, J., Li, X., Guo, N., 2015. Simultaneous extraction, identification and quantification of phenolic compounds in *Eclipta prostrata* using microwave-assisted extraction combined with HPLC–DAD–ESI–MS/MS. *Food Chem.* 188, 527–536. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2015.05.037>
- FAO, I., 2015. Status of the world's soil resources (SWSR)—main report. Food Agric. Organ. U. N. Intergov. Tech. Panel Soils Rome Italy 650.
- Farooq, M., Wahid, A., Kobayashi, N., Fujita, D., Basra, S.M.A., 2009. Plant drought stress: effects, mechanisms and management. *Agron. Sustain. Dev.* 29, 185–212. <https://doi.org/10.1051/agro:2008021>
- Fehrmann, H., Diamond, A.E., 1967. Studies on Auxins in the Phytophthora Disease of the Potato Tuber I. Role of indole-acetic acid in pathogenesis. *J. Phytopathol.* 59, 83–100.
- Feldmann, F., Gillessen, M., Hutter, I., Schneider, C., 2009. Should we breed for effective mycorrhiza symbioses?, in: *Crop Plant Resistance to Biotic and Abiotic Factors: Current Potential and Future Demands. Proceedings of the 3rd International Symposium on Plant Protection and Plant Health in Europe, Julius Kühn-Institut, Berlin-Dahlem, Germany, 14-16 May 2009.* Deutsche Phytomedizinische Gesellschaft eV Verlag, pp. 507–522.

- Feng, L., Zhai, Y.-Y., Xu, J., Yao, W.-F., Cao, Y.-D., Cheng, F.-F., Bao, B.-H., Zhang, L., 2019. A review on traditional uses, phytochemistry and pharmacology of *Eclipta prostrata* (L.) L. J. Ethnopharmacol. 245, 112109. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jep.2019.112109>
- Fontana, A., Reichelt, M., Hempel, S., Gershenzon, J., Unsicker, S.B., 2009. The effects of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on direct and indirect defense metabolites of *Plantago lanceolata* L. J. Chem. Ecol. 35, 833–843.
- Forni, C., Duca, D., Glick, B.R., 2017. Mechanisms of plant response to salt and drought stress and their alteration by rhizobacteria. Plant Soil 410, 335–356.
- Foyer, C.H., Noctor, G., 2005. Oxidant and antioxidant signalling in plants: a re-evaluation of the concept of oxidative stress in a physiological context. Plant Cell Environ. 28, 1056–1071. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.2005.01327.x>
- Füzy, A., Biró, B., Tóth, T., Hildebrandt, U., Bothe, H., 2008a. Drought, but not salinity, determines the apparent effectiveness of halophytes colonized by arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. J. Plant Physiol. 165, 1181–1192.
- Füzy, A., Tóth, T., Biró, B., 2008b. Soil-plant factors, others than the type of salt-specific anions are affecting the mycorrhiza colonisation of some halophytes. Community Ecol. - COMMUNITY ECOL 9, 125–130. <https://doi.org/10.1556/ComEc.9.2008.S.17>
- Füzy, A., Tóth, T., Biró, B., 2007. Mycorrhizal colonisation can be altered by the direct and indirect effect of drought and salt in a split root experiment. Cereal Res. Commun. 35, 401–404.
- Garg, N., Chandel, S., 2015. Role of arbuscular mycorrhiza in arresting reactive oxygen species (ROS) and strengthening antioxidant defense in *Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp. nodules under salinity (NaCl) and cadmium (Cd) stress. Plant Growth Regul. 75, 521–534.
- Gashgari, R., Selim, S., Abdel-Mawgoud, M., Warrad, M., Habeeb, T.H., Saleh, A.M., AbdElgawad, H., 2020. Arbuscular mycorrhizae induce a global metabolic change and improve the nutritional and health benefits of pennyroyal and parsley. Acta Physiol. Plant. 42, 1–11.
- Giovannetti, M., Mosse, B., 1980. An Evaluation of Techniques for Measuring Vesicular Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Infection in Roots. New Phytol. 84, 489–500.
- Giri, A., Heckathorn, S., Mishra, S., Krause, C., 2017. Heat Stress Decreases Levels of Nutrient-Uptake and -Assimilation Proteins in Tomato Roots. Plants 6. <https://doi.org/10.3390/plants6010006>

- Giri, B., Kapoor, R., Mukerji, K.G., 2007. Improved tolerance of *Acacia nilotica* to salt stress by arbuscular mycorrhiza, *Glomus fasciculatum* may be partly related to elevated K/Na ratios in root and shoot tissues. *Microb. Ecol.* 54, 753–760.
- Giri, B., Varma, A., 2019. *Microorganisms in Saline Environments: Strategies and Functions*. Springer.
- Glozer, K., 2008. Protocol for leaf Image analysis–surface area, University of California.
- Goltapeh, E.M., Danesh, Y.R., Prasad, R., Varma, A., 2008. Mycorrhizal Fungi: What We Know and What Should We Know?, in: Varma, Ajit (Ed.), *Mycorrhiza: State of the Art, Genetics and Molecular Biology, Eco-Function, Biotechnology, Eco-Physiology, Structure and Systematics*. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, pp. 3–27. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-78826-3_1
- Gowing, C., 2021. Standard operating procedure for soil calcium carbonate equivalent - volumetric calcimeter method 17.
- Guajardo, E., Correa, J.A., Contreras-Porcia, L., 2016. Role of abscisic acid (ABA) in activating antioxidant tolerance responses to desiccation stress in intertidal seaweed species. *Planta* 243, 767–781. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-015-2438-6>
- Guo, M., Liu, J.-H., Ma, X., Luo, D.-X., Gong, Z.-H., Lu, M.-H., 2016. The Plant Heat Stress Transcription Factors (HSFs): Structure, Regulation, and Function in Response to Abiotic Stresses. *Front. Plant Sci.* 7. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.00114>
- Gupta, M.M., Chourasiya, D., Sharma, M.P., 2019. Diversity of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in relation to sustainable plant production systems, in: *Microbial Diversity in Ecosystem Sustainability and Biotechnological Applications*. Springer, pp. 167–186.
- Gurrapu, S., Estari, M., 2017. In vitro Antibacterial Activity of Alkaloids Isolated from Leaves of *Eclipta alba* Against Human Pathogenic Bacteria. *Pharmacogn. J.* 9, 573–577. <https://doi.org/10.5530/pj.2017.4.91>
- Gutjahr, C., Parniske, M., 2013. Cell and developmental biology of arbuscular mycorrhiza symbiosis. *Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol.* 29, 593–617. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-cellbio-101512-122413>
- Habig, W.H., Pabst, M.J., Jakoby, W.B., 1974. Glutathione S-transferases the first enzymatic step in mercapturic acid formation. *J. Biol. Chem.* 249, 7130–7139.

- Halušková, L., Valentovičová, K., Huttová, J., Mistrík, I., Tamás, L., 2009. Effect of abiotic stresses on glutathione peroxidase and glutathione S-transferase activity in barley root tips. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 47, 1069–1074. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2009.08.003>
- Handayani, T., Watanabe, K., 2020. The combination of drought and heat stress has a greater effect on potato plants than single stresses. *Plant Soil Environ.* 66, 175–182.
- Hanin, M., Ebel, C., Ngom, M., Laplaze, L., Masmoudi, K., 2016. New Insights on Plant Salt Tolerance Mechanisms and Their Potential Use for Breeding. *Front. Plant Sci.* 7. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.01787>
- HanumanthaRao, B., Nair, R.M., Nayyar, H., 2016. Salinity and high temperature tolerance in mungbean [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek] from a physiological perspective. *Front. Plant Sci.* 7, 957.
- Hasanuzzaman, M. (Ed.), 2020. *Agronomic Crops: Volume 3: Stress Responses and Tolerance.* Springer Singapore. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-0025-1>
- Hasanuzzaman, Mirza, Nahar, K., Alam, Md.M., Roychowdhury, R., Fujita, M., 2013. Physiological, Biochemical, and Molecular Mechanisms of Heat Stress Tolerance in Plants. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 14, 9643–9684. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms14059643>
- Hasanuzzaman, M., Nahar, K., Fujita, M., 2013. Plant Response to Salt Stress and Role of Exogenous Protectants to Mitigate Salt-Induced Damages. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-4747-4_2
- Hasegawa, T., Fujimori, S., Havlík, P., Valin, H., Bodirsky, B.L., Doelman, J.C., Fellmann, T., Kyle, P., Koopman, J.F.L., Lotze-Campen, H., Mason-D’Croz, D., Ochi, Y., Pérez Domínguez, I., Stehfest, E., Sulser, T.B., Tabeau, A., Takahashi, K., Takakura, J., van Meijl, H., van Zeist, W.-J., Wiebe, K., Witzke, P., 2018. Risk of increased food insecurity under stringent global climate change mitigation policy. *Nat. Clim. Change* 8, 699–703. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-018-0230-x>
- Hashem, A., Abd_Allah, E.F., Alqarawi, A.A., Al-Huqail, A.A., Wirth, S., Egamberdieva, D., 2016. The interaction between arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and endophytic bacteria enhances plant growth of *Acacia gerrardii* under salt stress. *Front. Microbiol.* 7, 1089.
- Hashem, A., Alqarawi, A.A., Radhakrishnan, R., Al-Arjani, A.-B.F., Aldehaish, H.A., Egamberdieva, D., Abd_Allah, E.F., 2018. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi regulate the oxidative system, hormones and ionic equilibrium to trigger salt stress tolerance in *Cucumis sativus* L. *Saudi J. Biol. Sci.* 25, 1102–1114.

- Hazzoumi, Z., Moustakime, Y., Joutei, K.A., 2015. Effect of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) and water stress on growth, phenolic compounds, glandular hairs, and yield of essential oil in basil (*Ocimum gratissimum* L). *Chem. Biol. Technol. Agric.* 2, 10.
- Heath, R.L., Packer, L., 1968. Photoperoxidation in isolated chloroplasts: I. Kinetics and stoichiometry of fatty acid peroxidation. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* 125, 189–198.
- Heijden, M.G.A. van der, Martin, F.M., Selosse, M.-A., Sanders, I.R., 2015. Mycorrhizal ecology and evolution: the past, the present, and the future. *New Phytol.* 205, 1406–1423. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.13288>
- Hniličková, H., Hnilička, F., Martinkova, J., Kraus, K., 2017. Effects of salt stress on water status, photosynthesis and chlorophyll fluorescence of rocket. *Plant Soil Environ.* 63 (2017), 362–367. <https://doi.org/10.17221/398/2017-PSE>
- Hossain, M.A., Hoque, M.A., Burritt, D.J., Fujita, M., 2014. Proline protects plants against abiotic oxidative stress: biochemical and molecular mechanisms, in: *Oxidative Damage to Plants*. Elsevier, pp. 477–522.
- Hu, S., Ding, Y., Zhu, C., 2020. Sensitivity and Responses of Chloroplasts to Heat Stress in Plants. *Front. Plant Sci.* 11. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2020.00375>
- Hu, X., Wu, L., Zhao, F., Zhang, D., Li, N., Zhu, G., Li, C., Wang, W., 2015. Phosphoproteomic analysis of the response of maize leaves to drought, heat and their combination stress. *Front. Plant Sci.* 6. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2015.00298>
- Huang, Y.-M., Srivastava, A.K., Zou, Y.-N., Ni, Q.-D., Han, Y., Wu, Q.-S., 2014. Mycorrhizal-induced calmodulin mediated changes in antioxidant enzymes and growth response of drought-stressed trifoliolate orange. *Front. Microbiol.* 5, 682.
- Huang, Y.-M., Zou, Y.-N., Wu, Q.-S., 2017. Alleviation of drought stress by mycorrhizas is related to increased root H₂O₂ efflux in trifoliolate orange. *Sci Rep* 7.
- Isayenkov, S.V., Maathuis, F.J.M., 2019. Plant Salinity Stress: Many Unanswered Questions Remain. *Front. Plant Sci.* 10. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2019.00080>
- Iyer, N.J., Tang, Y., Mahalingam, R., 2013. Physiological, biochemical and molecular responses to a combination of drought and ozone in *Medicago truncatula*. *Plant Cell Environ.* 36, 706–720. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pce.12008>
- Jansa, J., Forczek, S.T., Rozmoš, M., Püschel, D., Bukovská, P., Hřelová, H., 2019. Arbuscular mycorrhiza and soil organic nitrogen: network of players and interactions. *Chem. Biol. Technol. Agric.* 6, 10. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40538-019-0147-2>

- Jeong, G.-T., Woo, J.-C., Park, D.-H., 2007. Effect of plant growth regulators on growth and biosynthesis of phenolic compounds in genetically transformed hairy roots of *Panax ginseng* CA Meyer. *Biotechnol. Bioprocess Eng.* 12, 86–91.
- Jiang, H.-W., Liu, M.-J., Chen, I.-C., Huang, C.-H., Chao, L.-Y., Hsieh, H.-L., 2010. A Glutathione S-Transferase Regulated by Light and Hormones Participates in the Modulation of Arabidopsis Seedling Development. *Plant Physiol.* 154, 1646–1658. <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.110.159152>
- Johansen, A., Finlay, R.D., OLSSON, P.A., 1996. Nitrogen metabolism of external hyphae of the arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus *Glomus intraradices*. *New Phytol.* 133, 705–712.
- Johkan, M., Oda, M., Maruo, T., Shinohara, Y., 2011. Crop Production and Global Warming. *Glob. Warm. Impacts - Case Stud. Econ. Hum. Health Urban Nat. Environ.* <https://doi.org/10.5772/24467>
- Jones, J.B., 2001. Laboratory guide for conducting soil tests and plant analysis. CRC press.
- Jones, J.D.G., Dangl, J.L., 2006. The plant immune system. *Nature* 444, 323–329. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature05286>
- Joshi, R., Wani, S.H., Singh, B., Bohra, A., Dar, Z.A., Lone, A.A., Pareek, A., Singla-Pareek, S.L., 2016. Transcription Factors and Plants Response to Drought Stress: Current Understanding and Future Directions. *Front. Plant Sci.* 7. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.01029>
- Jugran, A.K., Bahukhandi, A., Dhyani, P., Bhatt, I.D., Rawal, R.S., Nandi, S.K., Palni, L.M.S., 2015. The effect of inoculation with mycorrhiza: AM on growth, phenolics, tannins, phenolic composition and antioxidant activity in *Valeriana jatamansi* Jones. *J. Soil Sci. Plant Nutr.* 15, 1036–1049.
- Jung, S.C., Martinez-Medina, A., Lopez-Raez, J.A., Pozo, M.J., 2012. Mycorrhiza-induced resistance and priming of plant defenses. *J. Chem. Ecol.* 38, 651–664. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10886-012-0134-6>
- Kamarudin, Z.S., Yusop, M., Ismail, M., Mohamed, M.T.M., Harun, A.R., Yusuff, O.A., Magaji, U., Fatai, A., 2019. LEA Gene Expression Assessment in Advanced Mutant Rice Genotypes under Drought Stress. *Int. J. Genomics.* <https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/8406036>
- Kang, Y., Torres-Jerez, I., An, Z., Greve, V., Huhman, D., Krom, N., Cui, Y., Udvardi, M., 2019. Genome-wide association analysis of salinity responsive traits in *Medicago truncatula*. *Plant Cell Environ.* 42, 1513–1531.

- Kellős, T., Timár, I., Szilágyi, V., Szalai, G., Galiba, G., Kocsy, G., 2008. Stress hormones and abiotic stresses have different effects on antioxidants in maize lines with different sensitivity. *Plant Biol.* 10, 563–572. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1438-8677.2008.00071.x>
- Khalloufi, M., Martínez-Andújar, C., Lachaâl, M., Karray-Bouraoui, N., Pérez-Alfocea, F., Albacete, A., 2017. The interaction between foliar GA3 application and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi inoculation improves growth in salinized tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) plants by modifying the hormonal balance. *J. Plant Physiol.* 214, 134–144. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jplph.2017.04.012>
- Khanh, T.D., Anh, L.H., Nghia, L.T., Huu Trung, K., Bich Hien, P., Do Trung, M., Dang Xuan, T., 2018. Allelopathic responses of rice seedlings under some different stresses. *Plants* 7, 40.
- Kim, S.J., Eo, J.-K., Lee, E.-H., Park, H., Eom, A.-H., 2017. Effects of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi and Soil Conditions on Crop Plant Growth. *Mycobiology* 45, 20–24. <https://doi.org/10.5941/MYCO.2017.45.1.20>
- Kumar, K., Kumar, M., Kim, S.-R., Ryu, H., Cho, Y.-G., 2013. Insights into genomics of salt stress response in rice. *Rice* 6, 27. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1939-8433-6-27>
- Kumar, S., Kaur, R., Kaur, N., Bhandhari, K., Kaushal, N., Gupta, K., Bains, T.S., Nayyar, H., 2011. Heat-stress induced inhibition in growth and chlorosis in mungbean (*Phaseolus aureus* Roxb.) is partly mitigated by ascorbic acid application and is related to reduction in oxidative stress. *Acta Physiol. Plant.* 33, 2091. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11738-011-0748-2>
- Landwehr, M., Hildebrandt, U., Wilde, P., Nawrath, K., Tóth, T., Biró, B., Bothe, H., 2002. The arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus *Glomus geosporum* in European saline, sodic and gypsum soils. *Mycorrhiza* 12, 199–211.
- Latef, A.A.H.A., Hashem, A., Rasool, S., Abd_Allah, E.F., Alqarawi, A.A., Egamberdieva, D., Jan, S., Anjum, N.A., Ahmad, P., 2016. Arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis and abiotic stress in plants: A review. *J. Plant Biol.* 59, 407–426. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12374-016-0237-7>
- Lattanzio, V., Lattanzio, V.M., Cardinali, A., 2006. Role of phenolics in the resistance mechanisms of plants against fungal pathogens and insects. *Phytochem. Adv. Res.* 661, 23–67.
- Lavid, N., Schwartz, A., Yarden, O., Tel-Or, E., 2001. The involvement of polyphenols and peroxidase activities in heavy-metal accumulation by epidermal glands of the waterlily (Nymphaeaceae). *Planta* 212, 323–331.
- Lee, J., Scagel, C.F., 2009. Chicoric acid found in basil (*Ocimum basilicum* L.) leaves. *Food Chem.* 115, 650–656.

- Leifheit, E., Veresoglou, S., Lehmann, A., Morris, E., Rillig, M., 2014. Multiple factors influence the role of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in soil aggregation—a meta-analysis. *Plant Soil* 374. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-013-1899-2>
- Leifheit, E.F., Verbruggen, E., Rillig, M.C., 2015. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi reduce decomposition of woody plant litter while increasing soil aggregation. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 81, 323–328. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2014.12.003>
- Lenoir, I., Fontaine, J., Sahraoui, A.L.-H., 2016. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal responses to abiotic stresses: a review. *Phytochemistry* 123, 4–15.
- Lesk, C., Rowhani, P., Ramankutty, N., 2016. Influence of extreme weather disasters on global crop production. *Nature* 529, 84–87. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature16467>
- Li, Y., Kong, D., Fu, Y., Sussman, M.R., Wu, H., 2020. The effect of developmental and environmental factors on secondary metabolites in medicinal plants. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 148, 80–89.
- Liao, M.-Y., Chuang, C.-Y., Hsieh, M.-J., Chou, Y.-E., Lin, C.-W., Chen, W.-R., Lai, C.-T., Chen, M.-K., Yang, S.-F., 2018. Antimetastatic effects of *Eclipta prostrata* extract on oral cancer cells. *Environ. Toxicol.* 33, 923–930.
- Lim, C.W., Baek, W., Jung, J., Kim, J.-H., Lee, S.C., 2015. Function of ABA in Stomatal Defense against Biotic and Drought Stresses. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 16, 15251–15270. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms160715251>
- Limpens, E., Geurts, R., 2018. Transcriptional Regulation of Nutrient Exchange in Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Symbiosis. *Mol. Plant* 11, 1421–1423. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molp.2018.11.003>
- Lin, D., Xiao, M., Zhao, J., Li, Z., Xing, B., Li, X., Kong, M., Li, L., Zhang, Q., Liu, Y., 2016. An overview of plant phenolic compounds and their importance in human nutrition and management of type 2 diabetes. *Molecules* 21, 1374.
- Lister, E., Wilson, P., 2001. Measurement of total phenolics and ABTS assay for antioxidant activity (personal communication). *Crop Res. Inst. Linc. N. Z.* 235–239.
- Liu, B., Asseng, S., Müller, C., Ewert, F., Elliott, J., Lobell, D.B., Martre, P., Ruane, A.C., Wallach, D., Jones, J.W., Rosenzweig, C., Aggarwal, P.K., Alderman, P.D., Anothai, J., Basso, B., Biernath, C., Cammarano, D., Challinor, A., Deryng, D., Sanctis, G.D., Doltra, J., Fereres, E., Folberth, C., Garcia-Vila, M., Gayler, S., Hoogenboom, G., Hunt, L.A., Izaurralde, R.C., Jabloun, M., Jones, C.D., Kersebaum, K.C., Kimball, B.A., Koehler, A.-K., Kumar, S.N.,

- Nendel, C., O’Leary, G.J., Olesen, J.E., Ottman, M.J., Palosuo, T., Prasad, P.V.V., Priesack, E., Pugh, T.A.M., Reynolds, M., Rezaei, E.E., Rötter, R.P., Schmid, E., Semenov, M.A., Shcherbak, I., Stehfest, E., Stöckle, C.O., Stratonovitch, P., Streck, T., Supit, I., Tao, F., Thorburn, P., Waha, K., Wall, G.W., Wang, E., White, J.W., Wolf, J., Zhao, Z., Zhu, Y., 2016. Similar estimates of temperature impacts on global wheat yield by three independent methods. *Nat. Clim. Change* 6, 1130–1136. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate3115>
- Liu, E.K., Mei, X.R., Yan, C.R., Gong, D.Z., Zhang, Y.Q., 2016. Effects of water stress on photosynthetic characteristics, dry matter translocation and WUE in two winter wheat genotypes. *Agric. Water Manag.* 167, 75–85. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2015.12.026>
- Liu, J., Guo, C., Chen, Z.-L., He, J.-D., Zou, Y.-N., 2016. MYCORRHIZAL INOCULATION MODULATES ROOT MORPHOLOGY AND ROOT PHYTOHORMONE RESPONSES IN TRIFOLIATE ORANGE UNDER DROUGHT STRESS. *Emir. J. Food Agric.* 251–256. <https://doi.org/10.9755/ejfa.2015-11-1044>
- Liu, Q.-M., Zhao, H.-Y., Zhong, X.-K., Jiang, J.-G., 2012. *Eclipta prostrata* L. phytochemicals: Isolation, structure elucidation, and their antitumor activity. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* 50, 4016–4022. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2012.08.007>
- Liu, S., Guo, X., Feng, G., Maimaitiaili, B., Fan, J., He, X., 2016. Indigenous arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi can alleviate salt stress and promote growth of cotton and maize in saline fields. *Plant Soil* 398, 195–206.
- Liu, T., Zhang, L., Yuan, Z., Hu, X., Lu, M., Wang, W., Wang, Y., 2013. Identification of proteins regulated by ABA in response to combined drought and heat stress in maize roots. *Acta Physiol. Plant.*
- Liu, Z., Ma, L., He, X., Tian, C., 2014. Water strategy of mycorrhizal rice at low temperature through the regulation of PIP aquaporins with the involvement of trehalose. *Appl. Soil Ecol.* 84, 185–191. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2014.07.010>
- Lobell, D.B., Schlenker, W., Costa-Roberts, J., 2011. Climate trends and global crop production since 1980. *Science* 333, 616–620. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1204531>
- Lohse, S., Schliemann, W., Ammer, C., Kopka, J., Strack, D., Fester, T., 2005. Organization and metabolism of plastids and mitochondria in arbuscular mycorrhizal roots of *Medicago truncatula*. *Plant Physiol.* 139, 329–340.

- Lokhande, V.H., Suprasanna, P., 2012. Prospects of Halophytes in Understanding and Managing Abiotic Stress Tolerance. *Environ. Adapt. Stress Toler. Plants Era Clim. Change* 29–56. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-0815-4_2
- Luo, Q., Ding, J., Zhu, L., Chen, F., Xu, L., 2018. Hepatoprotective Effect of Wedelolactone against Concanavalin A-Induced Liver Injury in Mice. *Am. J. Chin. Med.* 46, 819–833. <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0192415X1850043X>
- M, C., I, F., Mga, V. der H., Cmj, P., 2018. Non-Mycorrhizal Plants: The Exceptions that Prove the Rule. *Trends Plant Sci.* 23, 577–587. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2018.04.004>
- Ma, N., Yokoyama, K., Marumoto, T., 2007. Effect of peat on mycorrhizal colonization and effectiveness of the arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus *Gigaspora margarita*. *Soil Sci. Plant Nutr.* 53, 744–752.
- Mahalingam, R., 2015. Consideration of Combined Stress: A Crucial Paradigm for Improving Multiple Stress Tolerance in Plants. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-07899-1_1
- Mandal, S., Evelin, H., Giri, B., Singh, V.P., Kapoor, R., 2013. Arbuscular mycorrhiza enhances the production of stevioside and rebaudioside-A in *Stevia rebaudiana* via nutritional and non-nutritional mechanisms. *Appl. Soil Ecol.* 72, 187–194.
- Mandal, S., Upadhyay, S., Wajid, S., Ram, M., Jain, D.C., Singh, V.P., Abdin, M.Z., Kapoor, R., 2015. Arbuscular mycorrhiza increase artemisinin accumulation in *Artemisia annua* by higher expression of key biosynthesis genes via enhanced jasmonic acid levels. *Mycorrhiza* 25, 345–357.
- Manvar, D., Mishra, M., Kumar, S., Pandey, V.N., 2012. Identification and evaluation of anti hepatitis C virus phytochemicals from *Eclipta alba*. *J. Ethnopharmacol.* 144, 545–554.
- Mardukhi, B., Rejali, F., Daei, G., Ardakani, M.R., Malakouti, M.J., Miransari, M., 2011. Arbuscular mycorrhizas enhance nutrient uptake in different wheat genotypes at high salinity levels under field and greenhouse conditions. *C. R. Biol.* 334, 564–571. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crv.2011.05.001>
- Marinho, F., Da Silva, G.A., Ferreira, A.C.A., 2014. *Bulbospora minima*, a new genus and a new species in the Glomeromycetes from semi-arid Northeast Brazil. *Sydowia Int. J. Mycol.* 313–323. [https://doi.org/10.12905/0380.sydowia66\(2\)2014-0313](https://doi.org/10.12905/0380.sydowia66(2)2014-0313)
- Marschner, P., Solaiman, Z., Rengel, Z., 2006. Rhizosphere properties of Poaceae genotypes under P-limiting conditions. *Plant Soil* 283, 11–24.

- Martinez-Medina, A., Pozo, M.J., Cammue, B.P.A., Vos, C.M.F., 2016. Belowground Defence Strategies in Plants: The Plant–Trichoderma Dialogue, in: Vos, C.M.F., Kazan, K. (Eds.), Belowground Defence Strategies in Plants, Signaling and Communication in Plants. Springer International Publishing, Cham, pp. 301–327. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-42319-7_13
- Maswada, H., Maduraimuthu, D., Prasad, P.V.V., 2018. Response of photosynthetic performance, water relations and osmotic adjustment to salinity acclimation in two wheat cultivars. *Acta Physiol. Plant.* 40. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11738-018-2684-x>
- Mathur, S., Jajoo, A., 2020. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi protects maize plants from high temperature stress by regulating photosystem II heterogeneity. *Ind. Crops Prod.* 143, 111934. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2019.111934>
- Mathur, S., Sharma, M.P., Jajoo, A., 2018a. Improved photosynthetic efficacy of maize (*Zea mays*) plants with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) under high temperature stress. *J. Photochem. Photobiol. B* 180, 149–154. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jphotobiol.2018.02.002>
- Mathur, S., Tomar, R., Jajoo, A., 2018b. Arbuscular Mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) protects photosynthetic apparatus of wheat under drought stress. *Photosynth. Res.* 139. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11120-018-0538-4>
- Mayer, Z., Duc, N.H., Sasvári, Z., Posta, K., 2017. How arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi influence the defense system of sunflower during different abiotic stresses. *Acta Biol. Hung.* 68, 376–387.
- Mayer, Z., Juhász, A., Vo Trung, A., Posta, K., 2019. IMPACT OF ARBUSCULAR MYCORRHIZAL FUNGI ON SOME DEFENSE ENZYME ACTIVITIES AT AN EARLY STAGE OF MAIZE (*ZEAMAYS*. L) UNDER DIFFERENT ABIOTIC STRESSES. *Appl. Ecol. Environ. Res.* 17, 6241–6253.
- Meena, M., Divyanshu, K., Kumar, S., Swapnil, P., Zehra, A., Shukla, V., Yadav, M., Upadhyay, R.S., 2019. Regulation of L-proline biosynthesis, signal transduction, transport, accumulation and its vital role in plants during variable environmental conditions. *Heliyon* 5, e02952.
- Mello, A., Balestrini, R., 2018. Recent insights on biological and ecological aspects of ectomycorrhizal fungi and their interactions. *Front. Microbiol.* 9, 216.
- Meng, L.-L., Song, J.-F., Wen, J., Zhang, J., Wei, J.-H., 2016. Effects of drought stress on fluorescence characteristics of photosystem II in leaves of *Plectranthus scutellarioides*. *Photosynthetica* 54, 414–421. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11099-016-0191-0>

- Merken, H.M., Beecher, G.R., 2000. Measurement of Food Flavonoids by High-Performance Liquid Chromatography: A Review. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 48, 577–599. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf990872o>
- Minton, M., Barber, N., Gordon, L., 2016. Effects of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on herbivory defense in two *Solanum* (Solanaceae) species. *Plant Ecol. Evol.* 149, 157–164. <https://doi.org/10.5091/plecevo.2016.1176>
- Miransari, M., 2012. Role of Phytohormone Signaling During Stress, in: Ahmad, P., Prasad, M.N.V. (Eds.), *Environmental Adaptations and Stress Tolerance of Plants in the Era of Climate Change*. Springer, New York, NY, pp. 381–393. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-0815-4_17
- Mirshad, P.P., Puthur, J.T., 2016. Arbuscular mycorrhizal association enhances drought tolerance potential of promising bioenergy grass (*Saccharum arundinaceum* retz.). *Environ. Monit. Assess.* 188, 425.
- Mittler, R., 2006. Abiotic stress, the field environment and stress combination. *Trends Plant Sci.* 11, 15–19. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2005.11.002>
- Mittler, R., 2002. Oxidative stress, antioxidants and stress tolerance. *Trends Plant Sci.* 7, 405–410.
- Mittler, R., Blumwald, E., 2010. Genetic Engineering for Modern Agriculture: Challenges and Perspectives. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 61, 443–462. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-arplant-042809-112116>
- Mo, Y., Wang, Y., Yang, R., Zheng, J., Liu, C., Li, H., Ma, J., Zhang, Y., Wei, C., Zhang, X., 2016. Regulation of Plant Growth, Photosynthesis, Antioxidation and Osmosis by an Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungus in Watermelon Seedlings under Well-Watered and Drought Conditions. *Front. Plant Sci.* 7, 644. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.00644>
- Moreira, H., Pereira, S.I., Vega, A., Castro, P.M., Marques, A.P., 2020. Synergistic effects of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and plant growth-promoting bacteria benefit maize growth under increasing soil salinity. *J. Environ. Manage.* 257, 109982.
- Mota-Fernández, S., Álvarez-Solís, J., Abud-Archila, M., Dendooven, L., Gutiérrez-Miceli, F., 2011. Effect of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and phosphorus concentration on plant growth and phenols in micropropagated *Aloe vera* L. plantlets. *J. Med. Plant Res.* 5. <https://doi.org/10.5897/JMPR11.271>

- Murali, B., Amit, A., Anand, M.S., Samiulla, D.S., 2002. Estimation of wedelolactone and demethyl uledelolactone in *eclipta alba* hassk by improved chromatographic analysis. *J. Nat. Remedies* 2, 99–101.
- Nair, A., Kolet, S.P., Thulasiram, H.V., Bhargava, S., 2015. Systemic jasmonic acid modulation in mycorrhizal tomato plants and its role in induced resistance against *Alternaria alternata*. *Plant Biol.* 17, 625–631. <https://doi.org/10.1111/plb.12277>
- Nakhforoosh, A., Bodewein, T., Fiorani, F., Bodner, G., 2016. Identification of Water Use Strategies at Early Growth Stages in Durum Wheat from Shoot Phenotyping and Physiological Measurements. *Front. Plant Sci.* 7. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.01155>
- Niinemets, Ü., 2016. Uncovering the hidden facets of drought stress: secondary metabolites make the difference. *Tree Physiol.* 36, 129–132. <https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpv128>
- Oehl, F., Sieverding, E., Palenzuela, J., Ineichen, K., da Silva, G.A., 2011. Advances in Glomeromycota taxonomy and classification. *IMA Fungus* 2, 191–199.
- Oliveira, R.S., Ma, Y., Rocha, I., Carvalho, M.F., Vosátka, M., Freitas, H., 2016. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi are an alternative to the application of chemical fertilizer in the production of the medicinal and aromatic plant *Coriandrum sativum* L. *J. Toxicol. Environ. Health A* 79, 320–328.
- Olsson, P.A., Thingstrup, I., Jakobsen, I., Bååth, E., 1999. Estimation of the biomass of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in a linseed field. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 31, 1879–1887. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717\(99\)00119-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717(99)00119-4)
- Oxborough, K., Baker, N.R., 1997. Resolving chlorophyll a fluorescence images of photosynthetic efficiency into photochemical and non-photochemical components—calculation of qP and Fv/Fm-; without measuring Fo. *Photosynth. Res.* 54, 135–142.
- Pal, A., Pandey, S., 2017. SYMBIOSIS OF ARBUSCULAR MYCORRHIZAL FUNGI AND *PENNISETUM GLAUCUM* L. IMPROVES PLANT GROWTH AND GLOMALIN-RELATED SOIL PROTEIN IN BARREN SOIL 6, 10.
- Panda, R., Pandit, E., Swain, A., Mohanty, D., Baig, M., Kar, M., Pradhan, S.K., 2016. Response of physiological and biochemical parameters in deeper rooting rice genotypes under irrigated and water stress conditions [WWW Document]. undefined. URL /paper/Response-of-physiological-and-biochemical-in-deeper-Panda-Pandit/22c785f9d6964fa970a1d4725657145a6ed59545 (accessed 9.30.20).

- Pandey, D.K., Kaur, P., Dey, A., 2018. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi: Effects on secondary metabolite production in medicinal plants, in: *Fungi and Their Role in Sustainable Development: Current Perspectives*. Springer, pp. 507–538.
- Pandey, P., Singh, J., Achary, V.M.M., Reddy, M.K., 2015. Redox homeostasis via gene families of ascorbate-glutathione pathway. *Front. Environ. Sci.* 3. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2015.00025>
- Panta, S., Flowers, T., Lane, P., Doyle, R., Haros, G., Shabala, S., 2014. Halophyte agriculture: Success stories. *Environ. Exp. Bot.* 107, 71–83. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2014.05.006>
- Paradi, I., Bratek, Z., Lang, F., 2003. Influence of arbuscular mycorrhiza and phosphorus supply on polyamine content, growth and photosynthesis of *Plantago lanceolata*. *Biol. Plant.* 46, 563.
- Parankusam, S., Adimulam, S.S., Bhatnagar-Mathur, P., Sharma, K.K., 2017. Nitric Oxide (NO) in Plant Heat Stress Tolerance: Current Knowledge and Perspectives. *Front. Plant Sci.* 8. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.01582>
- Parihar, M., Meena, V.S., Mishra, P.K., Rakshit, A., Choudhary, M., Yadav, R.P., Rana, K., Bisht, J.K., 2019. Arbuscular mycorrhiza: a viable strategy for soil nutrient loss reduction. *Arch. Microbiol.* 201, 723–735. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00203-019-01653-9>
- Parihar, M., Rakshit, A., Rana, K., Tiwari, G., Jatav, S.S., 2020. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi mediated salt tolerance by regulating antioxidant enzyme system, photosynthetic pathways and ionic equilibrium in pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). *Biol. Futura* 71, 289–300.
- Parihar, P., Singh, S., Singh, R., Singh, V.P., Prasad, S.M., 2015. Effect of salinity stress on plants and its tolerance strategies: a review. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. Int.* 22, 4056–4075. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-014-3739-1>
- Parvaiz, A., Satyawati, S., 2008. Salt stress and phyto-biochemical responses of plants-a review. *Plant Soil Environ.* 54, 89.
- Paterson, E., Sim, A., Davidson, J., Daniell, T.J., 2016. Arbuscular mycorrhizal hyphae promote priming of native soil organic matter mineralisation. *Plant Soil* 408, 243–254. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-016-2928-8>
- Patil, G.B., Lakshman, H.C., Puttaradder, J., 2015. Influence of P Fertilizer and AM Fungal inoculation on Biomass yield and Nutrients Uptake in Two Medicinal plants. *Int. J.* 3, 1019–1025.

- Pavithra, D., Yapa, N., 2018. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi inoculation enhances drought stress tolerance of plants. *Groundw. Sustain. Dev.* 7, 490–494. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gsd.2018.03.005>
- Pedone-Bonfim, M.V.L., da Silva, D.K.A., da Silva-Batista, A.R., de Oliveira, A.P., da Silva Almeida, J.R.G., Yano-Melo, A.M., Maia, L.C., 2018. Mycorrhizal inoculation as an alternative for the sustainable production of *Mimosa tenuiflora* seedlings with improved growth and secondary compounds content. *Fungal Biol.* 122, 918–927.
- Pedranzani, H., Rodríguez-Rivera, M., Gutiérrez, M., Porcel, R., Hause, B., Ruiz-Lozano, J.M., 2016. Arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis regulates physiology and performance of *Digitaria eriantha* plants subjected to abiotic stresses by modulating antioxidant and jasmonate levels. *Mycorrhiza* 26, 141–152.
- Perdomo, J.A., Conesa, M.À., Medrano, H., Ribas-Carbó, M., Galmés, J., 2015. Effects of long-term individual and combined water and temperature stress on the growth of rice, wheat and maize: relationship with morphological and physiological acclimation. *Physiol. Plant.* 155, 149–165. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ppl.12303>
- Pieterse, C.M.J., Leon-Reyes, A., Van der Ent, S., Van Wees, S.C.M., 2009. Networking by small-molecule hormones in plant immunity. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* 5, 308–316. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nchembio.164>
- Pistelli, L., Tonelli, M., Pellegrini, E., Cotrozzi, L., Pucciariello, C., Trivellini, A., Lorenzini, G., Nali, C., 2019. Accumulation of rosmarinic acid and behaviour of ROS processing systems in *Melissa officinalis* L. under heat stress. *Ind. Crops Prod.*
- Porcel, R., Aroca, R., Azcon, R., Ruiz-Lozano, J.M., 2016. Regulation of cation transporter genes by the arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis in rice plants subjected to salinity suggests improved salt tolerance due to reduced Na(+) root-to-shoot distribution. *Mycorrhiza* 26, 673–684. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00572-016-0704-5>
- Porcel, R., Ruiz-Lozano, J.M., 2004. Arbuscular mycorrhizal influence on leaf water potential, solute accumulation, and oxidative stress in soybean plants subjected to drought stress. *J. Exp. Bot.* 55, 1743–1750.
- Posta, K., Duc, N., 2019. Benefits of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi Application to Crop Production under Water Scarcity. <https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.86595>
- Prasad, M., Chaudhary, M., Ramakrishnan, S., Mahawer, S., 2018. Glomalin: A miracle protein for soil sustainability 05, 1092–1100.

- Prasad, P.V., Bheemanahalli, R., Jagadish, S.K., 2017. Field crops and the fear of heat stress—opportunities, challenges and future directions. *Field Crops Res.* 200, 114–121.
- Puglielli, G., Gratani, L., Varone, L., 2019. Leaf rolling as indicator of water stress in *Cistus incanus* from different provenances. *Photosynthetica* 57, 202–208. <https://doi.org/10.32615/ps.2019.014>
- Puri, H.S., 2003. Rasayana: Ayurvedic Herbs for Longevity and Rejuvenation: Volume 2 of Traditional Herbal Medicines for Modern Times. *J. Altern. Complement. Med.* 9, 331–332. <https://doi.org/10.1089/10755530360623446>
- Quiroga, G., Erice, G., Aroca, R., Chaumont, F., Ruiz-Lozano, J.M., 2017. Enhanced Drought Stress Tolerance by the Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Symbiosis in a Drought-Sensitive Maize Cultivar Is Related to a Broader and Differential Regulation of Host Plant Aquaporins than in a Drought-Tolerant Cultivar. *Front. Plant Sci.* 8. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.01056>
- Rady, M.M., Belal, H.E., Gadallah, F.M., Semida, W.M., 2020. Selenium application in two methods promotes drought tolerance in *Solanum lycopersicum* plant by inducing the antioxidant defense system. *Sci. Hortic.* 266, 109290.
- Rady, M.M., Talaat, N.B., Abdelhamid, M.T., Shawky, B.T., Desoky, E.-S.M., 2019. Maize (*Zea mays* L.) grains extract mitigates the deleterious effects of salt stress on common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) growth and physiology. *J. Hortic. Sci. Biotechnol.* 94, 777–789.
- Ramegowda, V., Basu, S., Krishnan, A., Pereira, A., 2014. Rice GROWTH UNDER DROUGHT KINASE Is Required for Drought Tolerance and Grain Yield under Normal and Drought Stress Conditions. *Plant Physiol.* 166, 1634–1645. <https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.114.248203>
- Ramegowda, V., Senthil-Kumar, M., 2015. The interactive effects of simultaneous biotic and abiotic stresses on plants: Mechanistic understanding from drought and pathogen combination. *J. Plant Physiol.* 176, 47–54. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jplph.2014.11.008>
- Ramos, A.C., Façanha, A.R., Feijó, J.A., 2008a. Ion Dynamics During the Polarized Growth of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi: From Presymbiosis to Symbiosis, in: Varma, A. (Ed.), *Mycorrhiza*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, pp. 241–260. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-78826-3_12
- Ramos, A.C., Façanha, A.R., Feijó, J.A., 2008b. Proton (H⁺) flux signature for the presymbiotic development of the arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *New Phytol.* 178, 177–188. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2007.02344.x>

- Ramos, A.C., Façanha, A.R., Lima, P.T., Feijo, J., 2008c. pH signature for the responses of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi to external stimuli.
- Rani, B., Madan, S., POOJA, K.S., Sharma, K.D., Kumari, N., Kumar, A., 2018. Mitigating the effect of drought stress on yield in wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) using arbuscular mycorrhiza fungi (*Glomus mosseae*). *Indian J. Agric. Sci.* 88, 95–100.
- Rao, K.V.M., Raghavendra, A.S., Reddy, K.J. (Eds.), 2006. *Physiology and Molecular Biology of Stress Tolerance in Plants*. Springer Netherlands. <https://doi.org/10.1007/1-4020-4225-6>
- Rathmell, W.G., Sequeira, L., 1974. Soluble peroxidase in fluid from the intercellular spaces of tobacco leaves. *Plant Physiol.* 53, 317–318.
- Recchia, G.H., Konzen, E.R., Cassieri, F., Caldas, D.G.G., Tsai, S.M., 2018. Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Symbiosis Leads to Differential Regulation of Drought-Responsive Genes in Tissue-Specific Root Cells of Common Bean. *Front. Microbiol.* 9. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.01339>
- Redecker, D., Kodner, R., Graham, L.E., 2000. Glomalean Fungi from the Ordovician. *Science* 289, 1920–1921. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.289.5486.1920>
- Redecker, D., Schüßler, A., Stockinger, H., Stürmer, S.L., Morton, J.B., Walker, C., 2013. An evidence-based consensus for the classification of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (Glomeromycota). *Mycorrhiza* 23, 515–531. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00572-013-0486-y>
- Rillig, M.C., Mummey, D.L., 2006. Mycorrhizas and soil structure. *New Phytol.* 171, 41–53. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2006.01750.x>
- Rivero, J., Álvarez, D., Flors, V., Azcón-Aguilar, C., Pozo, M.J., 2018. Root metabolic plasticity underlies functional diversity in mycorrhiza-enhanced stress tolerance in tomato. *New Phytol.* 220, 1322–1336. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.15295>
- Rodríguez, Y., Vierheilig, H., Mazorra, L.M., 2012. Alterations of the antioxidant enzyme activities are not general characteristics of the colonization process by arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *Chil. J. Agric. Res.* 72.
- Rogelj, J., Popp, A., Calvin, K.V., Luderer, G., Emmerling, J., Gernaat, D., Fujimori, S., Strefler, J., Hasegawa, T., Marangoni, G., Krey, V., Kriegler, E., Riahi, K., van Vuuren, D.P., Doelman, J., Drouet, L., Edmonds, J., Fricko, O., Harmsen, M., Havlík, P., Humpenöder, F., Stehfest, E., Tavoni, M., 2018. Scenarios towards limiting global mean temperature increase below 1.5 °C. *Nat. Clim. Change* 8, 325–332. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-018-0091-3>

- Rubin, R.L., van Groenigen, K.J., Hungate, B.A., 2017. Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria are more effective under drought: a meta-analysis. *Plant Soil* 416, 309–323. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-017-3199-8>
- Ruíz-Sánchez, M., Armada, E., Muñoz, Y., de Salamone, I.E.G., Aroca, R., Ruíz-Lozano, J.M., Azcón, R., 2011. Azospirillum and arbuscular mycorrhizal colonization enhance rice growth and physiological traits under well-watered and drought conditions. *J. Plant Physiol.* 168, 1031–1037.
- Ruth, B., Khalvati, M., Schmidhalter, U., 2011. Quantification of mycorrhizal water uptake via high-resolution on-line water content sensors. *Plant Soil* 342, 459–468. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-010-0709-3>
- Ryan, K.G., Swinny, E.E., Winefield, C., Markham, K.R., 2001. Flavonoids and UV photoprotection in Arabidopsis mutants. *Z. Für Naturforschung C* 56, 745–754.
- Saha, S., Begum, H.H., Nasrin, S., 2019. Effects of Drought Stress on Growth and Accumulation of Proline in Five Rice Varieties (*Oryza Sativa* L.). *J. Asiat. Soc. Bangladesh Sci.* 45, 241–247. <https://doi.org/10.3329/jasbs.v45i2.46597>
- Saia, S., Tamayo, E., Schillaci, C., De Vita, P., 2020. Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi and Nutrient Cycling in Cropping Systems, in: Datta, R., Meena, R.S., Pathan, S.I., Ceccherini, M.T. (Eds.), *Carbon and Nitrogen Cycling in Soil*. Springer, Singapore, pp. 87–115. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-7264-3_4
- Saleh, A.M., Abdel-Mawgoud, M., Hassan, A.R., Habeeb, T.H., Yehia, R.S., AbdElgawad, H., 2020. Global metabolic changes induced by arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in oregano plants grown under ambient and elevated levels of atmospheric CO₂. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.*
- Sallaku, G., Sandén, H., Babaj, I., Kaciu, S., Balliu, A., Rewald, B., 2019. Specific nutrient absorption rates of transplanted cucumber seedlings are highly related to RGR and influenced by grafting method, AMF inoculation and salinity. *Sci. Hortic.* 243, 177–188. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2018.08.027>
- Sanchez-Bel, P., Troncho, P., Gamir, J., Pozo, M.J., Camañes, G., Cerezo, M., Flors, V., 2016. The Nitrogen Availability Interferes with Mycorrhiza-Induced Resistance against *Botrytis cinerea* in Tomato. *Front. Microbiol.* 7, 1598. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.01598>
- Sanmartín, N., Sánchez-Bel, P., Pastor, V., Pastor-Fernández, J., Mateu, D., Pozo, M.J., Cerezo, M., Flors, V., 2020. Root-to-shoot signalling in mycorrhizal tomato plants upon *Botrytis cinerea* infection. *Plant Sci.* 298, 110595. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2020.110595>

- Santander, C., Aroca, R., Ruiz-Lozano, J.M., Olave, J., Cartes, P., Borie, F., Cornejo, P., 2017. Arbuscular mycorrhiza effects on plant performance under osmotic stress. *Mycorrhiza* 27, 639–657.
- Santander, C., Sanhueza, M., Olave, J., Borie, F., Valentine, A., Cornejo, P., 2019. Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Colonization Promotes the Tolerance to Salt Stress in Lettuce Plants through an Efficient Modification of Ionic Balance. *J. Soil Sci. Plant Nutr.* 19, 321–331. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42729-019-00032-z>
- Sarveswaran, S., Gautam, S.C., Ghosh, J., 2012. Wedelolactone, a medicinal plant-derived coumestan, induces caspase-dependent apoptosis in prostate cancer cells via downregulation of PKC ϵ without inhibiting Akt. *Int. J. Oncol.* 41, 2191–2199.
- Satir, N., Ortaş, İ., Şatır, O., 2016. THE INFLUENCE OF MYCORRHIZAL SPECIES ON SOUR ORANGE (*Citrus aurantium* L.) GROWTH UNDER SALINE SOIL CONDITIONS. <https://doi.org/10.21162/pakjas/16.2425>
- Satir, O., Berberoglu, S., 2016. Crop yield prediction under soil salinity using satellite derived vegetation indices. *Field Crops Res.* 192, 134–143. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2016.04.028>
- Sattar, A., Sher, A., Ijaz, M., Ul-Allah, S., Rizwan, M.S., Hussain, M., Jabran, K., Cheema, M.A., 2020. Terminal drought and heat stress alter physiological and biochemical attributes in flag leaf of bread wheat. *PLOS ONE* 15, e0232974. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0232974>
- Saxena, A., Raghuwanshi, R., Singh, H.B., 2015. Trichoderma species mediated differential tolerance against biotic stress of phytopathogens in *Cicer arietinum* L. *J. Basic Microbiol.* 55, 195–206.
- Schumacher, B.A., 2002. Methods for the determination of total organic carbon (TOC) in soils and sediments.
- Sehgal, A., Sita, K., Kumar, J., Kumar, S., Singh, S., Siddique, K.H., Nayyar, H., 2017. Effects of drought, heat and their interaction on the growth, yield and photosynthetic function of lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medikus) genotypes varying in heat and drought sensitivity. *Front. Plant Sci.* 8, 1776.
- Shao, Y.-D., Zhang, D.-J., Hu, X.-C., Wu, Q.-S., Jiang, C.-J., Xia, T.-J., Gao, X.-B., Kuča, K., 2018. Mycorrhiza-induced changes in root growth and nutrient absorption of tea plants. *Plant Soil Environ.* 64 (2018), 283–289. <https://doi.org/10.17221/126/2018-PSE>
- Sharma, A., 2018. Gene expression analysis in medicinal plants under abiotic stress conditions, in: *Plant Metabolites and Regulation Under Environmental Stress*. Elsevier, pp. 407–414.

- Sharma, D.K., Andersen, S.B., Ottosen, C.-O., Rosenqvist, E., 2015. Wheat cultivars selected for high F_v/F_m under heat stress maintain high photosynthesis, total chlorophyll, stomatal conductance, transpiration and dry matter. *Physiol. Plant.* 153, 284–298. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ppl.12245>
- Sharma, L., Priya, M., Kaushal, N., Bhandhari, K., Chaudhary, S., Dhankher, O.P., Prasad, P.V., Siddique, K.H., Nayyar, H., 2020. Plant growth-regulating molecules as thermoprotectants: functional relevance and prospects for improving heat tolerance in food crops. *J. Exp. Bot.* 71, 569–594.
- Sheikh-Mohamadi, M.H., Etemadi, N., Nikbakht, A., Arab, M., Majidi, M.M., Pessarakli, M., 2017. Antioxidant defence system and physiological responses of Iranian crested wheatgrass (*Agropyron cristatum* L.) to drought and salinity stress. *Acta Physiol. Plant.* 39, 245. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11738-017-2543-1>
- Shi-chu, L., Yong, J., Ma-bo, L., Wen-xu, Z., Nan, X., Hui-hui, Z., 2019. Improving plant growth and alleviating photosynthetic inhibition from salt stress using AMF in alfalfa seedlings. *J. Plant Interact.* 14, 482–491. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17429145.2019.1662101>
- Shtark, O.Y., Puzanskiy, R.K., Avdeeva, G.S., Yurkov, A.P., Smolikova, G.N., Yemelyanov, V.V., Kliukova, M.S., Shavarda, A.L., Kirpichnikova, A.A., Zhernakov, A.I., 2019. Metabolic alterations in pea leaves during arbuscular mycorrhiza development. *PeerJ* 7, e7495.
- Sieverding, E., da Silva, G.A., Berndt, R., Oehl, F., 2015. *Rhizogloimus*, a new genus of the *Glomeraceae*. *Mycotaxon* 129, 373–386. <https://doi.org/10.5248/129.373>
- Singh, J., Reddy, P.S., Reddy, C.S., Reddy, M.K., 2015. Molecular cloning and characterization of salt inducible dehydrin gene from the C4 plant *Pennisetum glaucum*. *Plant Gene* 4, 55–63. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plgene.2015.08.002>
- Smith, J., 2009. Mycorrhizal Symbiosis (Third Edition). *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. - SSSAJ* 73. <https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaj2008.0015br>
- Smith, S.E., Smith, F.A., 2011. Roles of Arbuscular Mycorrhizas in Plant Nutrition and Growth: New Paradigms from Cellular to Ecosystem Scales. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 62, 227–250. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-arplant-042110-103846>
- Sofo, A., Scopa, A., Nuzzaci, M., Vitti, A., 2015. Ascorbate peroxidase and catalase activities and their genetic regulation in plants subjected to drought and salinity stresses. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 16, 13561–13578.

- Song, J., Chen, L., Chen, F., Ye, J., 2019. Edaphic and host plant factors are linked to the composition of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal communities in the root zone of endangered *Ulmus chenmoui* Cheng in China. *Ecol. Evol.* 9, 8900–8910. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.5446>
- Song, Y., Chen, D., Lu, K., Sun, Z., Zeng, R., 2015. Enhanced tomato disease resistance primed by arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus. *Front. Plant Sci.* 6. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2015.00786>
- Song, Z., Bi, Y., Zhang, J., Gong, Y., Yang, H., 2020. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi promote the growth of plants in the mining associated clay. *Sci. Rep.* 10, 2663. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-59447-9>
- Sorokin, L.V., Mondello, G., 2018. Entering the New +2 °C Global Warming Age and a Threat of World Ocean Expansion for Sustainable Economic Development, in: Mal, S., Singh, R.B., Huggel, C. (Eds.), *Climate Change, Extreme Events and Disaster Risk Reduction: Towards Sustainable Development Goals, Sustainable Development Goals Series*. Springer International Publishing, Cham, pp. 183–201. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-56469-2_13
- Souza, T., 2015. *Handbook of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi*. Springer.
- Stevens, K.J., Wall, C.B., Janssen, J.A., 2011. Effects of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on seedling growth and development of two wetland plants, *Bidens frondosa* L., and *Eclipta prostrata* (L.) L., grown under three levels of water availability. *Mycorrhiza* 21, 279–288.
- Stocker, T.F., Qin, D., Plattner, G.-K., Tignor, M.M.B., Allen, S.K., Boschung, J., Nauels, A., Xia, Y., Bex, V., Midgley, P.M., 2014. *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of IPCC the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, Stocker, T. F.; Qin, D.; Plattner, G.-K.; Tignor, M. M. B.; Allen, S. K.; Boschung, J.; Nauels, A.; Xia, Y.; Bex, V.; Midgley, P. M. (éds.) (2014). *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of IPCC the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press 10.1017/CBO9781107415324 <<http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324>>. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324>
- Stoms, D.J., 1982. Effect of polyphenols on shoot and root growth and on seed germination. *Biol. Plant.* 24, 1.

- Storer, K., Coggan, A., Ineson, P., Hodge, A., 2018. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi reduce nitrous oxide emissions from N₂O hotspots. *New Phytol.* 220, 1285–1295. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.14931>
- Suzuki, N., Rivero, R.M., Shulaev, V., Blumwald, E., Mittler, R., 2014. Abiotic and biotic stress combinations. *New Phytol.* 203, 32–43. <https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.12797>
- SVS: Global Temperature Anomalies from 1880 to 2018 [WWW Document], n.d. URL <https://svs.gsfc.nasa.gov/4626> (accessed 10.16.20).
- Telfer, P., Edwards, J., Bennett, D., Ganesalingam, D., Able, J., Kuchel, H., 2018. A field and controlled environment evaluation of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) adaptation to heat stress. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2018.09.013>
- Tommasino, E., López Colomba, E., Carrizo, M., Grunberg, K., Quiroga, M., Carloni, E., Griffa, S., Ribotta, A., Luna, C., 2018. Individual and combined effects of drought and heat on antioxidant parameters and growth performance in Buffel grass (*Cenchrus ciliaris* L.) genotypes. *South Afr. J. Bot.* 119, 104–111. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sajb.2018.08.026>
- Toussaint, J.-P., Kraml, M., Nell, M., Smith, S.E., Smith, F.A., Steinkellner, S., Schmiderer, C., Vierheilig, H., Novak, J., 2008. Effect of *Glomus mosseae* on concentrations of rosmarinic and caffeic acids and essential oil compounds in basil inoculated with *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. basilici. *Plant Pathol.* 57, 1109–1116.
- Toussaint, J.-P., Smith, F.A., Smith, S.E., 2007. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi can induce the production of phytochemicals in sweet basil irrespective of phosphorus nutrition. *Mycorrhiza* 17, 291–297.
- Tricker, P.J., ElHabti, A., Schmidt, J., Fleury, D., 2018. The physiological and genetic basis of combined drought and heat tolerance in wheat. *J. Exp. Bot.* 69, 3195–3210. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/ery081>
- Trouvelot, A. (Institut N. de la R.A., Kough, J.L., Gianinazzi-Pearson, V., 1986. Estimation of VA mycorrhizal infection levels. Research for methods having a functional significance. Presented at the Physiological and Genetical Aspects of Mycorrhizae. Aspects physiologiques et genetiques des mycorrhizes, Dijon (France), 1-5 Jul 1985, /INRA.
- Tyagi, J., Varma, A., Pudake, R.N., 2017. Evaluation of comparative effects of arbuscular mycorrhiza (*Rhizophagus intraradices*) and endophyte (*Piriformospora indica*) association with finger millet (*Eleusine coracana*) under drought stress. *Eur. J. Soil Biol.* 81, 1–10.

- Upreti, K., Bhatt, R., Panneerselvam, P., Varalakshmi, L.R., 2016. Morpho-Physiological Responses of Grape Rootstock ‘Dogridge’ to Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi Inoculation Under Salinity Stress. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15538362.2015.1111185>
- Vahdati, K., Lotfi, N., 2013. Abiotic Stress Tolerance in Plants with Emphasizing on Drought and Salinity Stresses in Walnut. *Abiotic Stress - Plant Responses Appl. Agric.* <https://doi.org/10.5772/56078>
- van der Heijden, M.G.A., Horton, T.R., 2009. Socialism in soil? The importance of mycorrhizal fungal networks for facilitation in natural ecosystems. *J. Ecol.*
- van Zelm, E., Zhang, Y., Testerink, C., 2020. Salt Tolerance Mechanisms of Plants. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 71, 403–433. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-arplant-050718-100005>
- Vo, A.T., Haddidi, I., Daood, H., Mayer, Z., Posta, K., 2019. Impact of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Inoculation and Growth Substrate on Biomass and Content of Polyphenols in *Eclipta prostrata*. *HortScience* 54, 1976–1983.
- Volpin, H., Elkind, Y., Okon, Y., Kapulnik, Y., 1994. A vesicular arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus (*Glomus intraradix*) induces a defense response in alfalfa roots. *Plant Physiol.* 104, 683–689.
- Wagner, H., Bauer, R., Melchart, D., 2011. Herba Ecliptae Mohanlian. *Chromatogr Fingerpr. Anal. Herb. Med* 1, 263–271. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-7091-0763-8_24
- Wakil, W., Brust, G.E., Perring, T., 2017. Sustainable Management of Arthropod Pests of Tomato. Academic Press.
- Wang, F., Jiang, R., Kertesz, M.A., Zhang, F., Feng, G., 2013. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal hyphae mediating acidification can promote phytate mineralization in the hyphosphere of maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 65, 69–74. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2013.05.010>
- Wang, H., Liang, L., Huang, D., Liu, S., Liu, R., Siddique, K., Chen, Y., 2020. Arbuscular Mycorrhizas Regulate Photosynthetic Capacity and Antioxidant Defense Systems to Mediate Salt Tolerance in Maize. *Plants* 9. <https://doi.org/10.3390/plants9111430>
- Wang, W., Vinocur, B., Altman, A., 2003. Plant responses to drought, salinity and extreme temperatures: towards genetic engineering for stress tolerance. *Planta* 218, 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-003-1105-5>
- Wang, Y., Wang, J., Yan, X., Sun, S., Lin, J., 2019. The Effect of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi on Photosystem II of the Host Plant Under Salt Stress: A Meta-Analysis. *Agronomy* 9, 806.

- Wani, S.H., Kumar, V., Shriram, V., Sah, S.K., 2016. Phytohormones and their metabolic engineering for abiotic stress tolerance in crop plants. *Crop J.* 4, 162–176. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cj.2016.01.010>
- Wilson, G.W., Rice, C.W., Rillig, M.C., Springer, A., Hartnett, D.C., 2009. Soil aggregation and carbon sequestration are tightly correlated with the abundance of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi: results from long-term field experiments. *Ecol. Lett.* 12, 452–461.
- Wu, H.-H., Zou, Y.-N., Rahman, M.M., Ni, Q.-D., Wu, Q.-S., 2017. Mycorrhizas alter sucrose and proline metabolism in trifoliolate orange exposed to drought stress. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 42389. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep42389>
- Wu, Q.-S., Ying-Ning, Z., 2017. Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi and Tolerance of Drought Stress in Plants, in: *Arbuscular Mycorrhizas and Stress Tolerance of Plants*. pp. 25–41. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-4115-0_2
- Wu, Y.-H., Wang, H., Liu, M., Li, B., Chen, X., Ma, Y.-T., Yan, Z.-Y., 2021. Effects of Native Arbuscular Mycorrhizae Isolated on Root Biomass and Secondary Metabolites of *Salvia miltiorrhiza* Bge. *Front. Plant Sci.* 12. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2021.617892>
- X, Chen., F, Song., F, Liu., C, Tian., S, Liu., H, Xu., X, Zhu., 2014. Effect of different arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on growth and physiology of maize at ambient and low temperature regimes. *ScientificWorldJournal* 2014, 956141–956141. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/956141>
- Xavier, L.J.C., Germida, J.J., 2002. Response of lentil under controlled conditions to co-inoculation with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and rhizobia varying in efficacy. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 34, 181–188. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717\(01\)00165-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717(01)00165-1)
- Xiao-Qing, T.U.O., Li, H.E., Ying-Ning, Z.O.U., 2017. Alleviation of drought stress in white clover after inoculation with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *Not. Bot. Horti Agrobot. Cluj-Napoca* 45, 220–224.
- Xu, Y., Fu, J., Chu, X., Sun, Y., Zhou, H., Hu, T., 2013. Nitric oxide mediates abscisic acid induced light-tolerance in leaves of tall fescue under high-light stress. *Sci. Hortic.* 162, 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2013.07.042>
- Yadav, N.K., Arya, R.K., Dev, K., Sharma, C., Hossain, Z., Meena, S., Arya, K.R., Gayen, J.R., Datta, D., Singh, R.K., 2017. Alcoholic Extract of *Eclipta alba* Shows In Vitro Antioxidant and Anticancer Activity without Exhibiting Toxicological Effects. *Oxid. Med. Cell. Longev.* 2017, e9094641. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/9094641>

- Yadav, R.S., Mahatma, M.K., Thirumalaisamy, P.P., Meena, H.N., Bhaduri, D., Arora, S., Panwar, J., 2017. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) for sustainable soil and plant health in salt-affected soils, in: *Bioremediation of Salt Affected Soils: An Indian Perspective*. Springer, pp. 133–156.
- Yang, Y., Ou, X., Yang, G., Xia, Y., Chen, M., Guo, L., Liu, D., 2017. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi regulate the growth and phyto-active compound of *Salvia miltiorrhiza* seedlings. *Appl. Sci.* 7, 68.
- Ye, L., Zhao, X., Bao, E., Cao, K., Zou, Z., 2019. Effects of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi on Watermelon Growth, Nutritional Uptake, Antioxidant and Photosystem II Activities and Stress-response Gene Expressions under Salinity-alkalinity Stresses. *Front. Plant Sci.* 10, 863.
- Yu, S.-J., Yu, J.-H., Yu, Z.-P., Yan, X., Zhang, J.-S., Sun, J., Zhang, H., 2020. Bioactive terpenoid constituents from *Eclipta prostrata*. *Phytochemistry* 170, 112192. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phytochem.2019.112192>
- Zampieri, M., Ceglar, A., Dentener, F., Toreti, A., 2017. Wheat yield loss attributable to heat waves, drought and water excess at the global, national and subnational scales. *Environ. Res. Lett.* 12, 064008.
- Zandalinas, S.I., Balfagón, D., Arbona, V., Gómez-Cadenas, A., Inupakutika, M.A., Mittler, R., 2016a. ABA is required for the accumulation of APX1 and MBF1c during a combination of water deficit and heat stress. *J. Exp. Bot.* 67, 5381–5390. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erw299>
- Zandalinas, S.I., Mittler, R., Balfagón, D., Arbona, V., Gómez-Cadenas, A., 2018. Plant adaptations to the combination of drought and high temperatures. *Physiol. Plant.* 162, 2–12. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ppl.12540>
- Zandalinas, S.I., Rivero, R.M., Martínez, V., Gómez-Cadenas, A., Arbona, V., 2016b. Tolerance of citrus plants to the combination of high temperatures and drought is associated to the increase in transpiration modulated by a reduction in abscisic acid levels. *BMC Plant Biol.* 16, 105. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12870-016-0791-7>
- Zandalinas, S.I., Sales, C., Beltrán, J., Gómez-Cadenas, A., Arbona, V., 2017. Activation of Secondary Metabolism in Citrus Plants Is Associated to Sensitivity to Combined Drought and High Temperatures. *Front. Plant Sci.* 7. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.01954>

- Zeng, Y., Guo, L.-P., Chen, B.-D., Hao, Z.-P., Wang, J.-Y., Huang, L.-Q., Yang, G., Cui, X.-M., Yang, L., Wu, Z.-X., 2013. Arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis and active ingredients of medicinal plants: current research status and prospectives. *Mycorrhiza* 23, 253–265.
- Zhang, Hui, Zhang, J., Wei, P., Zhang, B., Gou, F., Feng, Z., Mao, Y., Yang, L., Zhang, Heng, Xu, N., Zhu, J.-K., 2014. The CRISPR/Cas9 system produces specific and homozygous targeted gene editing in rice in one generation. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* 12, 797–807. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.12200>
- Zhang, T., Hu, Y., Zhang, K., Tian, C., Guo, J., 2018. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi improve plant growth of *Ricinus communis* by altering photosynthetic properties and increasing pigments under drought and salt stress. *Ind. Crops Prod.* 117, 13–19. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2018.02.087>
- Zhang, X.H., Liu, Y.H., Liu, B.W., Liu, Q., Wen, S.Y., Ao, B., Lin, Z.Q., Zheng, Y.L., Yang, W.Z., Chu, X.T., Xu, Y.F., 2019. Arbuscular mycorrhiza fungus improved growth, antioxidant defense, and endogenous hormones in tall fescue under low-light stress. *South Afr. J. Bot.* 127, 43–50. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sajb.2019.08.032>
- Zhang, Z., Wang, Q., Wang, H., Nie, S., Liang, Z., 2017. Effects of soil salinity on the content, composition, and ion binding capacity of glomalin-related soil protein (GRSP). *Sci. Total Environ.* 581–582, 657–665. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.12.176>
- Zhao, C., Liu, B., Piao, S., Wang, X., Lobell, D.B., Huang, Y., Huang, M., Yao, Y., Bassu, S., Ciais, P., Durand, J.-L., Elliott, J., Ewert, F., Janssens, I.A., Li, T., Lin, E., Liu, Q., Martre, P., Müller, C., Peng, S., Peñuelas, J., Ruane, A.C., Wallach, D., Wang, T., Wu, D., Liu, Z., Zhu, Y., Zhu, Z., Asseng, S., 2017. Temperature increase reduces global yields of major crops in four independent estimates. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 114, 9326–9331. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1701762114>
- Zhao, H., Yi, X., Hu, Z., Hu, M., Chen, S., Dong, X., Gong, L., 2013. RNAi-mediated knockdown of catalase causes cell cycle arrest in SL-1 cells and results in low survival rate of *Spodoptera litura* (Fabricius). *PloS One* 8, e59527.
- Zhao, R., Guo, W., Bi, N., Guo, J., Wang, L., Zhao, J., Zhang, J., 2015. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi affect the growth, nutrient uptake and water status of maize (*Zea mays* L.) grown in two types of coal mine spoils under drought stress. *Appl. Soil Ecol.* 88, 41–49. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2014.11.016>

- Zhao, X., Ai, J., Mao, H., Gao, X., 2019. Effects of *Eclipta prostrata* on gut microbiota of SAMP6 mice with osteoporosis. *J. Med. Microbiol.* 68, 402–416. <https://doi.org/10.1099/jmm.0.000936>
- Zheng, Y., Xia, Z., Ma, H., Yu, Z., 2019. The combined effects of water deficit and heat stress on physiological characteristics of endangered *Nouelia insignis*. *Acta Physiol. Plant.* 41, 177.
- Zhu, X., Cao, Q., Sun, L., Yang, X., Yang, W., Zhang, H., 2018. Stomatal Conductance and Morphology of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Wheat Plants Response to Elevated CO₂ and NaCl Stress. *Front. Plant Sci.* 9. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2018.01363>
- Zhu, X., Song, F., Liu, F., 2017. Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi and Tolerance of Temperature Stress in Plants, in: *Arbuscular Mycorrhizas and Stress Tolerance of Plants*. pp. 163–194. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-4115-0_8
- Zinta, G., AbdElgawad, H., Domagalska, M.A., Vergauwen, L., Knapen, D., Nijs, I., Janssens, I.A., Beemster, G.T.S., Asard, H., 2014. Physiological, biochemical, and genome-wide transcriptional analysis reveals that elevated CO₂ mitigates the impact of combined heat wave and drought stress in *Arabidopsis thaliana* at multiple organizational levels. *Glob. Change Biol.* 20, 3670–3685. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12626>
- Zou, Y.-N., 2016. Glomalin: A Potential Soil Conditioner for Perennial Fruits. *Int. J. Agric. Biol.* 18, 293–297. <https://doi.org/10.17957/IJAB/15.0085>
- Zou, Y.-N., Srivastava, A.K., Ni, Q.-D., Wu, Q.-S., 2015. Disruption of mycorrhizal extraradical mycelium and changes in leaf water status and soil aggregate stability in rootbox-grown trifoliolate orange. *Front. Microbiol.* 6. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2015.00203>
- Zou, Y.-N., Wang, P., Liu, C.-Y., Ni, Q.-D., Zhang, D.-J., Wu, Q.-S., 2017. Mycorrhizal trifoliolate orange has greater root adaptation of morphology and phytohormones in response to drought stress. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 41134. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep41134>
- Zou, Y.-N., Wu, Q.-S., Huang, Y.-M., Ni, Q.-D., He, X.-H., 2013. Mycorrhizal-Mediated Lower Proline Accumulation in *Poncirus trifoliata* under Water Deficit Derives from the Integration of Inhibition of Proline Synthesis with Increase of Proline Degradation. *PLOS ONE* 8, e80568. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0080568>
- Zubek, S., Mielcarek, S., Turnau, K., 2012. Hypericin and pseudohypericin concentrations of a valuable medicinal plant *Hypericum perforatum* L. are enhanced by arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *Mycorrhiza* 22, 149–156.

Zubek, S., Rola, K., Szewczyk, A., Majewska, M.L., Turnau, K., 2015. Enhanced concentrations of elements and secondary metabolites in *Viola tricolor* L. induced by arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *Plant Soil* 390, 129–142.

7.2. SUPPLEMENTAL FIGURES AND TABLES

Table 5. The sand: peat substrate properties

	pH	Phosphorus (mg/kg)	Potassium (mg/kg)	Carbonate (%)	Total Nitrogen (%)	Dry matter content (m/m%)
Peat	6.45±0.06	1610 ± 14	3320 ± 24	0.94±0.018	42.93±0.347	15.7 ± 0.1
Sand	7.23±0.03	62.16± 0.78	2485 ± 11	0.01±0.0015	0.38±0.081	79.4 ± 0.3




Figure 31. Bovine serum albumin standard curve for Bradford assay



Figure 32. *E. p* plants with and without arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (Symbivit) after eight-weeks of growth in a growth chamber. Abbreviations: AM1 (Amf + 100/0 sand-peat % (v/v)), AM2 (Amf

+ 80/20 % (v/v) sand-peat), AM3 (Amf + 60/40 sand-peat % (v/v)), AM4 (Amf + 40/60 sand-peat % (v/v)), AM5 (Amf + 20/80 sand-peat % (v/v)), AM6 (Amf + 0/100 sand-peat % (v/v)). NONAM1 (100/0 sand-peat % (v/v)), NONAM2 (80/20 sand-peat % (v/v)), NONAM3 (60/40 sand-peat % (v/v)), NONAM4 (40/60 sand-peat % (v/v)), NONAM5 (20/80 sand-peat % (v/v)), NONAM6 (0/100 sand-peat % (v/v)). (Photo by Vo, 2016)




Figure 33. Proline standard curve




Figure 34. The experimental design for combined drought and heat stress, and combined drought and heat shock to tomato plants. Each combined stress or no stress, there were 32 pots (plants): 8 non-AM plants, 8 plants inoculated with *Rhizophagus irregularis*, 8 plants inoculated with *Funneliformis mosseae*, and 8 plants inoculated with *Funneliformis coronatum*.

- No stress: water 100 % field capacity, 600 $\mu\text{mol}/\text{m}^2/\text{s}$ photon flux density, 26/20 °C with 16/8 hours' day/night temperature and 60 % relative humidity,
- Drought: 40 % field capacity, other conditions were the same as no stress
- Heat stress: 38/30 °C with 16/8 hours' day/night, other conditions were the same as no stress
- Heat shock: 45 °C for 6 hours, other conditions were the same as no stress

Table 6. Pearson correlation coefficients (r) among plant physiological parameters measured under drought and heat stress (D+H)

	AMF col.	FSB	Leaf CAT	Leaf GST	Leaf H ₂ O ₂	Leaf MDA	Leaf POD	Leaf PPO	Root CAT	Root GST	Root H ₂ O ₂	Root MDA	Root POD
AMF col.													
FSB	0.43 ^{ns}												
Leaf CAT	0.07 ^{ns}	-0.08 ^{ns}											
Leaf GST	0.65 ^{**}	-0.01 ^{ns}	0.06 ^{ns}										
Leaf H ₂ O ₂	-0.67 ^{**}	-0.38 ^{ns}	-0.08 ^{ns}	-0.67 ^{**}									
Leaf MDA	-0.76 ^{***}	-0.19 ^{ns}	-0.32 ^{ns}	-0.57 [*]	0.64 ^{**}								
Leaf POD	0.57 [*]	0.01 ^{ns}	0.21 ^{ns}	0.62 [*]	-0.51 [*]	-0.42 ^{ns}							
Leaf PPO	0.18 ^{ns}	-0.02 ^{ns}	0.11 ^{ns}	0.15 ^{ns}	0.33 ^{ns}	0.02 ^{ns}	0.15 ^{ns}						
Root CAT	0.33 ^{ns}	0.27 ^{ns}	0.03 ^{ns}	0.06 ^{ns}	-0.22 ^{ns}	-0.10 ^{ns}	0.12 ^{ns}	-0.02 ^{ns}					
Root GST	0.53 [*]	0.24 ^{ns}	0.25 ^{ns}	0.68 ^{**}	-0.86 ^{***}	-0.59 [*]	0.66 ^{**}	-0.25 ^{ns}	-0.13 ^{ns}				
Root H ₂ O ₂	-0.69 ^{**}	-0.40 ^{ns}	-0.12 ^{ns}	-0.57 [*]	0.63 ^{**}	0.48 ^{ns}	-0.78 ^{***}	-0.09 ^{ns}	-0.37 ^{ns}	-0.57 [*]			
Root MDA	-0.66 ^{**}	-0.20 ^{ns}	-0.42 ^{ns}	-0.62 [*]	0.61 [*]	0.75 ^{***}	-0.72 ^{**}	-0.20 ^{ns}	0.19 ^{ns}	-0.77 ^{***}	0.64 ^{**}		
Root POD	0.72 ^{**}	0.25 ^{ns}	0.10 ^{ns}	0.58 [*]	-0.41 ^{ns}	-0.45 ^{ns}	0.73 ^{**}	0.24 ^{ns}	-0.05 ^{ns}	0.60 [*]	-0.66 ^{**}	-0.64 ^{**}	
Root PPO	0.29 ^{ns}	-0.17 ^{ns}	-0.10 ^{ns}	0.15 ^{ns}	0.10 ^{ns}	-0.01 ^{ns}	0.53 [*]	0.20 ^{ns}	-0.30 ^{ns}	0.12 ^{ns}	-0.29 ^{ns}	-0.28 ^{ns}	0.72 ^{**}

(*), (**), (***) : correlation is significant at $P < 0.05$, $P < 0.01$, $P < 0.001$, respectively; ns: correlation is not significant. AMF col., arbuscular mycorrhizal colonization; FSB, fresh shoot biomass; CAT, catalase; GST, glutathione-s-transferase; H₂O₂, hydrogen peroxide; MDA, malondialdehyde; POD, peroxidase; PPO, polyphenol oxidase.

Table 7. Pearson correlation coefficients between all plant physiological parameters measured under drought + heat shock (D+HS) conditions

	AMF col.	FSB	Leaf CAT	Leaf GST	Leaf H ₂ O ₂	Leaf MDA	Leaf POD	Leaf PPO	Root CAT	Root GST	Root H ₂ O ₂	Root MDA	Root POD
AMF col.													
FSB	0.37 ^{ns}												
Leaf CAT	0.65 ^{**}	-0.13 ^{ns}											
Leaf GST	-0.42 ^{ns}	-0.49 ^{ns}	-0.18 ^{ns}										
Leaf H ₂ O ₂	-0.74 ^{**}	-0.30 ^{ns}	-0.74 ^{**}	0.37 ^{ns}									
Leaf MDA	-0.69 ^{**}	-0.33 ^{ns}	-0.61 [*]	0.44 ^{ns}	0.89 ^{***}								
Leaf POD	0.36 ^{ns}	0.32 ^{ns}	0.35 ^{ns}	-0.44 ^{ns}	-0.48 ^{ns}	-0.66 ^{**}							
Leaf PPO	-0.23 ^{ns}	-0.13 ^{ns}	-0.02 ^{ns}	0.27 ^{ns}	-0.05 ^{ns}	-0.18 ^{ns}	0.01 ^{ns}						
Root CAT	0.51 [*]	0.66 ^{**}	0.25 ^{ns}	-0.56 [*]	-0.41 ^{ns}	-0.54 [*]	0.56 [*]	-0.24 ^{ns}					
Root GST	0.14 ^{ns}	-0.35 ^{ns}	0.47 ^{ns}	-0.03 ^{ns}	-0.24 ^{ns}	-0.38 ^{ns}	0.17 ^{ns}	0.12 ^{ns}	0.11 ^{ns}				
Root H ₂ O ₂	-0.89 ^{***}	-0.57 [*]	-0.52 [*]	0.66 ^{**}	0.68 ^{**}	0.70 ^{**}	-0.47 ^{ns}	0.10 ^{ns}	-0.59 [*]	-0.07 ^{ns}			
Root MDA	0.03 ^{ns}	-0.67 ^{**}	0.42 ^{ns}	0.33 ^{ns}	-0.15 ^{ns}	-0.09 ^{ns}	0.10 ^{ns}	-0.15 ^{ns}	-0.43 ^{ns}	0.033 ^{ns}	0.20 ^{ns}		
Root POD	0.19 ^{ns}	-0.60 [*]	0.49 ^{ns}	0.02 ^{ns}	-0.26 ^{ns}	-0.15 ^{ns}	-0.21 ^{ns}	-0.01 ^{ns}	-0.38 ^{ns}	0.31 ^{ns}	-0.09 ^{ns}	0.68 ^{**}	
Root PPO	-0.21 ^{ns}	-0.32 ^{ns}	0.08 ^{ns}	0.27 ^{ns}	0.20 ^{ns}	0.45 ^{ns}	-0.68 ^{**}	-0.18 ^{ns}	-0.47 ^{ns}	-0.18 ^{ns}	0.27 ^{ns}	0.14 ^{ns}	0.47 ^{ns}

(*), (**), (***): correlation is significant at $P < 0.05$, $P < 0.01$, $P < 0.001$, respectively; ns: correlation is not significant. AMF col., arbuscular mycorrhizal colonization; FSB, fresh shoot biomass; CAT, catalase; GST, glutathione-s-transferase; H₂O₂, hydrogen peroxide; MDA, malondialdehyde; POD, peroxidase; PPO, polyphenol oxidase.

8. LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

Peer -reviewed scientific articles

Imane Haddidi, Nguyen Hong Duc, Szende Tonk, Eszter Rápó and Katalin Posta (2020). Defense Enzymes in Mycorrhizal Tomato Plants Exposed to Combined Drought and Heat Stresses, *Agronomy* 10, 1657. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy10111657> IF 2.6 Q1.

Au Trung VO, **Imane HADDID**, Hussein DAOOD, Zoltan MAYER and Katalin POSTA (2019). Impact of Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Inoculation and Growth Substrate on Biomass and Content of Polyphenols in *Eclipta prostrata*, *HortScience* 54(11), 1976-1983. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.21273/HORTSCI14227-19> IF 0.906 Q2.

Nguyen Hong Duc, Au Trung Vo, **Imane Haddidi**, Hussein Daood and Katalin Posta (2021). Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi improve tolerance of medicinal plant *Eclipta prostrata* (L.) and induce major changes in polyphenol profiles under salt stresses. *Front. Plant Sci.*, 15 January 2021 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2020.612299> IF 4;402Q1

Conferences

Imane HADDIDI, Au Trung VO, Katalin POSTA. (2018). Effect of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and different growing media on the growth of *Eclipta prostrata*. 18th congress of the African Association of biological Nitrogen fixation (AABNF2018) April, 22nd-24th 2018.

Imane HADDIDI, Au Trung VO, Katalin POSTA. (2019). Changing phenolic and proline content of *Eclipta prostrata* under impact of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and different sand/peat media. Abstract Book –18th Alps-Adria Scientific Workshop. Cattolica, Italy 1st- 6th April doi:10.34116/NTI. 2019.AA.23.

Imane Haddidi, Bence Gajdics, Nguyen Hong Duc, Katalin Posta (2021). Combined Heat and Drought Tolerance of Tomato Plants when Treated with different Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi. 5th World Congress on Civil, Structural, and Environmental Engineering (CSEE'21) Lisbon, Portugal – June, 2021

Other scientific articles with another topic published during PhD program

Fadila ZEGHDOUDI, Larbi M. TANJIR, Naouel OUALI, **Iman HADDIDI** and Mounira RACHEDI (2019). Concentrations of trace-metal elements in the superficial sediment and the marine magnophyte, *Posidonia oceanica* (L) Delile, 1813 from the Gulf of Skikda (Mediterranean coast, East of Algeria). *CAHIERS DE BIOLOGIE MARINE*, 60(3), 223-233. IF 0.6

9. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Achieving the purpose of this unbelievable trip, I would like to express from the bottom of my heart my supervisor Prof. Dr. Posta Katalin, Head of Microbiology and Environmental Toxicology Research group, Institute of Biological sciences, Department of Genetics, Microbiology, and Biotechnology, Szent István University, for her enthusiasm for the research project, devoted support, patience, availability, guidance and scientific advices for the culmination of my PhD thesis. without her help and sage guidance, this project would have not been reached.

I would like to thank Prof.Dr. Hussein Daood from the department of Agriculture and Food Industry Machinery who give me the chance to perform and kept a close eye for the HPLC analysis part and providing important advice and knowledge in this area. I also appreciate the forbearance you had during the process.

I am very thankful to Dr. Nguyen Hong Duc for his expertise. I greatly appreciate his support for the statistical analysis of results of this thesis and his critical manuscript revision. I would like to thank Eszter Rapo as well for her moral support and her help for writing the manuscript. Many thanks as well to Dr. Vo Trung Au for helping in the setup of the experiments.

I am very thankful to my officemates at the microbiological laboratory, Dr. Zoltan Mayer, Dr. Akos, Dr.Panka, Victor, and Bea for helping and providing me all the essential information's and equipment's during my work.

I would also like to thank my PhD colleges Insaf, Meryem, Mutaz and Ossama for their helps on any kind of PhD issues.

I would like to express my heartfelt acknowledgment for the financial support of Stipendium Hungaricum scholarship for my doctoral program. Also a deepest gratitude goes to the Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research of Algeria for their financial support as well.

It is good and fair to talk about the moral support and caring found with all of my family. I would like to express my most respectful feelings and my deepest gratitude to my parents Salah and Ouahiba, brothers Said and Raid, my sister Chaima, and my aunt Dr Lilia for the constant encouragement they have shown throughout these Five years of research.